



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

ipac

INTEGRATED PROTECTED AREA CO-MANAGEMENT (IPAC)

ASSESSMENT OF CO-MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATIONS MARCH 25,
2013



March 25, 2013

This report was produced for review by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). It was prepared by International Resources Group (IRG).

Cover Photo:

CMC representatives from the Nishorgo Shahababosthaphana Sanghathon –Jaldi under Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary are in discussion on various issues while scorecard based self-assessment is being conducted. Each of the indicator definitions in the score-card are vividly discussed for a consensus in scoring.

INTEGRATED PROTECTED AREA CO-MANAGEMENT (IPAC)

ASSESSMENT OF CO-MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATIONS (MARCH 25, 2013)

USAID Contract N° EPP-1-00-06-00007-00

Task Order No: EPP-I-01-06-00007-00

March 25, 2013

Submitted to:

USAID/Bangladesh

Submitted By:



International Resources Group (IRG)

With subcontractors:

WWF-USA, dTS, East-West Center

Environmental Law Institute, Epler-Wood International

The WorldFish Center, CNRS, CODEC

BELA, Asiatic M&C, Oasis Transformation, Module Architects, IUB/JU

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

SUMMARY	5
ACRONYMS.....	6
FOREWORD.....	7
1. OVERVIEW AND INTRODUCTION.....	8
1.1. Introduction	8
1.2. Method.....	10
1.3. Overview of Findings	11
2. CO-MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION ASSESSMENT.....	15
2.1 Central Wetland CMOs.....	15
2.2 Central (Modhupur) Forest CMOs	15
2.3 South East Forest CMOs.....	15
2.4 CHT and Chittagong Forest CMOs.....	16
2.5 Hail Haor Wetland CMOs.....	16
2.6 Northeast Forest CMOs	16
2.7 Northeast Wetland ECA CMOs.....	16
2.8 Sundarbans CMOs.....	17
REFERENCE:	18
ANNEX I: CMO ASSESSMENT SCORE CARD FORMAT	19
ANNEX II: DETAILED SCORES OF 45 CMOS.....	25
Central Cluster.....	25
South East Cluster	41
CHT-Chittagong Cluster.....	60
North East Cluster.....	67
Sundarbans Cluster.....	97

DISCLAIMER

The author's views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Agency for International Development or the United States Government

SUMMARY

Integrated Protected Area Co-Management Project (IPAC) is implemented by the Government of Bangladesh through the Forest Department (FD) and the Department of Environment (DoE) of the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), and the Department of Fisheries (DoF) of the Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock (MoFL). Under IPAC, Nishorgo Network, a robust platform for effectively conserving forests, wetlands, ecologically critical areas (ECAs) and other vulnerable Protected Area (PA) ecosystems on sound principles of environmental governance, is established through gainful partnership of key stakeholders including neighbouring communities dependent on natural resources.

Co-management organizations (CMOs) in the Nishorgo Network both in forest and wetland protected areas and ECA ecosystems are developed as multi-stakeholder platforms for natural resources management. However, the IPAC CMOs vary in structure, tiers and composition of stakeholders depending upon a PA. For instance, wetland CMOs, developed under MACH project, have two tiers, namely, Resource User Groups (RUGs) of fishers at community level along with their federations (FRUGs), and Resource Management Organization (RMO) as wetland management body; whereas in case of forest protected area CMOs, developed under NSP and IPAC projects, village level 'Village Conservation Forums (VCFs)' of forest users are building blocks in tier-1, Peoples' Forum (PF) with the representatives of VCFs form the second tier, and the multi-stakeholder Co-management Councils and Committees (CMCs) are apex body and executive body respectively. In case of wetland ECA-based CMOs, Village Conservation Groups (VCGs) at community level form the base tier, and upper tiers are Union ECA Co-management Committees and Upazila ECA Co-management Committees. In spite of structural variances, all the CMOs promote conservation governance as a paradigm shift in PA co-management in the country.

The assessments of CMOs, through scorecard developed in consultation with CMO representatives, have been applied during February/2013, in continuation to earlier similar assessments completed in April-May/2011, April-May/2012, and July-August/2012. This dynamic scorecard-based assessment process mainly considered the progress of the CMOs as effective institutions, during previous 12-month period. Overall seven thematic scores were made in percentile figures based on set indicators with scores 0, 1 and 2 (Annex-1). These thematic areas include parameters such as Resource Management, Pro-poor, Women's Role, Organization, Governance and Leadership, and Finances and Government support for co-management.

The Performance Monitoring Plan of IPAC has indicator #21 entitled "*number of protected area management units with improved performance and capacity for co-management*" with a program target of 45 CMOs self-scored and improved. These include 17 MACH wetland CMOs, 23 forest protected area CMOs and 5 ECA-based CMOs. The initial self-scored assessment revealed that out of 36 CMOs assessed only 14 scored optimum level ($\geq 70\%$) in April-May/2011, which increased upto 29 CMOs in April-May/2012. The assessment in July-August/2012 exhibited a significant progress, particularly in forest CMCs due mainly to intensive efforts from the project as well as revision of the framework which adequately captured achievements made by the CMCs over the years. As per the final assessment carried out in February/2013 of the 45 CMOs, 36 CMOs scored optimally by crossing 70% threshold, whereas other CMOs exhibited much improved performance. A decline in scores for 5 Hail Haor RMOs is noteworthy due mainly to the GOB amendment policy on awarding leases (hitherto RMOs managed beels) to highest bidders for revenue earning.

ACRONYMS

CMC	Co-Management Committees and Councils
CMO	Co-Management Organization
DFO	Divisional Forest Officer
DoE	Department of Environment
DoF	Department of Fisheries
ECA	Ecologically Critical Areas
FD	Forest Department
FRUG	Federation of Resource User Groups
GoB	Government of Bangladesh
IPAC	Integrated Protected Area Co-management
MACH	Management of Aquatic Ecosystems through Community Husbandry
MoEF	Ministry of Environment and Forests
MoFL	Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock
MoL	Ministry of Land
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
NSP	Nishorgo Support Project
PA	Protected Area
PMARA	Performance Monitoring and Applied Research Associate
PMP	Performance Monitoring Plan
RMO	Resource Management Organization
RUG	Resource User Group
UCC	Union Conservation Committee
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
VCG	Village Conservation Group
WS	Wildlife Sanctuary

FOREWORD

This study aims to document and score perceptions of the members of co-management organizations (CMOs) involved as sustainable and effective institutions for co-managing forest and wetland PAs covered under IPAC. Through this process CMO leaders identify their strengths, weaknesses and future courses of actions to make the organizations functional effectively. This assessment also addresses the project's performance indicator 21 "*Number of protected area management units with improved performance and capacity for co-management*". Largely this self-assessment scoring approach is dynamic (yearly) being applied to assess the progress of co-management organizations' effectiveness through improved organizational capacity, addressing the poor and gender dimensions, progress towards resource management, financial strengths as well as improvement in local livelihood as well as conservation initiatives.

CMOs as local institutions in natural resources co-management under IPAC have been working in a complex socio-economic and bio-physical context, which is characterized with challenges of poverty in densely inhabited PA landscapes. The Nishorgo Network comprises a number of varied CMOs in terms of their activities, types of natural resources, structure and composition of concerned grass-root to apex tiers. The indicators used in this study suitably harmonized both wetland and forest PA and ECA related CMOs through repeated consultations with stakeholders and project management based on the conceptual frameworks of USAID (2007) and Khan (2010).

This self-assessment is conducted through elaborate discussions with stakeholders including members of CMOs, resource users, local government representatives, and project implementing staff. This was undertaken by Performance Monitoring and Applied Research Associates in respective IPAC clusters under the guidance of Performance Monitoring Specialist. Concerned IPAC Site teams played active role in the process and stakeholder representatives from the CMOs shared their perceptions and interests by spending valuable time in the assessment.

1. OVERVIEW

1.1. Introduction

Institutionalizing the Nishorgo Network, an affiliated platform of forest and wetland protected areas and ECA CMOs in the country, through capacity building, policy supports and site specific developments is an important aim of the IPAC. The IPAC focuses on ensuring long-term success of conservation initiatives and extending socio-economic benefits to forest and wetland dependent population. Based on natural resources, evolution and structures, co-management organizations (CMOs) are of varied nature and have been evolving within PA institutional frameworks. This study particularly focuses on tracking and assessing the CMOs effectiveness for conservation co-management.

IPAC has been working with 55 co-management organizations (CMOs) including 23 forest CMCs, 17 wetland RMOs and 15 ECA-based Union Conservation Committees (UCCs). Of 34 declared forest protected areas (PAs) in the country, co-management approach is adopted in 17 PAs whereby 23 co-management councils/committees are in operation. Nishorgo Support Project (2004 – 2008) worked in 5 pilot forest PAs where 8 CMCs operated. In case of wetlands, MACH project (1998-2008) worked in 3 wetlands including Hail Haor, Turag-Bongshi river basin and Kangsha-Malijhee river basin, where 17 Resources Management Organizations (RMOs) have been in operation. Further, in Tanguar Haor and Hakaluki Haor, 15 Union level conservation committees were developed under the Community Based Sustainable Management of Tanguar Hoar Program (CBSMTHP) and Coastal Wetlands Biodiversity Management Project (CWBM, 2002-2010). This report covers results of assessment of 45 CMOs (Table 1), in line with IPAC program target, which includes 23 forest CMCs, 17 wetland-based RMOs and 5 wetland ECA-based union and village level committees.

IPAC Performance Indicator number 21 states: “(Number of protected area management units with improved performance and capacity for co-management) states that “A score-based assessment of performance will be developed and standardized into a percentage of maximum possible score for any PA (this is necessary as the diversity of forest and wetland PAs means that not all of the various detailed indicators contributing to the score will be valid for all PAs). Then the number of PAs achieving better than a target performance will be considered the overall achievement. Based on past assessments a target of 70% of the potential maximum score will indicate a well performing co-managed unit.”

During April 2011 assessment, 35 CMOs were covered and 14 scored optimum score ($\geq 70\%$) as reported in the Progress Report of Project Year 4 Quarter 1 on September 14, 2011. Later in April 2012 assessment, 45 CMOs were assessed and 15 more new CMOs scored optimum, thereby adding upto 29 as reported in PY4 Qrt4 on June 15, 2012. This assessment (February 2013) covers 45 CMOs including 23 forest Co-management Committees (CMCs), 17 wetland Resource Management Organizations (RMOs) and 2 ECA-based Union Conservation Committees from Tanguar Haor and 3 Village Conservation Groups (VCGs) from Hakaluki Haor. Co-management organizations differ in terms of their resources in use and composition, constituency, age of the organization (Table 1) and varied scale of supports as provided under various development projects. Consequently, finalizing a unified framework for scoring these diverse organizations initially posed some challenges. Through consultation with CMO representatives and IPAC implementing team, IPAC technical management resolved the issue by revising the framework (Annex 1) holistically.

Table 1: List of the CMOs covered under (February 2013) self-assessment

SI	Protected Areas	Name of the CMOs	Date of Formation
1.	Kongsha- Malijhee	Dholi Baila RMO	19/04/2001
2.	Kongsha- Malijhee	Bailsa RMO	20/04/2001
3.	Kongsha- Malijhee	Takimari RMO	16/05/2001
4.	Kongsha- Malijhee	Kewta RMO	10/02/2001
5.	Kongsha- Malijhee	Aura Baura RMO	08/02/2010
6.	Turag- Bongshi	Turag Nadi RMO	14/04/2001
7.	Turag- Bongshi	Alua RMO	25/04/2001
8.	Turag- Bongshi	Mokosh RMO	02/07/2000
9.	Turag- Bongshi	Gualia RMO	14/09/2003
10.	Madhupur NP	Modhupur NP (Dokhola) CMC	27/12/2010
11.	Madhupur NP	Modhupur NP (Rasulpur) CMC	14/03/2011
12.	Teknaf WS	Teknaf WS (Shikkhali) CMC	27/09/2006
13.	Teknaf WS	Teknaf WS (Whykong) CMC	29/08/2005
14.	Teknaf WS	Teknaf WS (Teknaf) CMC	06/08/2006
15.	Inani NP (proposed)	Inani CMC	20/10/2010
16.	Himchari NP	Himchari NP CMC	07/07/2010
17.	Medakachapia NP	Medakachappia NP CMC	17/11/2009
18.	Fasiakhali WS	Fashiakhali WS CMC	23/12/2009
19.	Chunati WS	Chunati WS (Jaldi) CMC	09/11/2006
20.	Chunati WS	Chunati WS (Chunati) CMC	28/08/2005
21.	Kaptai NP	Kaptai NP (Karnafully) CMC	23/08/2009
22.	Kaptai NP	Kaptai NP (Kaptai) CMC	23/08/2009
23.	Dudpukuria-Dhopachari WS	DDWS (Dudpukuria) CMC	22/05/2011
24.	Dudpukuria-Dhopachari WS	DDWS (Dhopachari) CMC	09/01/2012
25.	Hail haor	Barangangina RMO	24/01/2002
26.	Hail haor	Jethua RMO	16/11/2000
27.	Hail haor	Dumuria RMO	12/12/2000
28.	Hail haor	Balla RMO	10/12/2000
29.	Hail haor	Sananda RMO	20/11/2000
30.	Hail haor	Agari RMO	24/03/2001
31.	Hail haor	Ramedia RMO	19/10/2003
32.	Hail haor	Kajura RMO	22/02/2001
33.	Khadimnagar NP	Khadimnagar NP CMC	19/10/2009
34.	Rema-Kalenga WS	Rema-Kalenga WS CMC	25/09/2005
35.	Satchari NP	Satchari NP CMC	17/09/2006

SI	Protected Areas	Name of the CMOs	Date of Formation
36.	Lawachara NP	Lawachara NP CMC	10/09/2005
37.	Tanguar haor	North Sreepur Union Committee	August 2007
38.	Tanguar haor	South Sreepur Union Committee	September 2007
39.	Hakaluki haor	Noagaon VCG	03/12/2003
40.	Hakaluki haor	Ekota VCG, Gilachara	15/05/2006
41.	Hakaluki haor	Judhistipur Bade Dewli VCG	25/06/2006
42.	Sundarban East WS	Chandpai CMC	04/02/2010
43.	Sundarban East WS	Sarankhola CMC	12/04/2010
44.	Sundarban South WS	Dacope-Koyra CMC	13/12/2011
45.	Sundarban West WS	Munshiganj CMC	17/08/2011

1.2. Method

A set of 60 common indicators grouped into seven themes, was developed based on earlier self-scorecard frameworks used in MACH and NSP. This was refined in consultation with CMO representatives in order to finalize a set of common indicators sufficiently relevant for forests, wetlands and ECA-based CMOs. Co-management organizations under IPAC differ in terms of PA characteristics: their resources in use, composition, constitutions, age of the organization and varied scale of supports as provided from various development projects. Consequently a unified framework for scoring these diverse organizations posed some challenges. Through consultation with CMO representatives, IPAC implementing team revised the framework. The assessments comprised both a qualitative summary of the status of the CMO against each measure, and a classification of each measure into a numerical score (0, 1 or 2) based on perceptions of CMO representatives about their activities implemented and progress made during last 12 months. The maximum applicable scores for each of the measures (indicators) are summarized and expressed into percentile by 7 themes. In case of CMOs, categorized with “not applicable” measures, scores are weighted with remaining measures to calculate their scores in each theme. Finally, an overall score for each CMOs was calculated based on an average of scores (percentage) of each of seven themes. In addition, an average overall score for each CMO was calculated. The resulting score card (see Annex 1) comprises of the following themes with number of individual indicator measures (listed in parenthesis):

- Resource management (10 indicators),
- Pro-poor focus (8 indicators),
- Women's role (5 indicators),
- Organization (9 indicators),
- Governance and Leadership (7 indicators),
- Finance (8 indicators), and
- Government support for co-management (8 indicators).

The self-assessments were conducted by the concerned Performance Monitoring and Applied Research Associates (PMARAs) in consultation with CMO representatives including office bearers and at least one women member, and PA/site level project personnel. The CMO resolution book/records and other relevant information/events were reviewed with the site staffs of IPAC. In order to reduce bias amongst the PMARAs – the moderators of the discussions, every effort was made to ensure consistency in the approach: scoring through a common orientation, finalization of the method among the team, and recording quantitative and qualitative information as the basis for scoring using predefined categories. The iterations of

checking and revising the assessment information and scores were coordinated centrally and reviewed by Performance Monitoring Specialist who was assisted by a Monitoring Consultant. The draft report was shared with the CMOs as well as project implementing teams through regular meetings by focusing priority areas and strengthening the CMOs.

1.3. Overview of Findings

Self-scored assessment revealed that of 45 CMOs, 36 scored optimum level ($\geq 70\%$) as per the February 2013 assessment (Table 2-overall and Table 3-indicator summary). This shows a significant progress particularly in the scores for forest CMCs when compared to April-May 2011 assessment (IPAC 2011). This progress is shown due mainly to intensive efforts from the project but also due to revision of the framework which adequately captured achievements made by the CMCs over the years. On the other hand, a decline in the scores for Hail Haor RMOs resulted from the fact that some beels, hitherto under RMOs management since MACH project, have been off late leased out to highest bidders by the GOB and are no more under co-management.

Table 2: Scores (% of the maximum possible score) for the CMOs assessed in April 2011 and February 2013

SI	PAs	CMOs	Score (%) Apr 2011	Score (%) Feb 2013
1	Kangsha-Malijhee river basin, Sherpur	Aura Baura RMO	69.26	79.60
2		Kewta RMO	74.01	77.10
3		Takimari RMO	79.46	81.50
4		Dholi Baila RMO	83.08	85.20
5		Bailsa RMO	74.48	81.90
6	Turag-Bongshi	Alua RMO	76.78	81.30
7		Turag Nadi RMO	75.49	74.40
8		Gualia RMO	68.94	71.80
9		Mokosh RMO	74.61	73.20
10	Modhupur NP	Dokhola CMC	39.12	71.40
11		Rasulpur CMC	31.52	70.30
12	Teknaf WS	Teknaf CMC	50.20	74.90
13		Whykong CMC	49.66	73.30
14		Shilkhali CMC	50.20	71.20
15	Inani	Inani CMC	-	70.70
16	Himchari NP	Himchari CMC	50.35	72.10
17	Medakachapia NP	Medakachappia CMC	52.32	71.60
18	Fasiakhali WS	Fasiakhali CMC	49.60	71.70
19	Chunati WS	Chunati CMC	54.60	72.80
20		Jaldi CMC	50.03	70.90
21	Kaptai NP	Kaptai CMC	48.70	70.62
22		Karnafully CMC	50.84	70.80
23	Dudpukuria-Dhopachari WS	Dudpukuria CMC	-	70.84
24		Dhopachari CMC	-	64.30
25	Hail Haor	Barangina RMO	75.78	72.20

26		Jethua RMO	69.24	67.15
27		Dumuria RMO	73.89	68.65
28		Balla RMO	80.26	69.11
29		Sananda RMO	78.45	63.40
30		Agari RMO	66.84	63.70
31		Ramedia RMO	83.95	70.20
32		Kajura RMO	73.90	70.60
33	Khadimnagar NP	Khadimnagar CMC	58.34	75.30
34	Rema-Kalenga WS	Rema-Kalenga CMC	64.11	79.10
35	Satchari NP	Satchari CMC	70.41	78.80
36	Lawachara NP	Lawachara CMC	65.33	81.70
37	Tanguar Haor-UCCs	North Sreepur UCC		82.10
38		South Sreepur UCC		81.40
39	Hakaluki Haor-VCGs	Judistopur VCG		53.30
40		Noagoan VCG		61.00
41		Ekata VCG		56.20
42	Sundarbans	Chandpai CMC	47.22	72.70
43		Sarankhola CMC	44.95	71.60
44		Satkhira CMC	-	72.70
45		Dacope-Koyra CMC	-	71.30

Table 3: Cluster-wise Scores for the CMOs assessed in February 2013

3a. CMOs from Central Cluster

Indicators	Kangsha-Malijhi					Turag-bangshi				Modhupur NP	
	Aura-Baura	Kewta	Takimari	Dholi-baila	Bailsa	Alua	Turag nodi	Gualia	Mokosh	Dokhola	Rasulpur
Score % Overall	79.57	77.07	81.53	85.21	81.94	81.30	74.39	71.78	73.19	71.44	70.33
Resource management	92.86	75.00	92.86	100.00	92.86	100.00	85.71	85.71	85.71	75.00	75.00
Pro-poor	92.86	100.00	100.00	85.71	100.00	92.86	78.57	81.25	78.57	68.75	62.50
Women's role	70.00	60.00	60.00	70.00	70.00	60.00	60.00	50.00	50.00	58.33	70.00
Organization	94.44	94.44	94.44	94.44	88.89	94.44	94.44	88.89	88.89	77.78	83.33
Governance and Leadership	71.43	85.71	92.86	92.86	85.71	85.71	71.43	78.57	78.57	78.57	78.57
Finances	66.67	55.56	55.56	72.22	61.11	61.11	55.56	55.56	55.56	66.67	66.67
Government support for co-management	68.75	68.75	75.00	81.25	75.00	75.00	75.00	62.50	75.00	75.00	56.25

3b. CMOs from Southeastern Cluster, Cox's bazar

Indicators	Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary			Inani FR	Himchari NP	Medakach appia NP	Fashiakhali WS	Chunati WS	
	Teknaf	Whykong	Shilkhali					Chunati	Jaldi
Score % Overall	74.93	73.26	71.21	70.70	72.10	71.56	71.66	72.78	70.88
Resource management	81.25	61.11	75.00	81.3	66.67	75.00	75.00	68.75	81.25
Pro-poor	62.50	68.75	62.50	68.8	81.25	62.50	68.75	68.75	62.50
Women's role	80.00	90.00	80.00	90.0	90.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	70.00
Organisation	88.89	94.44	83.33	72.2	77.78	88.89	83.33	77.78	77.78
Governance and Leadership	78.57	71.43	78.57	78.6	78.57	78.57	78.57	85.71	92.86
Finances	83.33	83.33	83.33	61.1	66.67	72.22	72.22	72.22	55.56
Government support for co-management	50.00	43.75	35.71	42.9	43.75	43.75	43.75	56.25	56.25

3c. CMOs from CHT and Ctg Cluster

Indicators	Kaptai National Park		Dudphukuria-Dhopachari Wildlife Sanctuary	
	Kaptai	Kharnaphuly	Dudphukuria	Dhopachari
Score % Overall	70.62	70.77	70.84	64.30
Resource management	81.25	81.25	81.3	68.8
Pro-poor	68.75	62.50	68.8	87.5
Women's role	60.00	80.00	80.0	60.0
Organisation	88.89	83.33	72.2	66.7
Governance and Leadership	85.71	78.57	78.6	85.7
Finances	72.22	72.22	72.2	50.0
Government support for co-management	37.50	37.50	42.9	31.3

3di. CMOs from Northeast Cluster, Sylhet: Hail Haor

Indicators	Hail Haor							
	Barogangina	Jatuya	Dumuria	Balla	Sananda	Agari	Ramadia	Kajura
Score % Overall	72.23	67.10	68.70	69.11	63.44	63.67	70.25	70.56
Resource management	70.00	70.00	45.00	50.00	65.00	50.00	85.00	85.00
Pro-poor	68.75	68.75	68.75	68.75	75.00	68.75	68.75	62.50
Women's role	70.00	40.00	70.00	80.00	50.00	60.00	50.00	70.00
Organization	100.00	94.44	100.00	94.44	94.44	94.44	88.89	88.89
Governance and Leadership	85.71	85.71	85.71	85.71	71.43	85.71	92.86	100.00
Finances	61.11	61.11	61.11	61.11	44.44	55.56	50.00	50.00
Government support for co-management	50.00	50.00	50.00	43.75	43.75	31.25	56.25	37.50

3dii. CMOs from Northeast Cluster, Sylhet: ECA and Forest CMOs

Indicators	Tanguar Hoar- UCCs		Hakaluki Haor- VCGs			Forest CMCs			
	North Sreepur	South Sreepur	Judistopur	Noagoan	Ekata	Khadim nagar NP	Rema-Kalenga WS	Satchari NP	Lawachara NP
Score % Overall	82.11	81.39	53.27	60.96	56.17	75.32	79.09	78.75	81.67
Resource management	75.00	80.00	30.00	40.00	40.00	77.78	81.25	88.89	100.00
Pro-poor	75.00	68.75	50.00	81.25	62.50	75.00	81.25	81.25	75.00
Women's role	80.00	70.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	70.00	70.00	60.00	70.00
Organisation	88.89	88.89	83.33	85.00	83.33	77.78	88.89	83.33	88.89
Governance and Leadership	85.71	85.71	35.71	35.71	50.00	85.71	85.71	85.71	85.71
Finances	88.89	88.89	66.67	72.22	61.11	72.22	77.78	83.33	83.33
Government support for co-management	81.25	87.50	57.14	62.50	56.25	68.75	68.75	68.75	68.75

3e. CMOs from Southwest/Sundarbans Cluster

Indicators	Chandpai	Sarankhola	Satkhira	Dacope-Koyra
Score % Overall	72.72	71.62	72.70	71.26
Resource management	85.00	90.91	90.00	86.36
Pro-poor	75.00	75.00	75.00	68.75
Women's role	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00
Organisation	83.33	77.78	77.78	92.86
Governance and Leadership	85.71	71.43	83.33	83.33
Finances	50.00	50.00	27.78	25.00
Government support for co-management	50.00	56.25	75.00	62.50

2. CO-MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION ASSESSMENT

2.1 Central Cluster Wetland CMOs

Overall the wetland CMOs in Turag-Bangshi and Kangsha-Malijhee river basins are functioning well and making progress as reflected in February 2013 scorecard. However, the recent GOB policy on renewal of beel leases based on highest bidders, thereby ignoring the RMOs, is a prominent threat to the management of beels and sanctuaries on ecological and ecosystem based criteria. This issue requires immediate resolution by amending Wetland Leasing Policy 2009. Further support from development initiatives, upazila administration, and all other concerned is vital for long-term sustainability of these CMOs and conservation of wetlands.

2.2 Central Cluster Forest CMOs

Two CMOs in Modhupur National Park (Dokhola and JAUS), relatively new CMCs, made significant improvement during the project implementation with active support from all stakeholders, particularly Forest Department and the project management. Such an improvement through building capacity of CMC stakeholders, networking and livelihood support brought a rapid progress which needs to be consolidated and stabilized for CMOs sustainability.

2.3 South-eastern Cluster Forest CMOs

A total of 9 CMCs in 6 PAs of South-eastern cluster were assessed in February 2013. A significant improvement was found visible in conservation co-management with active involvement of both community stakeholders and the field staff of Government agencies as reflected in two international awards (Equator Prize 2012 for Chunati WS and Wangari Mathai Award for Keruntali CPG from Teknaf WS). In addition, on 12th February 2013, Mrs. Kurshida Begum, Presiedent, Kerontali Female Community Petroll Group, Tekanf received the National Ansar & VDP (Service) Award from Sheikh Hassina Wazed, Prime Minister of Peoples Republic of Bangladesh, for her contribution for society as well as forest conservation.

Most of the CMCs offices are established on FD premises and regular coordination with CMO and Divisional Forest Officers is taking place. Improvement in conservation co-management is reflected in the latest scorecard assessment when compared to April/2011 assessment. The project focused on building capacity, awareness amongst local masses, networking at local and national level, and promoting conservation-oriented livelihood development program for dependent landscape population. Further sensitization, support and stabilization of achievement, in the frame of participation of women, regular attendance of CMO members in meetings, focused livelihood supports to dependent communities and maintain networking, will further consolidate long-term sustainability.

Of 7 key components of the CMO assessment, main five areas of (1) resource management, (2) pro-poor (3) women's role (4) organization and (5) governance and leadership have been well achieved (> 70 %). The other two components of (1) finance and (2) government support in co-management are achieved 50 %, but still quite high when compared to April 2011 assessment.

2.4 CHT/Chittagong Cluster Forest CMOs

Four CMCs in Kaptai National Park and Dudpukuria-Dhopachari WS were brought into this assessment which demonstrated significant improvement with intensive supports from project as well as Government. The stakeholders brought a remarkable shift in conservation co-management through much improved functionality of CMCs despite these CMCs being relatively new.

Two CMOs offices are established on FD premises at Dudpukuria and Dhopachari by renovating existing facility. Regular coordination between the CMOs and the respective Divisional Forest Officers have continued. Overall performances of all CMCs are good, except Dhopachari CMC which was formed recently on 9th January 2012.

Of 7 key components of the CMO assessment, five areas of (1) resource management, (2) pro-poor (3) women's role (4) organization, and (5) governance and leadership have been well achieved (> 70%). The other two components of (1) finance and (2) government support in co-management are found less (around 50%), except Dhopachari CMC (government support for co-management is 31.3 %). However, these scores are quite high in comparison to April 2011 assessment.

2.5 Hail Haor Wetland CMOs

Since MACH implementation, 8 RMOs in the Hail Haor have been performing well, by overcoming challenges and resolving conflicts that arise frequently due mainly to theft and illegal fishing from the Haor, and untimely release of endowment funds by the concerned Upazila Committees. However, since the lease period extension for the beels in the Hail Haor was not extended in favor of the existing RMOs, widespread negative impacts in the ownership and management of the beels are manifested. This exhibits a serious concern which is prominently visible in declining scores of almost all the RMOs when compared to their scores obtained in April 2011 assessment. IPAC team is assisting DOF for amendment of Wetland Leasing Policy 2009 and facilitating the RMOs in liaison with policy makers in the Government. Further, a recent trend of expansion of culture fishing is growing in Hail Haor and may in long-term prove damaging for the Haor ecosystem. It is evident that long-term community leases of wetlands to the RMOs are vital to ensure conservation of unique wetland resources and their sustainable use.

2.6 Sylhet Cluster Forest CMOs

With the advent of collaborative management in forest PAs, a paradigm shift from traditional approach of PA management was found visible. Stakeholders from various segments were found participating in decision-making. Forest Department is increasing adopting a people-centered approach with CMO institutions (CMCs, People's Forums, VCFs, CPGs). FD and local stakeholders are promoting biodiversity conservation and livelihood development program initiated by the project. Challenges and conflicts such as illegal harvest of forest resources and land encroachment are still continuing, though a declining trend is visible as joint patrolling by CPGs is strengthened. The project focused on strengthening co-management institutions including regional and national Nishorgo Network and made significant improvement which has been reflected in February 2013 scores when compared to April 2011. All forest-based CMOs scored optimally in February/2013 assessment.

2.7 Sylhet Cluster ECA CMOs

Tanguar Haor and Hakaluki Haor are two major wetland ECA ecosystems in Sylhet cluster. The North Sreepur and South Sreepur Union Co-management Committees of Tanguar Haor along with Judhistipur, Noagaon and Ekata VCGs from Hakaluki Haors were considered for self-scorecard assessment process in February 2013. The scores in this assessment varied significantly for the ECAs due mainly to the generalized nature of the framework that was developed for all forests, wetlands and ECA CMOs. As a

result, some of the designated indicators and their varied parameters and structures were not in fact amenable to the CMOs of ECAs. While the Union Committees from Tanguar Haor scored at the higher end, the VCGs from the Hakaluki Haor scored lower. Though the awareness, institutional and sanctuary management supports from the project made significant contribution in project locations (e.g. Buyia, Gujia and Koyarkona beels and their landscapes in Hakaluki Haor), further support is required to strengthen the CMOs in order to be functional optimally.

2.8 Sundarbans Cluster Forest CMOs

Compared to the first assessment of April 2011, both the CMOs of Chandpai and Sarankhola (that were assessed earlier) have scored significantly higher with a 27% increase, thereby reaching to 71.6% from 44.9%. The other two CMOs (that were not formed at the time of April, 2011 assessment) also scored high. All the four CMOs of the Sundarbans cluster have scored over 70% due to the mature level of performance as a result of the project efforts and assistance from the Forest Department. Main reason of the significant increase of the two new CMOs of Khulna and Satkhira, in relatively short period, is that the CMOs key personnel are quite motivated to co-management and hence are providing their best efforts for CMOs development. Of 7 key components of the CMO assessment, the five areas of (1) resource management, (2) pro-poor (3) women's role (4) organization, and (5) governance and leadership have been well achieved (over 70%). The other two components of (1) finance and (2) government support in co-management are less (around 50). However, this score is quite high in comparison to April 2011 assessment.

REFERENCE

- IPAC (Integrated Protected Area Co-management Project) 2011. Assessment of Co-management Organization (CMOs) April-May 2011, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Khan, N.A. 2010. Towards a conceptual framework for capacity assessment of local institutions in natural resources management. Dhaka University Journal of Development Studies, ISBN: 2072-9545, vol-1 no. 1, January 2010, Dhaka. pp 115-127.
- USAID 2007.MACH-II, Completion Report – Volume- 1, MACH Achievement. Available at http://transition.usaid.gov/bd/files/05a.MACH_IICompletionReport.pdf on September 27, 2012

ANNEX I: CMO ASSESSMENT SCORE CARD FORMAT (JULY 2012)

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
	Background data		
1	Site (PA name)		
2	CMO name		
3	Date of assessment		
	Resource management	10	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date:	<15 months ago => 2 15-24 months ago => 1 >24 months ago => 0
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	No cutting of trees	≥4 => 2
		No hunting	2-3 => 1
		Replanting native trees	0 or 1 => 0
		No fires	
		Limits on collection of plants for use	
		Other (details)	
		Other (details)	
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Fish sanctuary (Fishing ban area)	≥4 => 2
		Closed season	2-3 => 1
		Ban on harmful gears	0 or 1 => 0
		Ban on dewatering	
		Fees for fishing	
		Reintroduction rare indigenous fish species	
		Excavation of silted up waterbody	
		Other (details)	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Type of change and % of area involved	Vegetation improved in >20% of managed area => 2 No change or improvement in <20% of managed area => 1 Degraded => 0
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008)	increase => 2 same => 1 decrease => 0
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	% of managed area encroached/ converted and to what (e.g. crops, aquaculture, industry)	None => 2 Some area recovered = 1 No actions taken=0
10	No of incidents / extent of breaking/ breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	None	2
		Moderate/some	1
		High/serious	0
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	Resolved problem for most of the cases	2
		Action taken but not resolved	1
		No action	0
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No.:	None => 2 1 => 1 More than 1 => 0

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No.:	None => 2 1 => 1 More than 1 => 0
14	Extent of conflicts have been overcome or resolved	All Some None	2 1 0
	Pro-poor	8	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) As per CMC gazette, max. 35 can be poor in 65 councilors (3 from ethnic, 5 from club, 5 from CPG & 22 from PF)	No. and %:	>40% poor => 2 20-39% poor => 1 < 20% poor => 0
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	No. and %:	>60% poor=> 2 40-59% poor=> 1 <40 poor=> 0
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No. and %:	>40% poor => 2 20- 39% => 1 <20% => 0
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.		2 or more => 2 1 => 1 none => 0
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Yes, plays active role in management decisions Partly consulted, or members but no real say No consultation	2 1 0
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/landscape zone	Improved Same Worse	2 1 0
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Good/Profitable OK/Break even Poor/Loss	2 1 0
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Improved Same Worse	2 1 0
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	None, Very few, Several or many	2 1 0
	Women's role	5	
23a	For forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No. and %:	>=23% => 2 15-22% => 1 <15% => 0
23b	For wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	No. and %:	>=30% => 2 15-30% => 2 <15% => 0
24a	For forest CMCs: no. of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No. and %:	>=17% => 2 12-16% => 1 <12% => 0
24b	For wetlands: no. of CMO EC members who are women	No. and %:	>=30% => 2 15-30% => 2 <15% => 0

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2
		Sometimes speak out in meetings	1
		Never speak out in meetings	0
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	No.:	2 or more => 2
			1 => 1
			none => 0
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Improved	2
		Same	1
		Worse	0
	Organization	9	
28	If CMO has an office and its condition	Yes and being maintained,	2
		Yes but not well maintained,	1
		No	0
29	No. of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.:	8 or more => 2
			4-7 => 1
			0-3 => 0
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%:	> 60% => 2
			50-60% => 1
			<50% => 0
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.:	2 or more => 2
			1 => 1
			None => 0
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%:	>60% => 2
			50-60% => 1
			<55% => 0
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:	<8 months ago =>2
			8-12 months ago =>1
			> 12 months ago =>0
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:	<15 months ago =>2
			15-24 months ago =>1
			> 24 months ago =>0
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Managed by CMO	2
		By CMO but with support from NGO	1
		Substantially dependent on facilitation (NGOs)	0
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2
		Record of last meeting written up by CMO but not for all agenda items	1
		Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff	0
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Yes (with who and date registered):	2
		In process of registration	1
		No	0
	Governance and leadership	7	

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2
		Yes	0
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date:	≤ 3months later than in constitution schedule => 2
			4-12 months later than in constitution => 1
			> 12 months late (including never) => 0
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Secret ballot of all members (GB/council)	2
		Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1
		Decided internally by (Executive) Committee only	0
		Other (details):	
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members (including female members)	2
		Leaders listen to some of people,	1
		Few people take all decisions without listening to others	0
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
		Tend to dominate or influence behind scenes	1
		None/very little	0
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Always	2
		Some lapses in duties	1
		Broke CMO rules or often inactive	0
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Recognized system operating, e.g. a review sub-committee or monthly report card	2
		Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1
		No	0
	Finances	8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes, and plan followed	2
		Yes, but plan not followed	1
		No	0
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2
		Satisfactory	1
		Not well maintained (not up to date, mistakes, none)	0
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date:	Within last 8 months =>2
			8-12 months ago => 1
			13+ months ago => 0
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, followed	2
		Yes, not followed	
		No	0
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Enough to fund all	2
		Enough to fund main needs	1
		Not enough	0
49	If the CMO has implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes, successfully implemented	2
		Yesbut not satisfactory	1
		No	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare	Yes, fund exists to help poor in need	2

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
	support to those in need	No formal fund, but CMO gives informal assistance	1
		No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date:	≤ 12 months ago => 2
			> 12 months ago => 1
			Never => 0
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date:	≤ 12 months ago => 2
			> 12 months ago => 1
			Never => 0
	Government support for co-management	8	
53	No. of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Whenever requested/required	2
		Some of times when requested	1
		Never	0
54	Outcome of government support /interaction	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2
		No significant change	1
		Worsened situation	0
55	No. of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Whenever requested/required	2
		Some of times when requested	1
		Never	0
56	Outcome of UP support	Reduced conflict and improved NR management	2
		No significant change	1
		Worsened situation	0
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2
		Listen to CMO if raise their voices	1
		Dominate meetings and give less time for CMO especially the poor	0
58	No. of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details, no.:	none => 2
			1 => 1
			2 or more => 0
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Formalized by agreement	2
		Exist but informal	1
		None	0
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	Details and amounts:	Yes, value > Tk 20,000 => 2
			Yes, value < Tk 20,000 => 1
			none => 0
	Other		
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO		

	Indicator	Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score)	Categories
	Assessment made by:		
	Note: last year = last 12 months up to date of assessment		

ANNEX II: DETAILED SCORES OF 45 CMOS, JANUARY-FEBRUARY/2013

Central Cluster

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi: Aura Baura	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Kewta	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Takimari	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Dholi Baila	Score
	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 assessment	
2	CMO name	Aura Baura Beel Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan		Kewta Beel Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan		Takimari Darabasia Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan		Dholi Baila Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan	
3	Date of assessment	14.01.2013		14.01.2013		13.01.2013		07.02.2013	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 04.07.2012	2	Date: 16.07.2011	1	18.06.2012	2	Date: 11.07.2011	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Not applicable		Not applicable		Not applicable		N/A	
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears	1	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears	1	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears	1	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears, fees for fishing	2
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	% change (compared with 2008): N/A		% change (compared with 2008):N/A		% change (compared with 2008): N/A		% change (compared with 2008): N/A	
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): 20% increased	2	% change (compared with 2008): Same	1	% change (compared with 2008): Increased by 30%	2	% change (compared with 2008): Increased by 25%	2
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008): None	2
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No: None	2	No: Moderate/some	1	No: None	2	No: None	2
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No: N/A		No: Resolved problems	2	No: N/A		No: N/A	
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi: Aura Baura	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Kewta	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Takimari	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Dholi Baila	Score
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: N/A		No and %:	-			No and %:	-
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: 75 out of 96 & 78%	2	No and %: 63 out of 73 & 86%	2	No and %: 78 out of 97 & 80%	2	No and %: 60 out of 79 & 76%	2
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No and %: 18 out of 24 & 75%	2	No and %: 12 out of 15 & 80%	2	No and %: 16 out of 21 & 76%	2	No and %: 15 out of 19 & 79%	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	8	2	6	2	7	2	0	0
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/landscape zone	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	OK/break even	1	Good/Profitable	2	Good/Profitable	2	Good/Profitable	2
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	None	2	None	2	None	2	None	2
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %:		No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	No and %: 24 out of 96 & 25%	1	No and %: 13 out of 73 & 18%	1	No and %: 29 out of 97 & 30%	1	No and %: 23 out of 79 & 29%	1

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi: Aura Baura	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Kewta	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Takimari	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Dholi Baila	Score
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %:		No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	No and %: 7 out of 24 & 29%	1	No and %: 4 out of 15 & 27%	1	No and %: 5 out of 21 & 24%	1	No and %: 4 out of 19 & 21%	1
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	No.: 1	1	No.: None	0	No.:	0	No.: 1	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Improved	2	Improved	2	improved	2	Improved	2
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	yes and well maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 10	2	No.: 7	1	No.: 10	2	No.: 9	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 75%	2	%: 73%	2	%: 86% (18 out of 21)	2	%: 79% (15 out of 19)	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 3	2	No.: 5	2	No.: 2	2	No.: 4	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 65%	2	%: 65%	2	%: 60%	1	%: 58%(46 out of 79)	1
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date: 04.07.2012	2	Date: 04.06.2012	2	Date: 18.06.2012	2	Date: 11.08.12	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	In process of registration	1	Registered on 08-01-2001 with Social welfare Dept.	2	Registered on 11-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept.	2	Registered on 11-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept.	2
	Governance and leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2	No	2	No	2

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi: Aura Baura	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Kewta	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Takimari	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Dholi Baila	Score
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 15.03.12	2	Date: 04.04.2012	2	Date: 28.06.2012	2	Date:20.12.2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	show of hands	1	show of hands	1	Secret ballot	2	Secret ballot	2
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	No	0	Recognized system (Report card)	2	Recognized system(through card)	2	Recognized system(through card)	2
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Wel maintained	2	Satisfactory	1	Satsfactory	1	Well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: 22.12.2012	2	Date: 19.11.2012	2	Date: 20.11.2012	2	Date: 19.01.2013	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2	Yes,followed	2	Yes,followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Not enough	0	Not enough	0	Not enough	0	Not enough	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes, succesfully implemented	2	None	0	No	0	Yes, successfully implemented (Fish sanctuary establishment through DoF fund)	2
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 26.12.2012	2	Date: 02.06.2012	2	Date: 20.11.2012	2	Date: 19.01.2013	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: Never	0	Date: 3 years ago	1	Date: 03.11.2011	1	Date: 03.11.2011	1
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Whenever requested / required . DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to impose fishing rules and regulations, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO, DC and community people	2	Whenever requested / required . DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to impose fishing rules and regulations, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO, DC and community people	2	Whenever requested / required . DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to impose fishing rules and regulations, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO, DC and community people	2	Whenever requested / required . DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to impose fishing rules and regulations, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO, DC and community people	2

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi: Aura Baura	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Kewta	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Takimari	Score	Kangsha-Malijhi: Dholi Baila	Score
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Some of times when requested	1	Some of times when requested	1	Some of times when requested	1	Some of times when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change	1	No significant change	1	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: None	2	Details no: None	2	Details no: None	2	Details no: None	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None	0	Exist but informal with APON Project on agricultural know-how assistance	1	None	0	Exist but not formal.Linkage with BRAC for sewing activities of women	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	Provided 24 kgs fingerling of Shing amounting Tk. 10000.00	1	No	0	Input support from DoF like Shing fingerling, Rickshaw-van, Bi-cycle; amounting Tk.30,000	2	Input support from DoF like Shing fingerling, sciene net Rickshaw-van, Bi-cycle, goat etc. amounting Tk.4,50,000	2
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO								
				PMARA, SF, FO K-M site		PMARA, SF, K-M site		PMARA, SF& FO K-M site	
	Assessment made by:	PMARA, SF K-M site							
		Score % Overall	79.6	Score % Overall	77.1	Score % Overall	81.5	Score % Overall	85.2
		Resource management	92.9	Resource management	75.0	Resource management	92.9	Resource management	100.0
		Pro-poor	92.9	Pro-poor	100.0	Pro-poor	100.0	Pro-poor	85.7
		Women's role	70.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	70.0
		Organisation	94.4	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	94.4
		Governance and Leadership	71.4	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	92.9	Governance and Leadership	92.9
		Finances	66.7	Finances	55.6	Finances	55.6	Finances	72.2
		Government support for co-management	68.8	Government support for co-management	68.8	Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	81.3

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi:Bailsha	Score	Turag-bangshi: Alua	Score	Turag-bangshi : Turag Nadi	Score	Turag-bangshi: Gualia	Score
	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	Bailsa Beel Jolabhumu Sampad Bebostapona Songhotan		Alua Beel Sampad Byebostapona Kalyan Sanghaton		Turag Nadi Sampad Byebastapona Kalyan Sanghaton		Gualia Nadi Sampad Bebostapona Kalyan Sangtha	
3	Date of assessment	07.02.2013		11.02.2013		14.02.2013		13.02.2013	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 12.07.2011	2	Date: 31-07.12	2	Date: 29.12.2011	2	Date: 25.10.11	1
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears	1	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ,ban on harmful gears, fees for fishing	2	Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on harmful gears	1	Fish Sanctuary,closed season,ban on harmful gears	1
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	% change (compared with 2008): N/A		% change (compared with 2008):N/A		% change (compared with 2008):N/A		% change (compared with 2008):N/A	
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): Increased by 20%	2	% change (compared with 2008): 25% increased	2	% change (compared with 2008): No change. Pollution problem	1	% change (compared with 2008):Increased by 20%	2
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008): None	2	% change (compared with 2008):None	2
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No: N/A		No: N/A		No: N/A		No: N/A	
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2	No: None	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %:	-	No and %:	-	No and %:	-	No and %:	-

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi:Bailsha	Score	Turag-bangshi: Alua	Score	Turag-bangshi : Turag Nadi	Score	Turag-bangshi: Gualia	Score
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: 50 out of 75 & 67%	2	No and %: 110 out of 138 80%	2	No and %: 60 out of 92 & 65%	2	No and %: 45 out of 74 & 61%	2
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No and %: 12 out of 17 & 71%	2	No and %: 12 out of 19 & 63%	2	No and %: 6 out of 19 & 32%	1	No and %: 4 out of 13 & 31%	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	2	2	1	1	0	0	None	0
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	N/A		N/A		N/A		Yes, play active role	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Good/Profitable	2	Good/Profitable	2	Good/Profitable	2	Good/Profitable	2
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	None	2	None	2	None	2	None	2
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %:		No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	No and %: 20 out of 75 & 27%	1	No and %: 26 out of 138 & 19%	1	No and %: 29 out of 92 & 32%	1	No and %: 19 out of 74 & 26%	1
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %:		No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	No and %: 5 out of 17 & 29%	1	No and %: 4 out of 19 & 21%	1	No and %: 3 out of 19 & 16%	1	No and %: 1 out of 13 & 8%	0
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	No.: 1	1	No.: None	0	No.: None	0	No.: 0	0
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2	Improved	2
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes and being maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2	Yes and being maintained	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 6	1	No.: 11	2	No.: 10	2	No.: 7	1
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 11 Out of 17 & 65%	<u>2</u>	%: 84% (16 out of 19)	<u>2</u>	%: 79% (15 out of 19)	<u>2</u>	%: 69% (9 out of 13)	<u>2</u>

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi:Bailsha	Score	Turag-bangshi: Alua	Score	Turag-bangshi : Turag Nadi	Score	Turag-bangshi: Gualia	Score
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 3	2	No.: 1	1	No.: 1	1	No.: 1	1
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 59 (44 out of 75)	<u>1</u>	%: 76% (105 out of 138)	<u>2</u>	%: 78% (72 out of 92)	<u>2</u>	%: 84% (62 out of 74)	<u>2</u>
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date: 06.08.12	2	Date: 21.06.2012	2	Date: 23.06.2012	2	Date: 19.06.2012	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Registered on 11-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept.	2	Registered on 04-06-2004 with Social welfare Dept.	2	Registered on 04-06-2002with Social welfare Dept.	2	Registered on 15-01-2008 with Social welfare Dept.	2
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2	No	2	None	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 08.02.2012	2	Date: 21.06.2012	2	Date: 23.06.2012	2	Date: 19.06.2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Show of hands	1	Show of hands	1	Show of hands	1	Show hands	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Recognized system(through card)	2	Formally(Report cards)	2	No	0	Informal	1
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	Satisfactory	1	Satisfactory	1
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: 18.12.12	2	Date: 21.06.2012	2	Date: 23.06.2012	2	Date: 19.06.2012	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes,followed	2	Yes,followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Not enough	0	Not enough	0	Not enough	0	Not enough	0

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi:Bailsha	Score	Turag-bangshi: Alua	Score	Turag-bangshi : Turag Nadi	Score	Turag-bangshi: Gualia	Score
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	No	0	None	0	None	0	None	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	0	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 18.12.2012	2	Date: 12.06.2012	2	Date: 14.06.2012	2	Date:12.06.2012	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: 03.11.2011	1	Date: 03.10.2011	1	Date: 28..10.2008	1	Date: 28-10-2008	1
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Whenever requested / required . DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to impose fishing rules and regulations, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO, DC and community people	2	whenever requested. Fish poaching was a problem here. DoF helped to prevent fish poaching in cooperation with Police Department.	2	Whenever requested / required .	2	Whenever requested / required .	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Some of times when requested	1	Some times when requested / required. UP helped to prevent fish poaching	1	Some of times when requested	1	Some of times when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change	1	No significant change	1	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: None	2	No	2	No	2	No	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None	0	None	0	Formalised by agreement . Agreement signed with GIZ on ICS establishment	2	None	0
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	Input support from DoF like Shing fingerling, sciene net , Bi-cycle etc. amounting Tk.20,000	2	200 kg fingerling support given from DoF amounting Tk.40000.00	2	No such support	0	No support	0
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other								

1	Site (PA name)	Kangsha-Malijhi:Bailsha	Score	Turag-bangshi: Alua	Score	Turag-bangshi : Turag Nadi	Score	Turag-bangshi: Gualia	Score
	problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO								
		PMARA, SF K-M site							
	Assessment made by:			PMARA,SF & FO of TB site		MPARA, SF TB site		MPARA, SF,TB &FO TB site	
		Score % Overall	81.9	Score % Overall	81.3	Score % Overall	74.4	Score % Overall	71.8
		Resource management	92.9	Resource management	100.0	Resource management	85.7	Resource management	85.7
		Pro-poor	100.0	Pro-poor	92.9	Pro-poor	78.6	Pro-poor	81.3
		Women's role	70.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	50.0
		Organisation	88.9	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	88.9
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	71.4	Governance and Leadership	78.6
		Finances	61.1	Finances	61.1	Finances	55.6	Finances	55.6
		Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	62.5

1	Site (PA name)	Turag-Bongshi: Mokosh	Score	Madhupur National Park: Dokhola	Score	Madhupur National Park: Rasulpur	Score
	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	MokoshKaliadaha Beel SampadBebostapona Kalyan Sangtha		Dokhola Range CMC		Jatiya Uddayan Sadar Range, Rasulpur CMC	
3	Date of assessment	12.02.2013		05.02.13		28.01.2013	
	Resource management	11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 19.07.2012	2	Date: 24.04.2012	2	Date: 24.04.2012	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	N/A		No cutting of trees, no hunting,no fires,,Replanting native trees	2	No cutting of trees, no hunting,no fires,,Replanting native trees	2
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Fish Sanctuary,closed season,ban on harmful gears	1	not applicable		not applicable	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	% change (compared with 2008): N/A		Increase in growth in under 50% of management area (25%)	1	Increase in growth in under 50% of management area (25%)	1
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): Same, pollution is a problem	1	% change (compared with 2008) not applicable		% change (compared with 2008) not applicable	
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	None	2	Encroachment is significantly reduced not totally stopped	1	Encroachment is significantly reduced not totally stopped	1
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	None	2	Moderate/ some	1	Moderate/ some	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No N/A		No.: Action taken but not resolved wholly	1	No.: Action taken but not resolved wholly	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No.:none	2	No.: None	2	None	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: None	2	No: None	2	None	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	N/A		N/A		N/A	
	Pro-poor	9		9		9	

1	Site (PA name)	Turag-Bongshi: Mokosh	Score	Madhupur National Park: Dokhola	Score	Madhupur National Park: Rasulpur	Score
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %:	-	No and %: 35 out of 63 & 56%	2	No and %: 34 out of 65 & 52%	2
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: 70 out of 115 & 61%	2	No and %:		No and %: N/A	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No and %: 5 out of 13 & 38%	1	No and %: 17 out of 27 & 63%	2	No and %: 10 out of 29 & 34%	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	None	0	None	0	None	0
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	N/A		Yes, play active role	2	yes, play active roles in management decision	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Improved	2	Same	1	Same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Good/Profitable	2	break even	1	break even	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Improved	2	No significant changes	1	No significant changes	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	None	2	none	2	No.: None	2
	-						
	Women's role	5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %:		(13 out of 63) 21%	1	No and %: 14 out of 65 & 22%	1
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	No and %: 30 out of 115 & 26%	1			No and %:	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %:		5 out of 27 & 19%	2	No and %: 6 out of 29 & 21%	2
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	No and %: 1 out of 13 & 8%	0		0	No and %: N/A	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence and speak out in meetings	2	Regularly speak out	2	Regularly speak out	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	No.: None	0	None 1	1	1	1

1	Site (PA name)	Turag-Bongshi: Mokosh	Score	Madhupur National Park: Dokhola	Score	Madhupur National Park: Rasulpur	Score
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Improved	2	No significant changes	1	No significant changes	1
	Organisation	9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes and beibg maintained	2	Yes & well maintained	2	Yes & well maintained	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 5	1	No.: 6	1	No.: 10	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 69% (9 out of 13)	2	65%	2	%: (18 out of 29) 62%	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 1	1	No.: 1	1	No.: 1	1
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 77% (89 out of 115)	2	64%	2	%: 65%	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:		Date: 30.11.11 (Council meeting)	1	Date: 30.11.2011	1
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date: 19.07.2012	2			Date:	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Managed by CMO	2	Managed by CMO	2	Managed entirely by CMO	2
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items written up by CMO with solutions/decisions	2	All agenda written up, solutions taken	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Registered on 05-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept.	2	Under process	1	Under process	1
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	None	2	None	2	None	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 19.07.2012	2	Date: 27.12.10 (First CMC committee formed)	2	Date: 14.03.11 (first CMO committee formed)	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Secret ballot	2	Show of hands	1	Show hands	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	do not dominate but give useful suggetions	2	do not dominate but give useful suggetions	2

1	Site (PA name)	Turag-Bongshi: Mokosh	Score	Madhupur National Park: Dokhola	Score	Madhupur National Park: Rasulpur	Score
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1	Some lapses	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	No	0	Informally	1	Informally	1
	Finances	8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes and plan followed	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Satisfactory	1	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: 19.07.2012	2	Date: 08.08.2012	2	Date: 18.11.12	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes and plan followed	2	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Not enough	0	Not enough	0	Not enough	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	None	0	Yes, successfully implemented	2	Yes, successfully implemented	2
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 16.07.2012	2	Date:16.11.12	2	Date: 18.11.12	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date:28-10-2008	1	Date:Never	0	Date:Never	0
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Whenever requested / required .	2	Some of times when requested	1	Some of times when requested	1

1	Site (PA name)	Turag-Bongshi: Mokosh	Score	Madhupur National Park: Dokhola	Score	Madhupur National Park: Rasulpur	Score
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Some of times when requested	1	Whenever requested/required	2	Some of times when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change	1	Reduced conflict and improved compliance	2	No significant change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions	2
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	No	2	None	2	None	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None	0	Formalised by agreement . Agreement signed with Hatil Group on handicraft designing & making	2	Exist but informal (informal linkage with Aronnok Foundation, Joenshahi)	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	200 kg fingerling support given from DoF amounting Tk.40000.00	2	None	0	None	0
	Other						
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO						
	Assessment made by:	PMARA, SF,TB site		PMARA, SF - MNP site		PMARA, SF - MNP site	
		Score % Overall	73.2	Score % Overall	71.4	Score % Overall	70.3
		Resource management	85.7	Resource management	75.0	Resource management	75.0
		Pro-poor	78.6	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	62.5
		Women's role	50.0	Women's role	58.3	Women's role	70.0
		Organisation	88.9	Organisation	77.8	Organisation	83.3
		Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6
		Finances	55.6	Finances	66.7	Finances	66.7
		Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	56.3

South East Cluster

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		January 2013 assessment	
2	CMO name	Teknaf Nishorgo Unnayan Sangashtha (TNUS), Natyangpara, Teknaf Range Office, Teknaf Sadar, Teknaf		Whykong Nishorgo Development Association, Whykong CMC Office, Whykong Range Office, Whykong, Teknaf		Nishorgo Bikash Kendro Shilkhali (NBKS), Shikhali Range Office, Shilkhali, Teknaf		Inani Rakkita Bonanchal Sahabhabashastapona Committee, Nurul Amin Bhavan (2nd Floor), Malvitapara, Ukhia, Cox's Bazar	
3	Date of assessment	19-Feb-13		15-Jan-13		19-Feb-13		16-Jan-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 26.06.2012	2	Dated: 5th May 2012 (discussion date of CMC monthly meeting)	2	Date: 06.05.2012	2	Date: 31.01.2012 (Thera a financial plan in here)	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Comparatively cutting of trees reduced, No hunting, Tendency of replanting native trees has started in FD level even local community level, No fires, limits on collection of plants for use.	1	Tree cutting is on going (rate has increased), Comperatively less hunting, Tendency has started replanting native trees in FD and local people level, Comperatively less fires (minimum fire).	1	Comparatively cutting of trees reduced, No hunting, Replanting native trees by FD and local people like as Amloki, Horitoki, Bohera, Dakijam, Jalpai, Jarul, Silkori, Garjan, etc.; No fires, limits on collection of plants for use.	1	Comparatively cutting of trees reduced, No hunting, Tendency of replanting native trees has started in FD level even local community level, No fires, comparatively reduced <i>jum</i> cultivation, limits on collection of plants for use.	1
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	NA		Not Applicable for CMC commanding areas		NA		NA	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 60% of management area in comlparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth in under 35% of management area considering with 2008	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 40% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 40% of management area in comlparison to 2008.	2
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA	
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	Encroachment rate is high in last year and some areas are encroached earliar.	1	Encroachment rate is high and day by day increasing! Huge area has gone to encroacher compared to 2008, even last year!	0	Encroachment rate is high in last year and some areas are encroached earliar.	1	Encroachment rate is comparative lower in last year and some areas are already encroached earliar. There are a tendency of entrance of Ruhinga Refugee!	1
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially firewood andntimber).	1	One remakable incident happen at Laturikhola about 20 hactors area of Social Forestry destroyed within very short time, and minor	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially fouelwood,timber and stone from	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber and stone from hills).	1

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
				incidents are always happening.		hills).			
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (Regular case are filling against poachers). Within last year there were 103 cases were filed (POR-40, UDOR-63). Three notable encroachers rooted up form Jimong Khali, Kanjor Para and Noakhali Para, Rajerchara Beat area; recently 2 guilty of forest resource pouchers handed over to FD from Kerontali, etc.	2	No.: Case filing by Forest Department against rule breakers, but in most of the cases visible actions are not seen. Last one year, FD filled 130 different cases (ToR 45 nos., UDR 6 nos. and COR 19 nos.).	1	Minimum action taken but not remarkable, not resolved most of the problem (Regular case are filling against poachers; last one year FD filled 66 POR Cases, 15 UDOR Cases and 2 COR cases; 2 jeeps stone sized by FD, A big garjon tee seized, etc).	1	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (Regular case are filling against poachers). There are some initiatives of FD as notable encroachers rooted up form Muchrkhalo, Telkhola, Jamtali, etc. area; rooted up about 10 saw mills from different areas, several jeep and trucks sized along with sand and illegal timer by the help of CPG and CMC members. Some illegal furniture shops rooted up.	2
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No: 0	2	No.: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: 0	2	No.: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	NA		None	0	NA		NA	
	Pro-poor	9		8		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	%: 42% (27 out of 64)	<u>2</u>	%: 37% (24 out of 64)	<u>1</u>	%: 56% (35 out of 63)	<u>2</u>	%: 43% (28 out of 65)	<u>2</u>
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	NA		No.:		NA		NA	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	%: 31% (9 out of 29)	1	No: 25% (7 out of 29)	1	%: 33% (9 out of 27)	<u>1</u>	%: 11% (9 out of 29)	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Participate sometimes in VCF-NS-CPG meetings, participate AIGA's selection and distribution program among beneficiaries. Last one year, 720 people were benefited from project's fund as per CMC providing list.	1	No.: Several times; specially VCF's AIGA selection and support distribution, LDF beneficiary selection and support distribution for CPGs, VCFs, NS, etc. Last one year, implementing NGO (CODEC) of the project, AIGA supported among 427 beneficiaries (CPG, VCF, NS,PF etc.) on Nursery Development, Fish	2	Participate sometimes in VCF-CPG-NS meetings, participate AIGA distribution program, last one year AIGA distsributed among 551 persons (420 VCF members, 131 LDF benifited persons).	1	Participate sometimes in VFDG and CPG meeting, awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program among beneficiaries. Link with revolving fund distribution and mange. Last one year, implementing NGO (SHED) of the project, AIGA supported among 1202 beneficiaries (CPG, VFDG, etc.) on Revolving Fund, Nursery Development,	2

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
				Culture, Pond Fish Culture, Handicrafts, Cap Sewing, Small Business, Grocery, etc. by Tk. 6,91,790. And one LDF project implement by Tk. 4,74,500 among 108 CPG members by 9 rickhsows and different AIGAs.				Fish Culture, Poultry, Agriculture, etc. by the concern of CMC. Other than these, some trainings provided to different stakeholders.	
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	OK / break even	1	Good/profitable	2	OK / break even	1	OK / break even	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Very few	1	Very few	1	Very few	1	Very few	1
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %: 22% (14 out of 64)	1	No and %: 23 % (15 out of 65)	2	No and %: 21% (13 out of 63)	1	No and %: 17% (11 out of 65)	2
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	NA				NA		NA	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %: 17% (5 out of 29)	2	No and %: 20 % (6 out of 29)	2	No and %: 26% (7 out of 27)	2	No and %: 21% (5 out of 29)	2
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	NA				NA		NA	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings,	2	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	2	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	2	Meeting with women in several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participated several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	2

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
		Mrs. Khushida Begum went to Italy to receive Wangari Mathai Award and received a award for Prime Minister Sheikh Hassina, etc.							
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same	1	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same	1
	Organization	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes (CMC using is an old FD Rest House as its office, but there is no any written document infovor ot that using)	2	CMC has own office at FD's range office premises (the office has constructed by the help of Project Fund and individual donation of CMC members).	2	No (in a FD rejected beat office which was repaire by IPAC)	0	No (present office is in implementing NGO Office, but office is going to establish soon. In this connection CMC received Tk. 200,000 from Arannayk Foundation for rennovation of its office at FD Campus, Ukhia)	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 8 out of 10 (Co-Management Committee's Monthly Meetings)	2	No.: 9 out of 10 (9 Monthly Co-Management Committee Meetings hold)	2	No.: 7 out of 10 (9 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2	No.: 3 out of 10 (2 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	0
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 69% (119 out 172; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 56% (148 out of 261; 10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 61% (116 out 189; 7 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 70% (61 out 87; 3 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>2</u>
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 2 out of 2 (One regular Six Monthly Council meeting held on 26.02.2012 and another coucil meeting held on 20.12.2013 to committee reformation)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 ((18.01.2012 and 03.01.2013 (Committee reformation date))	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (one Six Monthly Council meeting on 19.11.2012 for committee reformation and last one is on 10.02.2013)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (on 31.01.2012 and 14.01.2013)	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 57% (73 out of 128 at two Council Meetings)	<u>1</u>	%: 63% (83 out of 130 at council meeting	<u>2</u>	%: 61% (77 out of 126 at two Council Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 92% (120 out of 130 at one Council Meetings)	<u>2</u>
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 20.12.2012	2	Date: 03.01.2013, at Co-management council meeting and Committee reformation)	2	Date: 10.02.2013	2	Date: 14.01.2013	2
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	NA				NA		NA	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	By CMO but with support from NGO; Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant has not appointed yet.	1	By CMO but support from NGO; Admin cum Accounce Assistant has resigned due to poor salary.	1	By CMO but with support from NGO	1	By CMO but with support from NGO; Accounce Cum Admin Assissant has not appointed yet due unavailability of fund.	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions	2	All agenda items in last meeting written upby CMO with solutions/ decisions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Registered; Registration No. Cox 345/08 dated	2	Registered (Registration No. 336/08- Dated 2.09.2008)	2	Registered, Reg. No. Cox 344/08, Dated: 16th Oct. 2008	2	Not Registered; Even not applied for registration.	0
	Governance and leadership	7		7		7		7	

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2	No	2	No	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 20.12.2013	2	Date: 03.01.2013 (Committee reformed date)	2	Date: 19.11.2013	2	Date: 14.01.2013	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1	Show off hands amongst all members (Council)	1	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1	Show off hands amongst all members (Council)	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	No	0	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually this plan prepared by implementing NGO but they concerned with CMC).	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: Present in last council meeting	2	Date: presented at Council meeting on 03.01.2013 by Treasurer.	2	Date: Present in last council meeting on 10.02.2013	2	Date: Not present in last council meeting	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed (actually implenting NGO is following that, they just share with us.)	2	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on nursery raising, seedling, play ground repairing, rickshaw-van purchase, etc. Total budget was BD Tk. 4,98,500 only but expense was Tk. 4,69,328.	2	Yes, CMC implemented a LDF on different trades as salt cultivation, batel-leap & batel-nut business, dry chilli business, vegetable farming, 9 rickshaw van purchasing, small business, banana business, sewing, etc. Total budget was BD Tk. 4,98,800 only but the cost was Tk. 4,95,728.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on batel-nut business, sewing, bamboo based product development and business, rickshaw-van purchase, fish business, etc. Total budget was BD Tk. 4,99,800 only but the total cost was Tk. 4,88,789.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC is implementing a Revolving Fund (5,70,000) for income generating activities of its several groups. Total budget was BD Tk. 10,88,000 only for last year's plan execution.	2

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 14.11.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 20.10.2013.	2	Date: LDF Audit completed by Mr. Mahabubul Alam, Grants Manager, IPAC on 02.08.2012 and Mr. S. M. Forkrul Islamai, Nomani, Cluster Account Officer audited over all financial activities on 29.09.2012.	2	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 14.11.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 21.10.2013.	2	Date: Not happened till date.	0
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: Social Welfare Organization, Teknaf audited on 19.02.2013	2	Date: Social Welfare Organization, Teknaf audited on 20.02.2013.	2	Date: Social Welfare Organization, Teknaf audited on 18.02.2013.	2	Date: Not happened till date.	0
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Recently, four time DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; CF met separately with 5 CPGs; ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer several times participated CMC meeting and 11 kgs free fish fry distributed among two CPG Groups of Kerontali and Mouchani, AC (Land) and Social Welfare Officer participate Council Meeting, etc.	2	CF, DFO, ACF and other high official meeting with CPG and CMC members. Regular quarterly DFO-CMC meetings are holding. Upazilla Fisheries Officer chaired last Council Meeting.	1	Recently, four time DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; CF met with 3 CPGs seperately; ACF participated most of the council meetings; Sometimes Sub-Assistant Agriculture Officer several times participated CMC meeting, Fisheries Officer participated council meeting, etc.	2	Recently, three times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; CF met with CPGs members; ACF participated most of the monthly meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer several times visited CMC activities especially CPG members' pond fish culture, Veterinary Officer several times visited poultry activities, etc.	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts	1	No significant change (a very few steps have taken to reduce prevailing conflicts)	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	No	0	Never	0	Never	0	UP provided 10 kgs fish fry for CPG members' pond fish culture and 13 bags wheat as food for fish cultuer.	1
56	Outcome of UP support	NA		No significant change	1	NA		NA	
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Lister to CMO if raise their voices	1	Listen to CMOs if raised their voices	1	Lister to CMO if raise their voices	1	Lister to CMO if raise their voices	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers, some houses rooted up from behind upazilla headquarter due to land sliding risk, etc.	1	details no: several times (DFO and RO lead to illegal timer rescued)	2	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as snail collector, stone collector, DFO sized rock soil of hill at cutting movement, everted 3 shops and a family infront of range office leaded by AC Land, BGB, Poilce and CPG Inani; etc. not integrately.	1	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers.	1

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None but some steps has taken to make linkage as PKSF, Arayannak Foundation, giz, etc.	0	None but applied to Arrayanak Foundation	0	None but some steps has taken to make linkage as PKSF, Arayannak Foundation, giz, etc.	0	None	0
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	FD provided BD. Tk. 95,000 (Tk 75,000 + Tk. 20,000) for Entry Fees earning Fund and the fund used to a bridge and student dormitory repair purposes.	2	Mr. Alhaz Abdur Rahman (Bodi), Member of Parliament managed Tk. 32,000 from government fund for CMC Office construction purposes.	1	None ////	0	None	0
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	For batterment of CMC - to create permanent earning sources, emphasis on ecotourism, strong relation build with Government Officials and CMC, linkgae with donnar agencies, etc. are the main considerable things. If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC's council and committee members are not actively participate their regular meeting due to conveyance and other limitations. An Accounce Cum Admin Assistant shoul appoint on urgent basis for better performance of CMC.		If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. If CMC get a sustainable income source, then CMC will able to operate its function more actively. CMC's council and committee members are not actively participate their regular meeting due to conveyance and other limitation. Recently, CMC has able complete their own office building in Range Office Campus, hopping CMC will able to play more active role for to implement its aim.		For batterment of CMC - to create permanent earning sources, emphasis on ecotourism, strong relation build with Government Officials and CMC, linkgae with donnar agencies, etc are the main considerable things. And If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. Stable staffs need from implementing NGO site. CMC need to appoint an Admin cum Account Assistant minimum standard salary.		For betterment of CMC; CMC need permanent fund, need an own office, transport facilities for CMC, minimum office staffs, etc.	
	Assessment made by:	1. Mr. A. B. M. Abul Hossain Raju, Member, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3 Mr. Prantosh Chandra Roy, Site Coordinator, IPAC, Teknaf Site; 4. Mr. Gura Meia, Member, CMC; 5. Mrs. Khursida Begum, Meber, CMC; 6. Mr. Abdur Razzak, Field Organizer, IPAC, Teknaf Site; 7. Mr. Bitton		1. Mr. Haroon-ur-Rashid Sikder, Treasurer, Member, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Mr. Nazrul Islam Chowdhury, FO, IPAC, Whykong 4. Md. Alamgir Chowdhury, Member, CMC, 5. Thinchala Chkma, Member, CMC, and 6. Mrs. Minu Barua, Member, CMC		1. Mr. Md. Saifulla, President, CMC, 2. Dr. Momtaz Ahmed Chowdhury, Vice-President, CMC; 3. Mr. Tariqul Islam, Member Secretary, CMC, 4. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 5. Mrs. Qumrun Nahar, Member, CMC; 6. Mr. Prantosh Chandra Roy, Site Coordinator, IPAC, Teknaf Site; 7. Mrs. Mamtaz Begum, Field Organizer, IPAC,		1. Lecturer Nurul Amin Bhuttu, Treaserer, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3 Mrs. Shamsun Nahar, Member, CMC; 4. Mr. Monir Ahmed, Member, CMC; 5. Mr. Mohomd Mozaharul Alam, Program Officer, SHED; 6. Mr. Abbas Uddin, Member, CMC; 7. Mr. Sabbir Ahmed, Member, CMC; 8. Mr. Abu Sarwar, Field Supervisor, SHED	

1	Site (PA name)	Teknaf WS: Teknaf	Score	Teknaf WS: Whykeong	Score	Teknaf WS: Shilkhali	Score	Inani National Park (Proposed)	score
		Mutsuddi, Accounce Officer, IPAC, Teknf Site.				Shilkhali Site; 8. Mr. Aon Chila Chakma, Member, CMC, 9. Mr. Habib Hossain, Member, CMC and 10. Mr. Md. Yusuf Ali, Beat Officer, Shilkhali			
		Score % Overall	74.9	Score % Overall	73.3	Score % Overall	71.2	Score % Overall	70.7
		Resource management	81.3	Resource management	61.1	Resource management	75.0	Resource management	81.3
		Pro-poor	62.5	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	62.5	Pro-poor	68.8
		Women's role	80.0	Women's role	90.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	90.0
		Organisation	88.9	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	83.3	Organisation	72.2
		Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	71.4	Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6
		Finances	83.3	Finances	83.3	Finances	83.3	Finances	61.1
		Government support for co-management	50.0	Government support for co-management	43.8	Government support for co-management	35.7	Government support for co-management	42.9

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	Himchari Nishorgo Shangrakhan Shangtha, Himchari Bono Beat, Khuniapalong, Ramu, Cox's Bazar		Medhakachapia National Park Shahababosthaphana Shangothon (MNPSS) (Proposed), Khutakhali Beat Office, Khutakhali, Chokoria, Cox's Bazar		Fashiakhali Shahababoshasthaphana Shangatan, Malumghat, Dulahazara, Chokoria, Cox's Bazar	
3	Date of assessment	09-Jan-13		23-Feb-13		17-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 24th July 2011 (discussion date of CMC's monthly meeting)	2	Date: 02.06.2012 (sharing date of month CMC meeting)	2	Date: 08.05.2012	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Comperative less cutting of tress; Comperatively less hunting; Tendency has started replanting native trees in FD and local people level; Comperatively less fires (minimum fire).	1	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc.	1	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc.	1
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Not Applicable for CMC commanding areas		NA		NA	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Increase in growth in under 55% of management area considering with 2008	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 50% of management area in comlparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 50% of management area in comlparison to 2008. Huge area has gone under Social Forestry. Within last one year, 20 hactors bamboo and 10 hactors Long	2

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
						Rotation under Dulahazra Beat.	
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008):NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA	
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	Encroachment rate is high, due to entrance of Ruhinga Refugee! Even socially powerful people encroaches some areas. Huge area has gone to encroacher compared to 2008. Sometimes, FD and local administration try to recover / recovered some areas.	1	Encroachment rate is happening, but rate is very slow.	1	Negligible encroachment happens in last year and some areas were encroached earlier.	1
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No: Moderate / some (as per Offence Register 30 different cases filed against rule breakers)	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, etc.).	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, etc.).	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No: Action taken but not resolved (12 cases filed with 3 FIRs, a mother cutted Ucliptus tree recovered, 4 trucks loaded wood recovered on 27th August'12 from Link Road, 1 truck loaded wood recovered on 29th November'12, rooted up illegal establishment at Himchari Falls area, some wildlife rescued and free to forest as ant eater, python, etc.)	1	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem. FD regular cases are filling against poachers. Within last one year, FD filled 10 TOR cases with 1 UDOR case against pouchers. Most of the times FD sized tiber, etc.	1	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem FD regular cases are filling against poachers. Within last one year, FD filled 60 nos (COR 42 and UDOR 18) cases. Some times FD sized tiber, recently sized two saw mills, about 130 family rooted up from Uchitarbill area and about 30 family rooted up from Ringbong Block area, etc.	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No.: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	None	0	NA		NA	
	Pro-poor	9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	%: 45% (30 out of 66)	<u>2</u>	%: 55% (32 out of 60)	<u>2</u>	%: 54% (35 out of 65)	<u>2</u>
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	%:		No and %: NA		No and %: NA	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No: 45% (13 out of 29)	2	No and %: 34% (10 out of 29)	1	%: 45% (12 out of 29)	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	CMC participate several meetings like CMC, CPG, VCF, Nishorgo Shayaks, FCC etc. meeting. CMC participate / arranged	2	Participate sometimes in VCF-CPG-NS meetings, participate several awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program on	1	Participate sometimes in VCF and CPG meeting, participate several awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program among	1

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
		38 different programs (35 VCFs AIGAs selection of different schemes for 553 beneficiaries, One LDF implemented by selection of 9 different trades for 183 beneficiaries, Kind support for Nursery establishment for 9 Nishorgor Shayaks, 402 ICSs installed in different households of different VCFs, support for women capacity building, etc.)		different trades during last one year among about 110 beneficiaries (bamboo support 9 persons, hadicraft/tupi 29 persons, net making support 41 persons, bamboo/mora support for 17 persons, sewing machine support for 4 persons, handicraft/nokshikanth support for 1, cloth support for tailoring 8 persons and plastic cane support for 1 person) from 9 VCFs, 1 LDF Project for 171 persons for net making, bamboo based product production, polythene support for salt cultivation, nursery development, 4 person (Nishogro Shayaks) has gotten nursry development support, etc.		beneficiaries about 778 members 30 VCFs-CPGs-NS, 332 nos. ICS installation, participation in two CPGs pond fish culture, participation in social forestry member selection process, etc.	
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Play active role in management decisions (kind support on traditional hand loom by which hand products has revived in this area)	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Same (a posite impact has already started in the area)	1	Same	1	Same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Good / profitable	2	OK / break even	1	OK / break even	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Very few	1	Very few	1	Very few	1
	Women's role	5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %: 26% (14 out of 66)	2	No and %: 25% (15 out of 60)	2	No and %: 24% (15 out of 62)	2
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women			No and %:		NA	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %: 21% (5 out of 29)	2	No and %: 17% (5 out of 29)	2	No and %: 24% (7 out of 29)	2
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women			No and %: NA		NA	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	2	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	1	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Same	1	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
	Organisation	9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes and being maintained (the office has constructed by the help of Project Fund and individual donation of CMC members).	2	Own building in FD area	2	Yes (CMC has an office in FD Campus)	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 9 out of 10 (9 Monthly Co-Managemnt Committee Meetings hold)	2	No.: 10 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2	No.: 10 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 49% (128 out of 261; 9 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	0	%: 67% (159 out 290; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	2	%: 59% (171 out 290; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	1
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 2 out of 2 (Two Co-Management Council meetings hold on 23 Feb.2012 and 19th September 2012)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 2.10.2012 and 23.02.2013 respectively)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (Regular Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 27.09.2012 and 25.02.2013)	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 67% (88 out of 132 members of who presented at Six-Monthly Council Meetings)	2	%: 73% (87 out of 120 at one Council Meetings hold for Committee reformation)	2	%: 70% (88 out of 126 at one Council Meetings hold for Committee reformation)	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 19.09.2012, at Co-management council meeting	2	Date: 23.02.2013	2	Date: 25.02.2013	2
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:NA		Date: NA		NA	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	By CMO but support from NGO; Admin cum Accounce Assistant has resigned due to poor salary.	1	Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program at least 18 major programs as - CMC Members Finance Training 1, Six Monthly council 2, Exposure Visit 3, NS Training 1, Participate CMC's Regional and National Committee Network Meeting 2, Regional Eco-Tour Guide Network 1, GoB Training 1, CPG Orientation 1, World Environment Day observe, Co-management Day 1, Seedling distribution 1, Drawing competetion 2, Youth Club Workshop 1, Miking 1, IPT Show 1, GCC Workshop 1, Blanket distribution for CPG Members 1, DFO-CMC Quarterly Meeting 3, etc.)	1	By CMO but with support from NGO, but not full force of CMO; CMC has an Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant.	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items in last meeting written upby CMO with solutions/ decisions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	In process of registration (final stage of approval)	1	No, but applied for registration.	1	Applied for registration.	1
	Governance and leadership	7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2	No	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: inauguration date 19.09.2012	2	Date: 25.01.2012 (last committee reformation date)	2	Date: Last changing date 23.01.2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Show off hands amongst all menbers (Council)	1	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Always	2	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	No	0	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1
	Finances	8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes, and plan followed (actually this plan prepared by implementing NGO but they shared with CMC).	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: presented at Council meeting on 19.09.2012 by Member Secretary	2	Date: Presented at Council Meeting on 23.02.2013 by Treasurer and Member Secretary.	2	Date: Presented at Council Meeting on 25.02.2013	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, but not followed	1	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes, CMC implemented a LDF on different trades as fish culture, weaving by handloom, bamboo based product development, vegetable farming, cow fattening, sewing machine purchase, poultry rearing, rickshaw van purchasing, small business, etc. Total expence was BD Tk. 6,18,300 only and total cost/expence was BD Tk. 5,63,511.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on tubewell set up, bamboo product development (Kharang), nursery raising, net making, polythene support for salt production, etc. Total budget was BD Tk. 5,60,200 only and the total cost was BD Tk. 3,70,207 only.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on pond fish culture for CPG members. Total budget was BD Tk. 5,60,200 only. Addition sopport have given to Tk. 64,000 for Dulahazra CPG and Tk. 74,000 For Fashiakhali CPG respectively.	2
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 03.08.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 20.12.2012.	2	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 30.05.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 20.11.2013	2	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 30.05.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 20.11.2012	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: None	0	Date:Never	0	Date:Never	0
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8	

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	CF, DFO, ACF and other high official meeting with CPG and CMC members. Regular quarterly DFO-CMC meetings are holding.	1	Recently, four times DFO-CMC Quarterly Meetings completed and meeting with CMC and CPG separately; ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer participate council meeting. Upazill Social Welfare Officer visited CMC Office, CF meeting with CPGs, UNO participated council meetings, Upazilla Chairman visited World Environment Day program and IPT Show, Police representative participate council meeting, etc.	2	Recently, four times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer several times visited CMC activities especially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, fingerling given to CPG members' for pond fish culture, UNO participate Council meetings, CF participate meeting with CPGs, 5 blankets given to CPG members of Fashiakhali Beat, etc.	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	No significant change (a very few steps have taken to reduce prevailing conflicts)	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Never	0	Never	0	Never from Union Parishad but 300 sapling support gotten from British American Tobacco Company, 14 Kgs fish fry gotten from Upazill Fisheries Officer for CPG's pond fish culture, 5 blankets gotten from UNO for CPG members Fashiakhali Beat, etc.	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change	1	NA (not calculated yet)	0	NA (not calculated yet)	0
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Listen to CMOs if raised their voices	1	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise issues and suggest solutions	2	Listen to CMO if raise their voices	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: several times (DFO and UNO led to evacuate illegal encroachment, Deputy Commissioner attended LDF support diversion, Assistant Directory of DoE and UNO, Sadar Upazill attended rally on Biodiversity Day Observation, UNO and ACF conducted with CMC about Social Forestry, etc.)	2	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers.	1	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers, about 160 houses rooted up form FD area and about 30 family rooted from Ringbong area under Dulahazra Beat, which was leaded by UNO, AC Land and FD.	1
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None but applied to Arayanak Foundation	0	An agreement signed with giz for ICS installation, already 120 nos. ICSs installed under this agreement. And applied to Arayannak Foundation for funding.	1	An agreement signed with giz for ICS installation, already 332 nos. ICSs installed under this agreement. And applied to Arayannak Foundation for funding.	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	1 (Reserve Women Constitution's MP provided 1 ton rice from government fund to construct the CMC Office Building, which price is equivalent to BD Tk. 17,500)	1	1 (UNO provided 5 blankets for CPG Member using, approximate cost was 5 X Tk. 300 = Tk. 1500	0	1 (UNO provided 5 blankets for CPG Member using, approximate cost was 5 X Tk. 300 = Tk. 1500	0
	Other						

	Site (PA name)	Himchari National Park	Score	Medhakachapia National Park	Score	Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary	Score
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	If all concern parties (especially FD and local administration) actively participate / co-operate their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. On the other hand, if CMC get 50% earning from existing revenue from relevant sources as per gazette notification, then CMC will able to operate its function more actively and smoothly. CMC need to appoint an Admin cum Account Assistant minimum standard salary.		If all concern parties actively participate / cooperate their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC need fund, if CMC get 50% earning sharing from existing revenue from relevant sources, then CMC will able to operate its function more actively. CPG members need a shed for their duty pruposes. As soon as possible CMC need to appoint an Admin cum AA for its office. To create eco-tourism facilites development urgent basis.		CMC need adequate fund for its functionablity.	
	Assessment made by:	1. Mr. Abu Morshed Chowdhury, President, CMC 2. Mr. Mohamud Ur Rahman (Masud), Treasurer, CMC, 3. Mrs. Khulsuma, Member, CMC 4. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 5. Mr. Nur Mohammed, Site Facilitator, IPAC, HNP 6. Mr. Sayed Alam, Member, CMC		1. Dr. Mir Ahmed, President, CMC. 2. Mr. Md. Joinal Abedin, Treaser, CMC, 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 4. Mr. Sujit Kumar Das, Site Facilitator, IPAC, 5. Mr. Shah Aziz, Field Organizer, MKNP, IPAC and 6. Mrs. Nargis Akter, Member, CMC, and 7. Mr. Moktul Hossain, Member, CMC.		1. Mr. Mokter Ahmed Chowdhury, President, CMC 2. Mr. Moulana Abu Bakkar Siddique, Vice-President, CMC; 3. Mrs. Sultana Kamal, Member, CMC 4. Mr. Rahim Uddin, Admin Assistant cum Accountant 5. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 6. Md. Sujit Das, SF, IPAC-CODEC, 7. Mr. Shahabuddin, FO, IPAC-CODEC, 8. Mrs. Sultana Kamal, Member, CMC, 9. Mr. Nurul Huda (Manik), Member, CMC, 10. Mr. Md. Sharafat Ali, Member Secretary, CMC and 11. Mr. Habibur Rahman, Assistant Beat Officer, Fashiakhali Beat	
		Score % Overall	72.1	Score % Overall	71.6	Score % Overall	71.7
		Resource management	66.7	Resource management	75.0	Resource management	75.0
		Pro-poor	81.3	Pro-poor	62.5	Pro-poor	68.8
		Women's role	90.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	80.0
		Organisation	77.8	Organisation	88.9	Organisation	83.3
		Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6
		Finances	66.7	Finances	72.2	Finances	72.2
		Government support for co-management	43.8	Government support for co-management	43.8	Government support for co-management	43.8

	Site (PA name)	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Chunati CMC	Score	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Jaldi CMC	Score
1	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	Chunati Shahababosthaphana Sanghathon (CSS), Bon Pukur, Chunati, Lohagara, Chittagong.		Nishorgo Shahababosthaphana Sanghathon (NSS), (Jaldi), Dakkin Jaldi, Monsuria Complex, Monsuria Bazar, Banskali, Chittagong	
3	Date of assessment	13-Feb-13		03-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 08.05.2012 (sharing date of month CMC meeting)	2	Date: 28.05.2012 (sharing date of month CMC meeting)	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc. CMC awarded by Equator Prize 2012 for it's conservation efforts.	1	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc.	1
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	NA		NA	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 50% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 60% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA	
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	Encroachment is happening in during last year and some areas are encroached earlier. Recently, some areas has gone to encroached as Kachar Pukur under Chunati Beat; Villager Para and Gainakata under Aziznagor Beat; Vandarir Deva under Harbang Beat, etc.	0	Encroachment has not happened in last year and some areas are encroached earlier, specially after last 1991 Cyclone.	2
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, etc.).	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, two big trees sized from Jum Para under Puichari Beat, one pickup with sized timber sized from Meiar Dokan under Chambal Beat, 34 sized timber sized from Silkup Eco-Park, one pickup with sized timber sized from side of Dattapara VCF's area, etc.).	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (FD regular cases are filling against poachers). Some times FD sized tiber, recently FD recovered some timber from Borghona and Aziz Nagor with help of CMC and CPG, etc.	1	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (FD regular cases are filling against poachers). Some times FD sized tiber from different locations, etc.	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No: 0, (but, there were some conflicts to execute Aziz Nagor CPG among FD and local members of CMC, which were last resloved by the discussion at CMC's monthly meeting)	2	No: 0	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: 0	2	No: 0	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	NA		NA	
	Pro-poor	9		9	

	Site (PA name)	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Chunati CMC	Score	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Jaldi CMC	Score
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	%: 41% (26 out of 64)	<u>2</u>	%: 48% (30 out of 62)	<u>2</u>
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: NA		No and %: NA	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	No and %: 45% (12 out of 29)	2	No and %: 37% (10 out of 27)	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Participate sometimes in VCF and CPG meeting, participate several awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program during last one year among about 200 beneficiaries about 22 VCFs, 20 CPG has gotten social forestry of 20 Acres participation under Harbang Beat, 5 person (Nishogro Shayaks) has gotten nursery development support, etc.	1	Participate sometimes in VCF, CPG NS meetings; participate several awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program during last one year among about 250 beneficiaries about 15 VCFs, 5 CPG has gotten 5 rickshaws from government fund and dress, cap, shoe have gotten from IPAC, 5 person (Nishogro Shayaks) has gotten nursery development support, Cap and bag have gotten Nishogro Shayaks, Tk. 10,000 has given to an injured CPG member Mr. Akter Hossain, etc.	1
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Same	1	Same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	OK / break even	1	OK / break even	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Same	1	Same	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Very few	1	Very few	1
	Women's role	5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %: 23% (15 out of 64)	2	No and %: 23% (14 out of 62)	2
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	No and %: NA		No and %: NA	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %: 21% (6 out of 27)	2	No and %: 12% (3 out of 26)	1
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	No and %: NA		No and %: NA	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS beneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	1	Meeting with women several, Awareness Meetings, AIGA selection and distribution purposes, ICS installation, etc.	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Same (but a positive result will be visible soon)	1	Same (but a positive result will be visible soon)	1
	Organisation	9		9	
28	If CMO has an office and its condition	CMC has no own office. Free of cost, CMC's president provided two rooms for office use. There was a possibility to get to get a room for office use from a government funded boutique center, but finally it has not been possible.	0	Rental Office (steps have been taken to complete an office at Jaldi Beat)	0

	Site (PA name)	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Chunati CMC	Score	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Jaldi CMC	Score
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 10 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2	No.: 10 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 59% (171out 290; 11 Co-Management Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>1</u>	%: 60% (163 out 270; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>2</u>
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 2 out of 2 (Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 16.07.2012 for Committee reformation and 10.02.2013 as general meeting)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 26.02.2012 as regular meeting and 12.07.2012 for Committee reformation)	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 77.69% (101 out of 130 at one Council Meetings hold for Committee reformation)	<u>2</u>	%: 70% (87 out of 124 at one Council Meetings hold for Committee reformation)	<u>2</u>
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 10.02.2013	2	Date: 12.07.2012 (for committee reformation)	2
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:NA		Date: NA	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	By CMO but with support from NGO, but not full force of CMO; CMC has an Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant.	1	By CMO but with support from NGO, but not full force of CMO; CMC has an Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant.	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Yes (with who and date registered; Registered No. Chattra : 2836/08; Dated 18.08.2008)	2	No, but applied for registration.	1
	Governance and Leadership	7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: Last CMO (committee) changing date 10.02.2013	2	Date: Last CMO (committee) changing date 12.07.2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Secret ballot of all members (GB/Council)	2	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	2
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Recognized system operating, e.g. a review sub-committee or monthly report card	2
	Finances	8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: Presented at Council Meeting on 16.07.2012 but not on last council meeting of 10.02.13	2	Date: Not presented (but Member Secretary presented at Council Meeting on 12.07.2012 with financil reports)	1

	Site (PA name)	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Chunati CMC	Score	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Jaldi CMC	Score
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, followed but not full achieved specially on Social Forestry. Achieved 20 acres out of 51 acres.	2	Yes, followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	No	0	No	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 13.09.2012 (Internal audit by Mr. Mafuz, Accounce Officer, IPAC, Chokoria Site, implementing NGO, CODEC)	2	Date: 23.09.2012 (Internal audit by Mr. Mafuz, Accounce Officer, IPAC, Chokoria Site, implementing NGO, CODEC)	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: 17.09.2012 by Department of Social Welfare, Lohagara, Chittagong	2	Date: Never	0
	Government support for co-management	8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Recently, three times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; DFO participate one Monthly Meeting, ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Agriculture and Extionst Officer several times visited CMC activities epecially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, UNO participate Council meeting, CF paticipate meeting with CPGs, etc.	2	Recently, four times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; CF and DFO came to 5 rickshaws donation program to CPG members at Banskhal Eco-Park, ACF participated most of the meetings and councils; Agriculture and Extionst Officer several times visited CMC activities epecially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, Fisheries Officer several times visited CMC activities epecially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, UNO participate Council meeting and Bufferzone Plantation Agreement Handover programs, etc.	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	No significant change, FD has taken a vary humble step to recover forest land (Vandarir Deva Area) from an encroacher under Harbang Beat otherwise a vary few steps have taken for reduce conflicts, CMC has taken a step to reslove conflict reduce among Aziz Nagor Beat Officer vs Aziz Nagor CPG for their patrolling, etc.	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Never	0	Never (CMC has tried to get any support form any government department)	0
56	Outcome of UP support	NA (not calculated yet)	0	NA (not calculated yet)	0
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise issues and suggest solutions	2	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise issues and suggest solutions	2
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details, no.: 02 (Captured illegal timber by RO at Aziz Nagor Wildlife Beat and Beat Officer suspended, Illicit filling recovered at Harbang Beat, recent Aziz Nagor CPG demolished 5 hut under Aziz Nagor Beat area, etc.)	2	Details no: 03 (two big trees sized from Jum Para under Puichari Beat, one pick up with sized timber from Meiar Dokan under Chambal Beat, 34 sized timber sized from Silkup Eco-Park, etc.)	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Agreement Signed with giz and informal linkage established with Arayannak Foundation for several projects execution. CMC has gotten BD Tk. 12,15,063 as Revolving Fund from giz for CPG members livelihood improvement. Equator Prize's money \$ 5,000 (BD Tk. 4)has been received.	2	Aggrement Signed with giz and informal linkaged established with Arayannak Foundation for several projects execution. CMC will get soon (name has finalized) BD Tk. 20,00,000 as Revolving Fund from Arayannak Foundation for livelyhood improvement.	2

	Site (PA name)	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Chunati CMC	Score	Chunati Wildlife Sanctuary: Jaldi CMC	Score
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	BD Tk. 5,000 (five thousand) received form FD as Entry Fee (Gate Money of Nature Interpretation Center).	0	None	0
	Other				
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	If all concern parties actively participates their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC require more fund to achieve its goal and objectives.		CMC urgently complete the construction works of office for its existance and sustainability. If all concern parties actively participates their roles for CMC, then CMC will able to play more effective roles for conservation. At present, CMC members have a partition in between FD vs local personals, for better performance of CMC which should resolved soon. If CMC's Office Bearers give more time to CMC than will advance more efficiently. CMC require fund to achieve its goal and objectives.	
	Assessment made by:	1. Amin Ahmed Khan, President, CMC; 2. Hossain Ahmed, Member, CMC; 3. Md. Anwar Kamal, Vice-President, CMC; 4. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 5. Md. Showkat Osman, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Chunati Site; . 6. Mrs. Shahin, Meber, CMC; 7. Sk. Md. Nasiruddin, Admin cum A A, CMC, 8. Dr. Ibrahim, Member, CMC; 9. Mr.Md. Abul Foiz, Member, CMC; and 10. Mr. Jamir Uddin, Field Organizer, IPAC		1. Md. Abu Taher, Member, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Md. Showkat Osman, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Chunati Site; 4. Ahmed kabir, Field Organizer, Jaldi; 5. Mrs. Indira Sen, Member, CMC; 6. Md. Salimullah, Admin cum A A, CMC, 7. Mrs. Jarina Akter, Member, CMC, 8. Mr. Anisur Zaman Sheikh, Beat Officer, Chambal Beat, 9. Mr. Dudlal Kishor Sikeder, Member, CMC, 10. Mrs. Krishna Chakrabarti, Nishorgo Shayak and 11. Mr. Md Hanif Khan, Site Coordinantor, IPAC	
		Score % Overall	72.8	Score % Overall	70.9
		Resource management	68.8	Resource management	81.3
		Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	62.5
		Women's role	80.0	Women's role	70.0
		Organisation	77.8	Organisation	77.8
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	92.9
		Finances	72.2	Finances	55.6
		Government support for co-management	56.3	Government support for co-management	56.3

CHT-Chittagong Cluster

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
1	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		January 2013 assessment		February 2013 assessment	
2	CMO name	Kaptai Shahababosthaponi Sanghstha, Prashanti Picnic Spot 2, Balurchar, Kaptai, Rangamati		Karnafuli Shahababosthaponi Sanghstha, Prashanti Picnic Spot 2, Balurchar, Kaptai, Rangamati		Dudphukuria Shabababosthaponi Sanghstha (Proposed), Dudphukuria, Udalbunia, Shukbilash, Rangunia, Chittagong		Dhopachari Shahababosthaponi Sanghstha (Proposed), Dhopachari, Chandanaish, Chittagong	
3	Date of assessment	09-Feb-13		09-Feb-13		31-Jan-13		2-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 21.06.2012	2	Date: 26.06.2012 (sharing date of month CMC meeting)	2	Date: 25.07.2012 (discussion date of CMC's monthly meeting)	2	Dated: 15th July 2012 (discussion date of CMC monthly meeting)	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc.	1	Reduce the tendency of cutting trees, No hunting but sometimes happen, Tendency of replanting native trees in FD and mainly in local level, Limited fires, limits on collection of plants for use, etc.	1	Comparatively cutting of trees reduced but recently slightly increased; No hunting, Replanting native trees, No fires, limits on collection of plants for use.	1	Comperative less cutting of tress Comperatively less hunting Tendency has started replanting native trees in FD and local people level Comperatively less fires (minimum fire)	1
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	NA		NA		NA		Not Applicable for CMC commanding areas	
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 50% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas, extended social forestry) in under 70% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 55% of management area in comparison to 2008.	2	Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 50% of management area (Actually starting the growth).	1
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA		% change (compared with 2008): NA	
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	Encroachment not happen in last year and some areas were already encroached earliar.	2	No encroachment has happened in last year and some areas are encroached earliar.	2	No major encroachment has happened in last year, but some tendency are happening. Some areas are encroached earliar.	2	Encroachment rate is high! Huge area has gone to encroacher, about 10% area has gone to encroacher in comparison to 2008.	1

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, etc.).	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening especially timber, etc.).	1	Moderate / some (Not major accident has happened, but some poaching are happening).	1	Not remarkable incident happen, but minor incidents is happening. Before last Ramadan 2 big teak trees were stolen by cutting.	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (Regular cases are filling against poachers; 87 cases are filled against poachers in last year 2011-13). Timber sized for two saw mills.several times timber sized form Kharnaphully river by FD.	1	Minimum action taken but not resolved most of the problem (FD regular cases are filling against poachers; 180 cases have filled in the year 2011-12). Some times FD sized tiber, etc.	1	Action taken but not resolved (Regular case are filling against poachers, within last one year, 5 cases filled among Dudphukuria and Kamalachari Beat).	1	No.: Regular case filing by Forest Department against rule breakers. Within last one year, FD filled 32 different cases against rule breakers.	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2	No.: 0	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: 0	2	No: 0	2	No: 0	2	No.: 0	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	NA		NA		NA		NA	
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		8	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	%: 63% (40 out of 63)	<u>2</u>	%: 48% (30 out of 62)	<u>2</u>	%: 50% (31 out of 63)	<u>2</u>	%: 48% (28 out of 63)	<u>2</u>
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %: NA		No and %: NA		No and %:		No.:	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	%: 44% (12 out of 27)	2	No and %: 37% (10 out of 27)	1	%: 37% (10 out of 27)	<u>1</u>	No: 63% (17 out of 27)	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Participate sometimes in VCF and CPG meeting, awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program among about 439 beneficiaries from 11 VCFs (314 persons), 5 CPGs (60 persons), 5 NSs for nursery and special to 5 CPGs (60 persons).	1	Participate sometimes in VCF and CPG meeting, participate several awareness meetings, participate AIGA selection and distribution program during last one year among about 383 beneficiaries from 9 VCFs and 5 CPGs, 1 LDF Project for 54 persons for fish culture and eco-boat, 5 person (Nishogro Shayaks) has gotten nursery development support, etc.	1	Participate sometimes in VCF meeting, PF meeting, CPG meeting, participate AIGA distribution program among 326 persons of VCF-CPG-NS members, etc.	2	No.: Several times; specially AIGA selection and support distribution among 353 VCF members, Nishogro Shayaks, CPG members, etc.	2

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2	Yes, play active role in management decisions	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1	Access of poor dependent communities has improved to natural resources	2
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	OK / break even	1	OK / break even	1	OK / break even	1	Good/profitable	2
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1	Same	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Very few	1	Very few	1	Very few	1	Very few	1
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %: 11% (7 out of 63)	1	No and %: 40% (25 out of 63)	2	No and %: 21% (13 out of 63)	1	No and %: 19 % (12 out of 63)	1
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	NA		No and %: NA		NA			
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %: 11% (3 out of 27)	1	No and %: 19% (5 out of 27)	2	No and %: 19% (5 out of 27)	2	No and %: 11 % (3 out of 27)	0
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	NA		No and %: NA		NA			
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2	Regularly influence or speak out in meetings	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, 501 ICSsbeneficiary selection and installation, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	1	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, 765 ICSs installation, etc.	1	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc. A female CPG (member 21) is formed trained, livelihood support provided from project.	2	Meeting with women several AIGA selection and distribution purposes, participate several exposure and experience sharing meetings, etc.	2
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same (but a positive result will visible soon)	1	Same (but some positive action has started)	1

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	No, but allotment from Forests Department; (present office in FD building with well furnished from project fund)	2	No, but allotment from Forests Department; (present office in FD building with well furnished from project fund)	2	Yes and well maintained in FD area.	2	Yes and being maintained	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 10 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2	No.: 9 out of 10 (10 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings)	2	No.: 10 out of 11 (Co-Management Committee's Monthly Meetings)	2	No.: 7 out of 10 (Regular monthly meetings)	1
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	%: 61% (164 out 270; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 54% (131 out 243; 9 Co-Management Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>1</u>	%: 55% (145 out 270; 10 CMC Committee's Monthly Meetings)	<u>1</u>	%: 67% (127 out of 189; 7 Co-Management Committee's monthly meetings held)	<u>2</u>
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 2 out of 2 (Two Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 19.07.2012 and 30.01.2013 respectively)	2	No.: 2 out of 2 (Six Monthly Council meeting hold on 19.07.2012 for Committee reformation and Six Monthly Regular Council meeting on 30.01.2013)	2	No.: 1 out of 2 (Afer the council formation meeting held of 22nd May 2011; only Six Monthly Council meeting on 27.2.2012)	1	No.: 1 (only Co-Management Council meeting hold on 9th January 2012 for new committee formation)	1
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	%: 75% (95 out of 126 at one Council Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 73% (92 out of 126 at one Council Meetings hold for Committee reformation)	<u>2</u>	%: 78% (49 out of 63 at one Council Meetings)	<u>2</u>	%: 89% (56 out of 63 at council meeting)	<u>2</u>
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 19.07.2012	2	Date: 30.01.2013	2	Date: 27.02.2012; at Co-management council meeting	1	Date: 9.1.2012, at Co-management council meeting	0
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	NA		Date: NA		NA			
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	By CMO but with support from NGO, but not full force of CMO; CMC has an Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant (who is simultaneously working with Kharnaphully CMC).	1	By CMO but with support from NGO, but not full force of CMO; CMC has an Accounce Cum Admin Admin Assissant (who is simultaneously working with Kaptai CMC).	1	By CMO but with support from NGO	1	By CMO but support from NGO. Admin cum Accounce Assistant has not appointed.	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions.	2	All agenda items in last meeting written up by CMO with solutions	2	All agenda items in last meeting written upby CMO with solutions/ decisions.	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Applied for registration; Clearance of name has gotten.	1	Applied for registration; Clearance of name has gotten.	1	Applied for registration on 12.2.2012	1	Applied for registration on 14th August 20012	1
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	No	2	No	2	No	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: Last changing date 18.10.2011	2	Date: Last CMO (committee) changing date 18.10.2011	2	Date: 22.05.2011 (first formation date)	2	Date: inauguration date 9.1.2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Secret ballot of all members (GB/council)	2	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1	Show of hands among all members (GB/council)	1	Show off hands amongst all members (Council)	1

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2	Leaders listen to all members	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2	Do not dominate but give useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1	Some lapses in duties	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Recognized system is operating e.g. monthly report card	2
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan, just sharing with CMC)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually it is an implementing NGO's Yearly workplan)	2	Yes, and plan followed (actually this plan prepared by implementing NGO but they concerned with CMC).	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	Well maintained	2	No, because no money transection happened	0
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: Presented at Council Meeting on 19.07.2012	2	Date: Presented at Council Meeting on 19.07.2012	2	Date: Council meeting on 27.2.2012	2	NA (Date: Not presented because council date has not mature yet).	
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2	Yes, followed	2	Yes, but not followed	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1	Enough to fund main needs	1	CMC has Enough to fund implement Annual Development Plan	2
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on boating, net fish culture and pond fish culture for CPG members. Total budget was BD Tk. 4,50,000 only.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on boating and pond fish culture. Total budget was BD Tk. 3,00,000 only.	2	Yes, successfully implemented; CMC implemented a LDF on pond fish culture for CPG members. Total budget was BD Tk. 4,99,980 only.	2	No	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 01.06.2012 (LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 27.12.2013)	2	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 01.06.2012 and internal audit by implementing NGO, CODEC on 28.01.2013.	2	Date: LDF Sceme audited by Mr. Mahabub, Grant & Finance Manager, IPAC on 13.11.2012 and Mr. Helal Uddin, Cluster Accounce Officer, CODEC audited on 17.02.2013.	2	No, because no money transection happened	

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: Never	0	Date: Never	0	Date: None	0	No, because CMC's age is very tender	
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Recently, two times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer several times visited CMC activities especially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, fish week'12 observed, 7 kgs fingerling given to CPG members' pond fish culture, etc.	2	Recently, two times DFO-CMC Quarterly meetings completed; ACF participated most of the meetings, councils; Fisheries Officer several times visited CMC activities especially participate CMC Council and monthly meetings, fish week'12 observed, Upazill Fisheries Officer 8 kgs fingerling provided to CPG members' pond fish culture, Upazill Agricultural Officer several times participated CMC meeting and 1000 saplings distributed among IPAC's beneficiaries, etc.	2	Recently, DFO-CMC Quarterly meeting has started. Honourable Minister of MOEF, US Embassador, some renewed scientists, CCF, CF, representatives of IPAC HQ and Arayannak Foundation along with other personnel presented a website inaugurating program of Dudphukuria-Dhopachari Wildlife Sancturay at Dudphukuria area.	2	DFO, ACF, UNO and other high official meeting with CMC members and presented council meeting. Recently, DFO-CMC Quarterly meeting has started.	1
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts.	1	FD and other government offices have taken a very few initiatives to resolved prevailing conflicts	1	No significant change (a very few steps have taken to reduce prevailing conflicts)	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Never from Union Parishad but 3000 sapling support gotten from Upazill, 16 Kgs fish fry has gotten from Upazilla for CPG's pond fish culture, etc.	1	Never	0	Never	0	Never	0
56	Outcome of UP support	NA (not calculated yet)	0	NA (not calculated yet)	0	NA		No significant change	0
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Listen to CMO if raise their voices	1	Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise issues and suggest solutions	2	Listen to CMO if raise their voices	1	listen to CMOs if raised their voices	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers.	1	Details no: Minimum action taken scatterly against in some cases as illegal timeb poachers.	1	DFO, CF helped for land area demarcated and resolved some the local problems in this issue. Fishery officer helped in LDF implementation. Trained to LDF beneficiary for fish culture. 20 acre social forestry completed.	2	details no: several times (DFO and RO led to illegal timer rescued)	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	None	0	Still not (but agreement Signed with giz for ICS installation and applied to Arayannak Foundation for funding.	0	None	0	None	0

	Site (PA name)	Kaptai National Park: Kaptai CMC	Score	Kaptai National Park: Karnaphully CMC	Score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dudphukuria site	score	Dudphukuria-Dhopachari WS: Dhopachari site	score
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	None	0	None	0	None	0	None	0
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will be able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC need financial solvency; 50% earnings sharing from entry fees of Kaptai National Park, Cottages, Rest House, etc.; need local FD's cordial cooperation; FD should sharing with CMC in consideration for Social Forestry, Plantation; etc.		If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will be able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC need financial solvency; 50% earnings sharing from Kharnaphully Range, etc.; need local FD's cordial cooperation; FD Plantation; develop tourism facilities, etc.		If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will be able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC need funds, develop ecotourism scopes, dynamic leadership, trainings, AIGA support to poor, etc. Stable staffs need from implementing NGO site.		If all concern parties actively participate their roles for CMC, then CMC will be able to play more effective roles for conservation. CMC need fund for implementing programs, training, exposure visit (home and abroad) and appoint an Admin-cum-Account Assistant for its office maintenance, etc. Moreover, FD's staffs are very poor in number in this protected area which should be increased at least vacant posts should be filled up immediately.	
	Assessment made by:	1. Mr. Kazi Maqshuder Rahman Babul, President, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Md. Mozammel Haque, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Kaptai Site; 4. Mr. Balaram Das, Member, CMC; 5. Mrs. Minu Prun Marma, Vice-President, CMC; 6. Md. Ibrahim, Admin cum A A, CMC; 7. Mr. Nikilesh Chakma, SC, IPAC; 8. Mr. Rakesh Chakma, Field Organizer, IPAC		1. Mr. Thowai Ching Mong Marma, President, CMC; 2. Chimbisai Marma, Treasurer, CMC; 3. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 4. Md. Mozammel Haque, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Kaptai Site; 5. Md. Ibrahim, Admin cum A A, CMC; 6. Mr. Using Mong Marma, Member, CMC; and 7. Mr. Rakesh Chama, Field Organizer, IPAC.		1. Md. Rafiqul Islam, Member, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Md. Abu Sayeed, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Dudphukuria Site; 4. Md. Jashim Uddin, AAA, CMC; 5. Md. Salim, Member, CMC; 6. Md. Mofazzal Ahmed Talukder (Jashim), member, CMC; and 7. Md. Mohir Uddin, Nishorgo Shahayak and Eco-Tour Guide		1. Sha Alam, Member, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Md. Nur Hossen, Member, CMC; 4. Sazzadul Bahar, Field Organizer, IPAC; 5. Md. Nazmul Abedin, Site Facilitator, Dhopachari Site; 6 Mr. Mahabubur Rahman, Member, CMC.	
		Score % Overall	70.6	Score % Overall	70.8	Score % Overall	70.8	Score % Overall	64.3
		Resource management	81.3	Resource management	81.3	Resource management	81.3	Resource management	68.8
		Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	62.5	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	87.5
		Women's role	60.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	60.0
		Organisation	88.9	Organisation	83.3	Organisation	72.2	Organisation	66.7
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	78.6	Governance and Leadership	85.7
		Finances	72.2	Finances	72.2	Finances	72.2	Finances	50.0
		Government support for co-management	37.5	Government support for co-management	37.5	Government support for co-management	42.9	Government support for co-management	31.3

North East Cluster

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
1	Indicator	January 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	HH- Barogangina RMO		HH - Jatuya RMO		HH_Dumuria RMO		Balla RMO	
3	Date of assessment	17-Jan-13		17-Jan-13		15-Jan-13		16-Jan-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 01/05/2012	2	Date: May, 01 2012	2	Date: 3 May 2012	2	Date: 3 may 2012	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)								
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	Fishing ban area, ban harmful gears, dewatering , fees for fishing etc band in their	2	Jatuya RMO near Katabaill, Bildoba and Gorudara etc. Now RMO is not legal authority or responsible authority so Fishing role implement few here but they are trying to improve	1	6 bill near the RMO (Dulu, Dumer, lathua, Charuduba, Chatla, Pathraduba,) but from this year they are not legal authority of bill and Govt. have no care So people harvest fish when their need with some mental bearer like conservation	1	Gorudara, Balla, digulu, Gouppla river and Alnebari bill etc. Now RMO is not legal authority or responsible authority so Fishing role implement few here	1
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	at least 25% habitat/vegetation are improved	2	20% vegetation improved.	2	Changes of Habitat or vegetation same or something improved	1	Changes of habitat improved at least 25% due to RMO plantation	2
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	Changing fish catch more or less improve due to Bikka bill permanent conservation	2	Previous time it is under RMO but not now so fish catch remain same or sometime few worse	1	Fish Catch decreasing day by day after 2011 but still now can say same	1	Remain same because previous time before 2011 RMO managed this bill but after 2011 bill is not under them	1
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	No encroachment but sometime stealing of fish	1	No encroachment but naturally local people harvest fish but rate is not more	1	No encroachment but naturally people harvest Fish	0	Before 2011 people can not harvest fish but now every one harvest	0
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	moderate or same	1	moderate or same	1	no incidents but people harvest fish	0	No incidents but no management so some of the people harvest fish	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	action taken some resolve and some remain	1	Resolve most of the cases	2	No action	0	no action taken	0
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	One	1	no conflicts but NR resource is open	2	no conflicts within the community	2	No conflicts within the communities but NR management is not proper due to no authority is locally	1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	One cases by forceful fishing	1	One cases during harvest fish	1	3 cnflits with outsider	0	No cnflits due to barer found in this area	1
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	none and resolve	1	Lightly overcome	1	Resolve with the help of Local UP	2	Same	1
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %:	-	No and %:	-	No and %:	-	No and %:	-
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	22 out 40 that is 55%	1	30 out 60 that is 50%	1	40 out 55 that is 72%	2	40 out 57 that is 70%	2
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	7 out of 15 that is 46%	2	12 out 17 that is 80%	2	8 out of 15 that is 53%	2	8 out 13 that 61%	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Both poor and non poor are members of this committee so regular meeting they participate and sare knowledge but no share with outsider poor members, 3-4 time they share with outsider of the committee	2	Poor and non poor members are consist in RMO so any meeting or informal discussion they share with those people and 2-3 time they share with general poor who harvest fish near bill area	2	Poor and non poor members are consist in RMO so any meeting or informal discussion they share with those people, Sometime they share with general poor may be 3-4 time last year.	2	Poor and non poor members are consist in RMO so any meeting or informal discussion they share with those people	2
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	RMO integrate views and ideas with all members and bring integrity	2	Yes always share knowledge with poor communities	2	Yes always share knowledge with poor communities	2	Yes always share knowledge with poor communities	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Access of poor in NR same	1	Access of poor in NR same	1	Access of poor in NR same	1	Access of poor in NR same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	have development plan but no execuation due no money but FRUG provide few loan to the poor villager and its good and profitable but amount of loan is less so lightly improved livelihoods of poor	1	have development plan but no execuation due to lack of fund. But FRUG provide few amount of loan it is not enough but new enterprise like sewing, Van polling its is improved than previous	1	have development plan but no execuation due no money	0	Development plan have but FRUGs have not introduce new entreprise. Something introduce and its is profitable	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	impact of livelihood remain same due to no development activity their	1	impact of livelihood remain same due to no development activity their	1	impact of livelihood remain same due to no development activity their	1	impact of livelihood remain same due to no development activity their	1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)			No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	11 out of 40 that is 28%	2	6 out of 60 that is 10%	0	15 out of 55 that is 27%	1	15 out of 57 that is 26%	1
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)								
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	4 out of 15 that is 27%	1	3 out of 17that is 17%	1	4 out of 15 that is 26%	1	5 out of 13 that is 38%	2
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regular speak in the meeting	2	Woman members participate in decission making but their involvment Persent is law	1	Regularly infulence in decission making	2	Regularly infulence in decission making	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Every meeting woman participate and share knowledge but no discuss with outsider poor woman	1	Those woman who involve in RMO they always share knowledge but woman % is few	1	Regularly infulence in decission making and all meeting they participate	2	Regularly infulence in decission making and all meeting they participate	2
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	women livelihood gradually improved due participate regular meeting and more aware about conservation but no AIGA support they got so same in condition of poor woman	1	Same	1	same	1	same	1
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	yes, well maitained	2	yes well maintained	2	yes well maintained	2	yes well maintained	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.: 9 out 12	2	5 out 12	1	No.: 12 out 12	2	No.: 12 out 12	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	11 out of 15, average attendance 73%	2	13 out of 17. average 76%	2	11 out of 15 that 73%	2	70% average (average 9 person participate out 13)	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 6 meeting held out 4	2	7 out of 4	2	3 out of 4	2	4 out of 4	2

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	62%% average attendance (25 out of 40)	2	42 out 60, average 70% people day by day loss interest due to no legal responsibilities	2	44 out 55 that is 80%	2	35 out of 57 that is 62%:	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:4/11/2012	2	Date: 16/04/2012	2	Date: 21August 12	2	Date:4 january 2013	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	CMO organize meeting	2	Managed by RMO	2	Manage by RMO	2	Manage by RMO with the help of NGO	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	RMO maintain all minutes and records	2	RMO maintain all minutes and records	2	RMO maintain all minutes and records	2	RMO maintain all minutes and records	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	yes, Moulvi-289, Date of registration 9/9/2002	2	yes Moulvi255/2003	2	yes, Moulvi-276/2002	2	yes Moulvi-266/2002	2
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No	2	Out sider have no controls in RMO but in Natural Resource RMO is not formal authority. So NR have no controls without awareness buildup	1	Out sider have no controls in RMO but in Natural Resource RMO is not formal authority. So NR have no controls without awareness buildup	1	Out sider have no controls in RMO but in Natural Resource RMO is not formal authority. So NR have no controls without awareness buildup of RMO	1
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 20 December 10	1	Date: 4/12/2011	2	Date:13 may 12	2	Date: 30 December 11	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members and made decission	2	Laeder listen to all members than take decission and read for all	2	Laeder listen to all members than take decission and read for all	2	Leader listen to all members than take decission and read for all	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	CMO adviser octionally come but no infulence or no sharing trends	1	RMO adviser did not play any role in the RMO, sometime assist for decission making	1	RMO adviser did not play any role in the RMO, sometime assist for decission making	1	RMO adviser did not play any role in the RMO, sometime assist for decission making	1
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	followed roles and regulation	2	followed roles and regulation	2	followed roles and regulation	2	followed roles and regulation	2
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Always evaluated General Members opinion	2	Always evaluated General Members opinion	2	Always evaluated General Members opinion	2	Always evaluated General Members opinion	2
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	well maintained	2	well maintained	2	well maintained	2	well maintained	2

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	11/04/12	2	Date:16/04/12	2	Date: 21 august 2012	2	Date: 29 may 12	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due to fund	1
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	not enough fund	0	not enough fund	0	not enough fund	0	not enough fund	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	No	0	no	0	no	0	no	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No formal but informally help	1	No formal but informally help	1	No formal but informally help	1	No formal but informally help	1
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date:19/07/2012	2	Date: 16/04/12	2	Date: 21 august 2012	2	Date: 29 may 12	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: 11/07/2012 Social welfare department for due to their registration but no it is recognized audits	2	Date: 14/11/2012 by Social welfare department.	2	Date: 10 july 12 by Social Wefare Department	2	Date: 15 december 12	2
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Some time when come to visit or any training program than few virbal support	1	when ever requested	1	when ever requested	1	some time when requested	1
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	no significant change	1	no significant change	1	no significant change	1	No significant change rather Govt. take ownership	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	When any situation arranged than UP share with them	1	when ever requested	1	when ever requested	1	when ever requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change due to support of Up	1	no significant change	1	no significant change	1	Reduce internal and external conflits and biophysically improved	2
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	actively invite poor and non poor members RMO and share knowledge	2	Sometime invite to the poor when their need	1	Sometime invite to the poor when their need	1	Sometime invite to the poor when their need	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Case have many from RMO and UFO	0	Details no: none but two time they come to observe the RMO.	2	Details no: UNO 2 and UFO 5 time	2	Details no: last year one time may be	1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Formal relation with CNRS but not others but have good relation with other organization	2	Formal relation with CNRS and informal with others	1	Formal relation with CNRS and informal with others	1	Formal relation with CNRS and informal with others	2
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	No	0	No improving on the other hand RMO responsibilities is decreased due to no formal authority of RMO for NR management	0	nill	0	10000 form Samaj seba	0
		Abdus Sobahan Chowdhury- President, Mirus ahmad- Secratory, Minath Ali- members, Supria Chakraborti-M Md. Samsuddin Finance, Anishur Rahman -M. Samal debnath-FO		Mr. Nurul Islam Rakib-Secratory, Salah ahmad-member, Jalal Miah- Member, President: Md. Ajman Miah, M-Md. Mortuja Moah, M-Khela gosh, M-Fethema begum		Md. Mouggam Hasaan Sumru-P, Gopal Sarker-S, Tarajan Bibi-M, Shana Begum-M, deba Dey, Samaraj Mazumder-T and Samal Baddya-FO		Mr. Bidu Bhusan Baidda-Secratory, Abdul Ohaid-President, babul sarker-Member, Shilpi Rani-M and Priya rani sarker-M, Haricharan Das-VP.	
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	Baikka, Barogangina, Chapra-magura and Juduria bill near the RMO. RMO are always trying to consure this NR but some time thieffing. RMO active but due less interest of Govt. Administration Department and proper support form them very challenges to keep NR protected.		Katabill, Billdoba, Garudara, Jatuya, Alnebari, Lalaer doba etc Bill (NR) near this RMO. But from this year they are not legal authority of this bill. So they can not forbade people about conserbation just try to awareness build about conserbation. For that case some of RMO members less their interest about RMO. So in this connection and conservation NR need to involve them.		This RMO near 6 bill Duluduba, Domar bill, Lathua matro kankata, Chatladuba, and Patroduba but after 2011 they are not legal or responsible authority of this bill, So maximum of members less interest about conserbation. Just they builup awareness about the conserbation for human being. But If Govt. probide authority to the RMO for conserbation and regular follow up and give some financial assistance than they fill interest and conserbation may increased.		Gurudara bill, Balla bill, Diguli Bill, gopla river, alnebari etc near the RMO. No any bill under their management from 2011. So its NR is not improved from this day. On the other hand no external support so they have plan but can not implement due to fund. So need to bring all bill under PPP than its situation may increased. At the moment RMO is not more functioning more due to lack of Money and not authorize NR management from Govt. department. all RMO in HH	
	Assessment made by:	Bibhu Bhusan Mzaumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder	
		Score % Overall	72.2	Score % Overall	67.1	Score % Overall	68.7	Score % Overall	69.11
		Resource management	70.0	Resource management	70.0	Resource management	45.0	Resource management	50.0
		Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	68.8
		Women's role	70.0	Women's role	40.0	Women's role	70.0	Women's role	80.0
		Organisation	100.0	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	100.0	Organisation	94.4
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7
		Finances	61.1	Finances	61.1	Finances	61.1	Finances	61.1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
		Government support for co-management	50.0	Government support for co-management	50.0	Government support for co-management	50.0	Government support for co-management	43.8
	Site (PA name)	Hail Haor: Sananda RMO	Score	Hail hoar: Agari RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Ramedia RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Kajura RMO	Score
1	Indicator	January 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	HH-Sananda RMO		HH Agari RMO		HH Ramedia RMO		HH Kajura RMO	
3	Date of assessment	26/01/2013		05-Feb-13		05-Feb-13		17-Jan-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 3 May 2012	2	Date: 3 May 2012	2	Date: 3 May 2012	2	03-May-12	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)								
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	NR resource like Bill of Hail Hoar not under the RMO so people are not maintain Fisheries Act. Properly due to ownership problem. Rmo only build up awreness so sometime its roles is breaking like gear use season of harvesting	1	Govt. administration leased out the bill, So leaser harvest all fish from Agari bill and Lori bill under RMO but it was dried so no conservation	0	No maintain fisheries role, Barokuma is fish sanctuary in previous time but no fish sancturay now. Another near Bill kaya bill, Ramai bill and madi bill under Govt. control.	1	Fish role they maintain not use band gear and not harvest fish in closed season also but only some time harvest fish from floting water	2
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	habitator aquatic vegetation something improved 25% before 2011 fish catch something improved due to management under RMO but after 2011 trends again decreasing but still now it is same in condition	2	Habitat/Vegetation something improved 10% than previous	1	Habitat/Vegetation something improved 15% than previous	1	Habitat/Vegetation something improved 5-10% than previous	1
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	before 2011 fish catch something improved due to management under RMO but after 2011 trends again decreasing but still now it is same in condition	1	Same in condition	1	Same due to controlling of bakkia bill.	1	comparatibely same but after lease out biil it trend is decreasing	1
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	No encroachment but due to lack management and not under RMO so its open for all to harvest. So RMO not legal authority so they are not forbading people.	1	Govt. forcely leased out of Agari bill.	0	Encroachment nill	2	no encroachment but after lease out of the bill, Leaser harvest fish	1
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No incidents but no management so some of the people harvest fish	1	No	2	No incidents	2	none	2

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No action taken by RMO due legality and Govt. has no monitoring.	0	None but Govt leased out to other people	1	solved	2	resolved cases	2
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No conflicts within communities	2	None	2	None	2	no	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	All are same for harvesting so no conflicts	2	Outsider harvest fish by got lease from govt. administration and 4-5 case file	0	None	2	No	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	Some	1	Resolved by local Union parishod	1	all	2	all	2
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)				-	No and % :	-		
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	45 out of 61 that is 73%	<u>2</u>	50 out of 60 that is 83%	2	40 out of 56 that 71%	2	30 out of 40 that is 75%	<u>2</u>
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	11 out of 15 that is 66%	2	10 out of 17 that is 58%	2	11 out of 17 that is 64%	2	9 out of 13 that is 69%	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Poor and non poor members are consist in RMO so any meeting or informal discussion they share with those people, 4-5 time they share with general poor.	2	RMO consist both poor and non poor members but due to Govt. leased out members are not interest about consultation and they sometime share with general poor last year 2-3 time they discussed but problem is their that Govt. Leased out	2	RMO members consist both poor and non poor members so when any decision making both people are present and make their opinion and take decision	2	RMO consist both poor and non poor members but due to Govt. leased out members are not interest about consultation.some time they organize meeting with all Villager	1
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	Yes always share knowledge with poor communities	2	RMO always try to exchange views and knowledge with poor.Because poor are the members of RMO	2	Always integrated views and ideas of poor	2	RMO always try to exchange views and knowledge with poor.Because poor are the members of RMO	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Access of poor in NR same	1	same	1	same	1	same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Development plan have but FRUGs have few introduce new enterprise and its profitable	1	have development plan but no execution due no money	0	have development plan but no execution due no money	0	have development plan but no execution due no money	0
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Few improved but its not felt impact to the community level	1	Impact of livelihood is same due to no providing livelihood support and no conserve bill and for this reason fish	1	Impact of livelihood same	1	Impact of livelihood same	1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
				catching rate is decreased.					
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1
	-								
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)							No and %:	
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	14 out of 61, 22%	1	20 out 60 that is 33%	2	14 out of 56 that is 25%	1	17 out 40 that is 43%	2
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%								
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	4 out of 15 that is 26%	1	4 out 17 that is 23%	1	4 out 17 that is 23%	1	4 out of 13 that is 30%	2
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Sometime speak in or out of meeting	1	RMO meeting woman sometime speak	1	RMO meeting woman sometime speak	1	RMO meeting woman sometime speak	1
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	When any meeting or decision making than woman members participate and play role in decision making	1	when any meeting call maximum woman participate and sometime share or or disclose their opinion by raising hand	1	when any meeting call maximum woman participate and sometime share or or disclose their opinion by raising hand	1	when any meeting call maximum woman participate and sometime share or or disclose their opinion by raising hand	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	RMO management and action on livelihood is few so something improved than previous	1	woman voice are raising day by day also woman are more aware about their livelihood so woman livelihood is lightly improved	1	woman voice are raising day by day also woman are more aware about their livelihood so woman livelihood is lightly improved	1	woman voice are raising day by day also woman are more aware about their livelihood so woman livelihood is lightly improved	1
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	yes well maintained	2	yes and being maintained	2	yes and being maintained	2	yes but not well maintained	1
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	8 out of 12	2	7 out 8 (When call GB meeting in this month monthly meeting not execute)	1	7 meeting called out of 8 last year (8 meeting due GB meeting because when call GB meeting at that time EC meeting not held)	1	8 out 8 because when call GB meeting at that time EC meeting remain close	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	65% average attendance	2	11 out seven that is 64%:	2	12 out of 17 so average attendance 70%	2	10 out of 13 that is 76%	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	3 out of 4	2	No.: 3 out 4	2	No.: 3 out of 4	2	3 out of 4	2

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	50 out of 61 that is 81%	2	Average 50 out sixty so attendenc 83%:	2	44 out of 56 so average 78%	2	Average 33 out of 40 that is 82%	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date: 10April 2012	2	Date:12 Feb. 2012	2	Date: 25 January 2012	2	Date:08 Feb 2012	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Manage by RMO with the help of NGO	1	by RMO	2	by RMO with the support of NGO	1	CMO organize meeting with help of NGO	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	RMO maintain all minutes and records	2	RMO keep minutes and records	2	RMO keep minutes and records	2	RMO keep minutes and records	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	yes, Moulvi-251/2000	2	yes, Regi: Moulvi -268/2001	2	yesReg: Moulvi -340/2003	2	yes Moulvi 287/2001	2
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	Out sider have no controls in RMO but in Natural Resource RMO is not formal authority. So NR have no controls without awareness bulup of Rmo	1	outsider control agari bill and harvest all fish by irrigation due to got leased from Govt. Administration	0	Outsider try to control but can not implimented due to strong committee, RMO have some dis satisfaction due to bil controls by Govt. administration	1	NO controls by outsider	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 27/09/2010 Date over but no new committee formed.	1	Date:5 December 2011	2	Date:4 december 2011	2	Date:12 June 2011	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2	secret ballot	2
40	Decision making in CMO	Laeder listen to all members than take decission and read for all	2	Leaders listen to all members and made decission	2	Office bearer listen to all members including woman participant	2	Leaders listen to all members and made decission	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	RMO adviser did not play any role in the RMO, sometime assist for decission making	1	Not dominated but useful advice	2	Not dominated but useful advice	2	No dominated but useful advice	2
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	followed roles and regulation	2	always follow roles and regulation	2	Office bearer followed roles and regulation and aware about NR conservation	2	followed roles and regulation	2
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting	1	Always performed and evaluated general members opinion	2	RMO always performed General members opinion	2	They always take decission consult with all members by calling EC meeting and if emergency Call GB meeting	2
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due fund	1	Yes but not followed due fund	1	Yes but not followed due fund	1

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	satisfactory	1	satisfactory	1	Well maintained	2	satisfactory	1
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: 10 April 12	2	Date: 12 Feb 2012	2	Date:15 march 2012	2	Date:8 Feb 12	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes but not followed due to fund	1	Yes but not followed due fund	1	RMO have financial policy but not followed	1	Yes but not followed due fund	1
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	no fund	0	not enough fund	0	Not enough fund	0	not enough fund	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	no	0	no	0	No	0	no	0
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	no fund	0	No formal but informally help	1	No	0	No formal but informally help	1
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: 10 April 12	2	Date: 12 Feb 2012	2	Date:15march 12	2	Date:8 Feb 12	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: samaj seba office July 2011	1	Date:22 August 2011	2	Date:August 2010	1	Date: August 2010	1
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	some time when requested	1	Sometime when requested	1	Sometime when requested	1	Sometime when requested	1
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	No significant change rather Govt. take ownership	1	No significant change also damage sanctuary	0	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	when ever requested	1	Sometime when requested	1	Sometime when requested	1	Sometime when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	no significant change	1	No significant change	1	Solving the internal and external problem.	2	No significant change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Sometime invite to the poor when their need	1	Basically Govt. Staff come when any training call by NGO Than if any one raise issue voice than listen	1	Basically Govt. Staff come when any training call by NGO Than if any one raise issue voice than listen	1	Basically Govt. Staff come when any training call by NGO Than if any one raise issue voice than listen	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: last year one time may be	1	Details no: Govt. Staff come here to make a conflits because this year they leased out Agari Bill without consult with RMO	0	Details no: none	2	Details no: none	0

	Site (PA name)	Hail Hoar: Barogangina RMO	score	Hail Hoar: Jatuya RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Dumuria RMO	Score	Hail Hoar: Balla RMO	Score
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Formal relation with CNRS and informal with others	1	Formal linkage with CNRS not others	1	Formal linkage with CNRS not others	1	Formalized with CNRS only no informal relation with others	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	samaj seba 7000 taka	0	no fund	0	Samaj Seba 5000 in 2006	0	No	0
		Mr. Dulal Deb-Traserer, Abdul awal-President, Gunendra sen-Secretary, Ms. Hazira Begum-M and Mossamath Murulia bibi, Sanjoy Boumik-M, Samal Baddya-FO		Md. Amazad miah-President, Md. Durud Akanji-Secretary, Md. Kaysher miah Vice-President, Md. Mohith-M, Rahima Begum-M, Samal Baddya-FO		Abdus Samad- M, Md. Salah Ahmed-M, Mr. Jamir Uddin Ahmad-President, Md. Abdul Khalid-Vice-president, Rokiya Begum-M, Chandina biswas-M, Prtima Rani ray-M, Samal Baddya-FO		Avali Rani-Member, Md. Khalilur Rahman - president, Donai Miah-V.P, delower Hossain Delu-Secretary, Salikur Rahman-M, Samal dabnath-FO	
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	Gopla river, jethachara bill and Billduba near the RMO. No bill under the RMO management from 2011. No external support so NR management they feel problem. So if NR management bring under PPP than its situation may increased. RMO is not functioning at the moment due to legal authority except meeting and sometime plantation.		Agari and Lori Two bil under RMO and RMO conserved those bill but this year Govt. Administration leased out Agari bill without consult with RMO and out sider harvest all fish by irrigation. In that case President of RMO filed case against Govt. and lose 2lac taka from personal pocket but still now its not overcome so all members are not happy about conservation. So need to take decision under PPP otherwise sanctuary may damage day by day and according to their voice need all wet land under the DoF.		Barokuma and Chotokuma two bil near them but Borokuma is silted and depth is decreasing now,So need to digging borokuma bill but problem is their bill is not under them and no sufficient money for digging. In this purpose they want assistance from Govt. or development project and for conservation bring under the RMO. Another Kaiya bill, Ramai bill and Madi bill under Govt. Control so RMO members are not getting benefits from at least floting fish. At this moment people are not interested to conserve bill or haor.		Kajuri bill and Jurmehadi bill is under the RMO, RMO has no enough taka for development of local poor. Need to give them assistance for Bill conservation and livelihood development of poor community who are dependent on NR but they have well development comittee. Need development plan for improve the situation of bill management with the help of DoF & local Communities.	
	Assessment made by:	Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder	
		Score % Overall	63.4	Score % Overall	63.7	Score % Overall	70.2	Score % Overall	70.6
		Resource management	65.0	Resource management	50.0	Resource management	85.0	Resource management	85.0
		Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	62.5
		Women's role	50.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	50.0	Women's role	70.0
		Organisation	94.4	Organisation	94.4	Organisation	88.9	Organisation	88.9
		Governance and Leadership	71.4	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	92.9	Governance and Leadership	100.0
		Finances	44.4	Finances	55.6	Finances	50.0	Finances	50.0
		Government support for co-management	43.8	Government support for co-management	31.3	Government support for co-management	56.3	Government support for co-management	37.5

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
1	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score
2	CMO name	KNP-CMC		RKWS-cmc		SNP CMC		LNP CMC	
3	Date of assessment	07-Feb-13		04-Feb-13		12-Feb-13		14-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 20/06/2012	2	Date: 11/8/2012		Date: 16 July/12	2	Date: 18 June/12	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	No cutting tree, hunting, replanting and no fire last year, Replanting	2	No cutting tree, hunting, replanting and no fire last year, Replanting	2	No cutting tree, hunting, replanting and no fire last year, Replanting	2	No cutting tree, hunting, replanting and no fire last year	2
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)								
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	Changes habitat due to replanting and reduce hunting, firing and cutting tree so overall improved the PA at least 20%	2	15% Comparatively improved than 2008	1	At least 20 % forest improved than previous	2	25% improved compared with 2008:	2
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	% change (compared with 2008):		% change (compared with 2008):		% change (compared with 2008):			
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	Encroachment nill due to strong CMC	2	No encroachments during last year	2	No encroachments but poaching happened	1	Encroachment are reducing and last year no encroachment	2
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No incidents happened in PA last year	2	No incidents happened last year but poaching have no action can taken	1	No incidents related Protected area	2	No incidents happened last year as per guideline CPG reformed	2
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	One case	1	None	2	No: no	2	Resolved problem most of the cases, Just one CPG members released due to decrease of his accountability.	2

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	no conflits withen communities	2	None	2	No conflicts withen or outside of the communities related CMO or NR management	2	No: nill	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	2-3 incidents happened last year	0	one case running	1	Two cases in the courts not resolved	1	No: 0	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	overcome by meeting with local elities	1	None	2	all	2		2
	Pro-poor	9		9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)	GoB members 11, So incase of non GoB members 22>42 that is 52% and Total Members is 53	2	GoB members 15, So out of non GoB members 35>49 that is 71%. Total members with GoB 64	2	10 GOB members in this committee So if out of non GOB 22>44 that is 50%) and here inculde local ethnic, PF and CPG members and Total Councilal committee is 54.	2	GoB members 13, So 22 poor > 52 that is 42% and Toal members is 65	2
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land)					No and %:		No and %:	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	GoB members 6, So incase of Non GoB members 11>15 that is 73% and Toatl Members is 21	2	GoB members 11, So out of non GoB members 13> 18 that is 72% Here Total member with GoB 29	2	7GoB members have in the committee so if out of non GOB members 10 poor>16 that is 62%and total committee members is 23	2	GoB members 11, So 6 poor>18 that is 33% Total Members is 29	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	CMO committee formed with local elites, poor and other ethnic communities so share regularly	2	Always share with local people and poor are members of the committee	2	Always share with local poor in meeting but not with the general poor	1	Share with the committee members but not share with the general poor	1
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	all committee include local poor and ethnic so always voews and ideas are sharing with them by formally or informally	2	Ethnic minitores Garo, Tipra are members of the committee and always share with them	2	CMO always integrates views and idea with local Tipra ethnic and other minorities	2	They play active role in management decission making also Kashia, Tipra and Garo communities are member of the committee	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	access of poor are more or less same in PA .	1	More or less same in condition of use natural resource	1	More or less same because Those people who collect dry wood or any other thing not change and incase of buffer plantation poor non poor both are involve there.	1	Comperatively improved; Poor involvement increased due to involvement of Committee/Councilal and buffer plantation	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Very few people adopting new enterprise but its not felt impact in their livelihoods	1	Profitable but due to lack of fund loan can not provide regularly	1	Good and profitable	2	Good and profitable; Due to getting some effort for different enterprise and involving in AIGA comperatively dependency of forest is decreasing gradually.	2
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	Improved but not signifcentaly change	1	Imporved but due to lack of fund and AIGA is not timely distributed and impact of	1	Very AIGA support was provided by CMO and improve but no signifikan change was found	1	Improving gradually; AF supported 10 lac taka are totally managed by CMO and other like Revenew from FD is managed	1

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
				livelihoods not change significantly				by CMO and but due time FD supported taka they not get and AIGA support is very few for poor and not in time so utilization of money they can not properly done and and livelihood impact shown very few	
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	very few are excluded due to reduction of illegal resource collectors.	1	not excluded also include tham in NR management	2	none	2	None	2
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	7 out of 42 and 16%. GoB members 11 so total members is 53	1	12 out 49 that is 24%, GoB members 15 included Total Members 64	2	Woman are 9 out 45 that is 20% and Total council members 54 So Govt. is 9	1	16 out of 65; GoB members 13 so 16 female > 52 that is 31%	2
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women					No and %:		No and %:	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	3 out of 15 and 20%.GoB members 6 so total is 21	2	5 out of 18 that is 27%. GoB members 11 included. Total members 29	2	No and 17%: 4 out of 23. GoB members 7 included	2	No and 17.24%: 5 out of 29, GoB members 11. So 5 female > 18 that is 28%	2
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women			No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Share in the meeting and consist the committee by woman so woman play a vital role in sharing in the meeting also woman woman are so empored	2	Share sometime	1	Sometime when asked for their opinion	1	Sometime speak in the meeting or out of meeting	1
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	Share in the meeting and consist the committee by woman so woman play a vital role in sharing in the meeting but out side of woman they are not discussed	1	Council and EC committee have Woman and thay share regularly but not with the neighbor outsider	1	In side the committee they share but out side of committee or woman not consults	1	In side the committee they share but out side of committee or woman not consults	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Improved than previous of NR but very little and AIGA could not provide so impact of poor woman remain more or less same	1	Improved than previous of NR but very little and AIGA could not provide so impact of poor woman remain more or less same	1	Very few due to limitation of Forest and limited AIGA	1	Very few due to limitation of Forest and limited AIGA	1
	Organisation			9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes but not well maintained	1	Yes but not well maintained and long distance remote area	1	Yes but not well maintained	1	Yes Have room with good decoration and all CMC meeting held in this office, Computer, Chair, table have at least 30-35 people can set together.	2
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	8 out of 12	2	9 out of 12 meeting due to bad communication	2	9 out of 12	2	No.: 12>12	2

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	18 out of 21 so 85% are regularly participated	2	26 present out 29 that is 89% are present regularly have attendance sheet	2	16 out of 23 that is 69% are participate regular meeting showing attendance sheet last 2 meeting attendance sheet.	2	23 out of 29 so 79%	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	1 >2	1	No.: 2 out 2	1	No.: 1	1	No.: 2>2	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	Average 44 out of 53 So 83%: are participated	2	45 out 64 that is 70%	2	88%: On the basis of last general meeting attendance sheet, 48 participate out 54 in last general meeting.	2	60 out 65 that is 92% according to the council meeting register	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 20/02/2012	2	Date: 24 October/12	2	Date: 02 june 2012	2	31/12/2012	2
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	CMO organized but something need help from NGOs like CNRS	1	Managed by CMC	2	Arrange meeting by CMC but sometime need assistance from NGO	1	Meeting organize by CMC with the support of NGOs	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	Yes have minutes and records	2	minutes and record have	2	Have	2	They have every minutes; Seen last 2 CMC and one CMC general meeting minutes and rest have in their documents	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	In process	1	yes registered No: Habi:560/2008	2	Reg no Habi 559/2008	2	On process	1
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	no, can not play role from outsider	2	Not at all	2	not at all	2	Not at all	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date:20/02/2012	2	Date: 10/202011	2	Date: Committee formed for two years 25/12/10 - 25/12/12 but July 11 only Chairman change due after local election change of Up chairman. Committee period is over last CMO Changing council meeting will be 16/2/2013	2	4/18/2012, Time of the committee is not over	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	show hands among all members	1	show hands among all members	1	Secret ballot	2	Secret ballot	2
40	Decision making in CMO	Share with all members of the committee	2	Decision was making by discussion in the meeting including female and ethnic members	2	All decision was making by discussion with CMC EC and Council meeting	2	All decision was making by discussion with CMC EC and Council meeting	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	CMO adviser assist for decision making but not play dominance.	2	CMO play role but it is positive not dominated by discussion with all members	2	Advisors paly active role but share with all people not push but promot not dominated but adviser participation is very few	1	Advisors paly active role but share with all people not push but promot but Adviser participation is very few	1
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	All obey rules and regulation	2	Rules and regulation all followed	2	Play active role and regular attent meeting and sometime visit and watch all they situation and followed roles	2	Play active role and regular attent meeting and sometime visit and watch all they situation and followed roles and regulation	2

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP and regulation		Lawachara NP	
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Committee members always in side the committee but General members sharing was few	1	Committee members always in side the committee but General members sharing was few	1	listen attentively and evaluated all members of comittee but not share with general members	1	listen attentively and evaluated all members of comittee but not share with general members	1
							8		
	Finances	8		8		8			
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Financial paln is a part of Annual Development plan in the area. So when they prepare ADP than they select their activities and make budget for implementation of selected program	2	yes they have financial plan; Financial paln is made with Annual Development plan in the area. So when they prepare ADP than they select their activities and make budget for implementation of selected program	2	Yes have financial plan and follwed but due lack of fund some time feel problem; Financial Plan they make in ADP meeting	2	They have financial plan and followed regularly;	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	well maintained	2	accounts book and others are maintain regularly	2	Yes have	2	Yes have	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date:20/02/2012	2	Date:02/07/2012	2	Date:16/07/2012	2	Date: 18may12 in Council meeting	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes and followed	2	yes and followed	2	yes followed	2	yes have	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Not enough fund	0	Not enough fund	0	Not enough fund to maintain	1	Yes have but due Govt. can not provide money in time so properly not utilized	1
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	No external fuind but successfully implemented LDF Fund	2	Arranik Foundation 10lac and succesfully implemented but return is very few	1	AF funded 10lac they provide Poor as a AIGA but return is very few	1	Arranik Foundation 10lac and succesfully implemented	2
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No formal fund but if necessary than CMO informally assist	1	No normal fund but CMO provide informal assistance	1	yes on the basis of need but not normal fund CMC give informal assistance	1	No normal fund but CMO gives informal assistance	1
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date:20/02/2012	2	Date:2/07/2012	2	Date:11/01/2013	2	18/05/2012 by AF	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date:no	0	Date: 5 January /12	2	Date:13/2/2012	2	4/11/2011 by AB Shaha and Company	1
							8		
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8			

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	when need than Govt. people come for solving	2	When ever required/ need	2	Sometime when required	1	When ever required and its visiting place so monthly ones or two time come	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Reduced conflits and incidents	2	Reduce conflits and incidents and improved situation	2	Reduce conflits and improved	2	Reduce conflits and improved situation.	2
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	When ever required/ need	2	Sometime when need	1	on the basis of need and requested than some time may come	1	Some of times when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	Reduced conflits and incidents and improved situation better than previous	1	Reduce conflits and incidents and improved situation but poaching can not removed due to remote area	1	Reduce conflits and improved if some time odd situation raise like visitor or other conflits than thay minimize	1	In generally conflits not occoured, So if some time odd situation raise like visitor or other conflits than thay minimize.	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Actively invite poor CMC members for sharing and take discission after sharing with all people	2	Between CMC and Govt. department people relation are friendly	2	Friendly	2	Details no: Friendly;Actively invite poor CMO members	2
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: 3-4 times	1	Details no: one or two time	1	Details no: No conflits	2	No	2
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Linkage have but informal except IPAC team	1	informal relation have with other organization	1	Exist but informal linkage with other organization	1	Exist but informal linkage with other organization. Formal linkage with Aranik Foundation , IPAC and informal Sevan, BRAC etc	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	no fund	0	Samaj Seba 10000/=	1	Samaj seba 5000 taka only	1		0
		Md. Mohibul Haque-Chairman, Abdul Mazid Masium-Vice-Chairman, Suga Rani bashak-Member, Karun Pathro-Members, Mr. Arjun Chandra Das-SF, Monoura Begum-M		Md. Bashir Miah-M, Lutfur Rahman Chowdhury T, Fazlur Rahman-Sec. Md. Hashim Miah-M, Peyra Begum-M, Hanufa begum -M, Partho Chakrabarty.-A		Md. Monir Khan-FO/Secratory, Shafiquel Islam -M, Rabeya Kathan-M, Taslima Kathan-M, Rungu Kanu-M, Sanker Paul-VP, Sayful Islam Rubal-T, Jashim Uddin-A		Md. Morthuza Ali-S, Ambilaka Debbarma-M, Pariul Kuria-M, Hatthem Ali Bondo-M, Janaok Deb barma-M.	
	Other								

	Site (PA name)	Khadimnagar NP		Rema-Klenga WS		Satchari NP		Lawachara NP	
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	CMC have office but not well maintained, CMC members are strong enough to continue the CMC but they have no internal IGA and fully depends on external fund but KNP is more important area for PA . So due to lack of fund They can not done any welfare activities. No sufficient FD members with CPG during patrolling.		CMC have office but not well maintained and far from kalenga bazar but inside the forest, Meeting they organize in Range Office. Fund not available, CPG member patrolling regular but hopeless due to no honorery and FD members with them. No AIGA policy of CMC to provide local stakeholders due to lack of Fund.		CMC oraganize meeting, and share knowledge with others. CMC have office well arrange, Have financial plan and followed, no conflits related PA or NR management. Have accounts book and minutes but fund have but not avalable. CPG regular patrolling. So overall good CMC but due lack of money AIGA support could not provide duely No sufficient FD members with the CPG patrolling group.From income of SNP Govt. not regular release appropriate money to the CMC.		CMC has well arrange office and regular collection of entry fee, CPG member are regular patrolling..Financial plan, accounts book etc well maintained. Findings: From Income of LNP, 1. Govt. regular & apporate amount of taka did not release so planed AIGA support can not provide due time, 2. Every five CPG members need with one FD members but FD can not supply. 3. When CMC members need participation in the meeting they loss one mandays and updown cost but CMC can,t provide it to them so people feel disinterest to attend meeting.This CMC Registration process is on going.	
	Assessment made by:	Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder	
		Score % Overall	75.3	Score % Overall	79.1	Score % Overall	78.8	Score % Overall	81.7
		Resource management	77.8	Resource management	81.3	Resource management	88.9	Resource management	100.0
		Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	81.3	Pro-poor	81.3	Pro-poor	75.0
		Women's role	70.0	Women's role	70.0	Women's role	60.0	Women's role	70.0
		Organisation	77.8	Organisation	88.9	Organisation	83.3	Organisation	88.9
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7
		Finances	72.2	Finances	77.8	Finances	83.3	Finances	83.3
		Government support for co-management	68.8	Government support for co-management	68.8	Government support for co-management	68.8	Government support for co-management	68.8

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
1	Indicator	January 2013 Assessment		January 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	TH-UCC- North Sreepur		TH-UCC- South Sreepur		HLH- VCG Judistopur	
3	Date of assessment	29-Jan-13		30-Jan-13		10-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 15.June 2012	2	15-Jun-12	2	Date: 19 March 2012	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)						
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	IRM and DoF Role like ban fish sanctuary, ban harmful gear and dewatering etc not happened and reintroduction rare indiginious fish	2	IRM and DoF Role like ban fish sanctuary, ban harmful gear and dewatering etc not happened and reintroduction rare indiginious fish	2	No maintain Fisheries role, VCG are active but all bill under Govt. district administration and Govt. lease out every year.So they have nothing to do.	0
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	more tha 20% fores and vegetation increased	2	At least 25% increased forest and vegetation	2	Same	1
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	Fish catch is increasing gradually after intervention of different project and NGOs and Forming UCC	2	Now fish catch is increasing after intervention of the project last 3-4 years	2	Samesometime less	1
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	No encrochment but sometime local people stealing fish from protected areas	1	No encroachments but sometime Few people harvest fish by stealing	1	Govt. lease out the bill like nadanjuri, Krthmurti, Goaljuri, Thakonia and biralukhal etc so no encroachment but people harvest fish	0
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	No: Two cases	1	No: No incidents but 1 or two time stealer was caught and locally minimize	1	No incident but if sometime local people minimize	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No: Locally minimize	1	No: Locally minimize	2	minizing by local elite	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No	2	No	2	Some time 1or 2 case	0
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	Two cases last year and resolved by local Union parishad Chairman and members	1	No 2cases local UCC solved	1	Case are filed by local people and Govt. for ownership, not minimize	0
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	resolved but sometime happened like poaching	1	Resolved but sometime happened like poaching	1	No	0

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
	Pro-poor	9		9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	No and %:	-		-	No and %:	-
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	100 out 130 are poor 76%	2	80 out of 180 members 44%	2	11 out of 35 that is 31%, No Govt. officer in this committee In all Haor base organization No Govt. members have as a committee members	1
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	5 out of 9 that is 55%	2	3 out of 9 members 33%	1	2 out of 9 that is 22%	1
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	Poor and non poor members consist the committee so formally or informally they share with them but poor non members they do not share	1	Poor members have in the committee so when any meeting or any discussion all are participate poor non members not shared	1	When any meeting call both poor and non poor member are members of this committee	1
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	UCC always integrated views and ideas of local poor because they are the part of comitte and NR users	2	Poor and non poor members are same in the meeting so any one does not dominated and share views and ideas with all members and integrated	2	VCG consist with poor and non poor members so all decission making by discuss with Ec or GB meeting	2
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Access of poor in NR more or less same and due to reomte communication is great problem especially rainy season	1	Access of poor in NR more or less same and due to reomte communication is great problem especially rainy season	1	Same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Good and profitable by SDC and own saving they provide loan to the poor in crisis period and their realization is okay and people got benefits	2	Good and profitable by SDC and own saving they provide loan to the poor in crisis period and their realization is okay and people got benefits	2	People adopting new enterprise for their own livelihoods but VCG have no enough fund to provide people for access new eterprise	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	NR collectors livelihood fewly improved due to get loan from UCC fund was provide by SDC and their own saving due to get loan but not from Natural Resource resource collection.	1	NR collectors livelihood fewly improved due to get loan from UCC fund was provide by SDC and their own saving due to get loan but not from Natural Resource resource collection.	1	VCG management livelihood same in condition	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Very few but included in buffer or wetland management	1	Traditional User are included but very few	1	Sevral or many	0
	Women's role	5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)						
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	54 out Of 130 members means 41%	2	40 councilors are woman out of 180 that is 22%	1	9 out of 35 that 25%	2

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %:		No and %:		No and %:	
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	3 out of 9 33%	2	3 out of 9 that is 33%	2	1 out 9 that is 11%	0
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Woman are more empowered than previous, their voice raising gradually and always share in the meeting	2	Woman play a good role in decission and they are more active	2	Sometime speak if necessary or out of meeting	1
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	UCC EC/GB committee consist bouth man and woman and regular participate in the meeting and share views and ideas about conservation nature and its benefits but not share with poor General members	1	CMO members consist with woman so when need to take any decission making all both woman and man participate in the discussion but not share with poor general members	1	Every meeting woman are participate so Woman some time speak or sometime raise hand.	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Livelihood of poor woman are improved but very few due to no work in rainy season and loan are not availavle and sufficient	1	Livelihood of poor woman are improved but very few due to no work in rainy season and loan are not availavle and sufficient	1	Gradually incresing voice and capacity of woman but rate of increasing speaking capacity is law	1
	Organisation	9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	Yes and well maintained but communication is problem	1	Yes and well maintained but communication is great problem during rainy seasons	1	Yes but not well maintained	1
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	EC comitte meet every week and last year 50 meeting held out 64	2	EC committee meeting held in every week and last year organize 48 meeting	2	12 out 12	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	7 out of 9 that is 77% participate in meeting	2	Average 8 out of 9. So average 88% members participate in this meeting regularly	2	8 out of 9 so 88%	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	Council meeting held after 3months last year 3 meeting held	2	No.: Three month interval they organize council meeting, Four meeting held last year	2	four meeting	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	89 out of 130 that is above 68% participate in the meeting	2	110 out of 180 that is 61%	2	30 out 35 that is 85%	2
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date:					
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date:23June 2012	2	Date: 13june 2012	2	Date: March 19, 2012	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	UCC organize all meeting but with the assistance of NGOs	1	UCC organize all meeting but with the assistance of NGOs	1	with the help of NGO	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	They keep regular meeting minutesand records	2	Yes, they keep minutes and records regularly	2	Record they maintain but not properly and not discuss all agenda or related discussion	1
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Sunam- 11534/2012	2	S-11534/2012	2	yes no: Syl-103/11-12 Upazilla Cooperative Department.	2

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	outsider have no controls due strong committee and all committee members are well organize	2	EC have strong committee and all are well organized so outsider have no chance to controls	2	Outsider have control NR due to got lease from Govt.	0
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date:23/6/12	2	Date:13 june 2012	2	Form starting those people involve they are still now in position	1
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Secret ballot	2	Secret ballot box	2	show of hand among all members	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Decision making is transparent due all members participate and take decision by meeting organize	2	UCC made decision share with all members	2	Leader listen to some people or sometime discussion in the meeting	1
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	UCC adviser some time assist decision making showing different roles and regulation but some time dominated	1	Sometime UCC adviser facilitate for decision making but some time dominated	1	nil	0
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	All followed role and regulation	2	All followed role and regulation	2	Office bearer followed roles and regulation but NR are not in their hand	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	EC committee always share with Committee members but not with general members	1	EC committee always share with committee members but not with general members	1	Sometime evaluated General members when their need	1
	Finances	8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes and followed	2	Yes and followed	2	yes but not followed due lack of money	1
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Account and records book they maintain	2	Well maintained	2	accounts book and record well maintained	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date:23/6/12	2	Date: 13june 2012	2	10-Jul-12	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes have own financial policy	2	Yes have own financial policy	2	yes but not followed	1
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	Yes but not enough	1	Yes have but not enough	1	not enough fund	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	SDC provide 5lac found and they successfully implemented	2	SDC provide 5lac found and they successfully implemented	2	yes but no satisfactory	1
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	No formal but if any members have crisis they managed it	1	No formal but if any members have crisis they managed it	1	informally provide	1

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date:23/6/12	2	Date:13 june 2012	2	Date:24/01/2013 by CNRS	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: June 2012 Ohab and Company Ltd.	2	Date: Feb 12	2	Date:29/01/2013 by Environment Department	2
	Government support for co-management	8		8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Govt and other staff regular visit when need	2	Govt and other staff regular visit when need	2	Sometime when requested	1
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Reduce conflits, incidents and improved NR	2	Reduce conflits, incidents and improved NR	2	no significient change	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Whenever need or any cases	2	Whenever need	2	Sometime when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	Reduce conflits, incidents and improved NR	2	Reduce conflits, incidents and improved NR	2	no significient change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	Friendly but sometime dominated	1	Sharing attitude but dominated sometime	1	listen to VCG members if raise their voice	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: 2 case not solve it is more previous	0	Details no: Two case and resolved	1	Details no: If need or sometime if organize any training	1
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	IUCN, CNRS, ERA Interporation have formal relation	2	IUCN, CNRS, ERA Interporation have formal relation	2	CNRS, Prochastha and DoE	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	VGF 15 lac	2	140,000 taka by DoE	2	DoE 2lac	2
		Md. Abdus Satter-President, Md. Mustafa- Sec., Md. Monir Miah-Member, Rashida Begum-Member, Abdul Ohayed-M,		Md. Golam Nur- President, Md. Sazidul Islam-Sec. Jaguth Talukder - Member, Jushna Talukdr-Member, Rukshana Begam, Aruna Begam-M, Ali Nur- Treasurer.		Mr. Shafiquer Rahman-President, Md. Helal Uddin-Sec., Salina begum-M, Eklash Miah-M, Md. Mohoram Ali-M, Manu Miah Miah-M, Chemonera Begum-M.	

	Site (PA name)	Tanguer Hoar: North Sreepur UCC	Score	Tanguer Hoar: South Sreepur UCC	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Judistopur VCG	Score
	Other						
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	North UCC consist 18 village (VCG), Both UCC North and South are same in condition, well organize, Govt. Admin. Environmetn ministry, IUCN, CNRS, ERA Inter corporation etc worked their for the livelihood development of poor communities. Local prople are more aware about conservation and its benefits.		This UCC consist 20 village. Tanguer Haor are managed by Environment ministry, UCC, Govt. Admin department and NGO like IUCN, Interporation, CNRS, ERA etc. So Their EC committee are so strong regular held weekly and Try monthly meeting also execute some project. So Overall it is good UCC. Communication in the rainy season is great problem and no way of the people to move without Boat		This VCG near have 5 bill like Nadanjuri, krithamurthi, goul group, takonia and biral khal. But all are under Govt. Decontrol. VCG have no formal or informal authority to preserve bill as a sancuturay. So maximum members have no interest about conservation just Department of environment have give some development loan, VCG handling this money for office purpose or sometime give formal loan to local. If made active the comittee need to bring sancutuary under comittee and provide assistance VCG.	
	Assessment made by:	Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder	
		Score % Overall	82.1	Score % Overall	81.4	Score % Overall	53.3
		Resource management	75.0	Resource management	80.0	Resource management	30.0
		Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	68.8	Pro-poor	50.0
		Women's role	80.0	Women's role	70.0	Women's role	50.0
		Organisation	88.9	Organisation	88.9	Organisation	83.3
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	35.7
		Finances	88.9	Finances	88.9	Finances	66.7
		Government support for co-management	81.3	Government support for co-management	87.5	Government support for co-management	57.1

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
1	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment		February 2013 Assessment	
2	CMO name	Noagoan VCG		Ekatha VCG Gilachara, Fanchugonj	
3	Date of assessment	10-Feb-13		09-Feb-13	
	Resource management	11		11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	Date: 12 July 2012	2	Date: 10 July 2012	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)				
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	No maintain Fisheries role, VCG are active but all bill under Govt. district administration and Govt. lease out every year. So they can not forbade people to catch fish in the bill	0	No maintain Fisheries role, VCG are active but all bill under Govt. district administration and Govt. lease out every year. So they can not forbade people to catch fish in the bill	0
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	No change or improved NR area	1	after 2008 vegetation few are improved but not countable	1
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	same	1	More or less same in condtion	1
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	NR are not under their control so they have no role for conservation only promot people	1	NR are not their contorls	0
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	Two time fighting between two group	1	same	1
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	No	0	action taken but not resolved	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	0	1	one incidents happned	1
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	Two time fighting between two group	0	Two incidents with outsider but one important thing they are not legal authority so it is geart problem who is outsider because all bill under govt. control	1
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	Overcome by organize local people	1	none	0
	Pro-poor	9		9	
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)				-

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	15 out 30 that is 50%, No Govt. members in this committee	1	20 out of 38 members that is 52%	1
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	6 out 9 that 66%, No Govt. members in this committee	2	4 out of 9 that 44%	2
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	VCG committee formed by Poor and non poor members so several time discussed and play active role also they some time share with non members of the committee.	2	VCG committee formed by Poor and non poor members so several time discussed and play active role	2
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	VCG committee actively share views and idea with poor members in the management decision making	2	VCG committee partly share with poor	1
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Same	1	same	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Return to adopting people is profitable but in limited situation due no available fund	2	Return to adopting people is profitable but in limited situation due no available fund, so overall effect is not good	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	improved more than previous	2	Impact is not satisfactory because no available fund so few people get loan or benefit and maximum not got.	1
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	Traditional user are included but very few	1	Traditional user are included but very few	1
	Women's role	5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	No and %:			
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	9 out of 30 that is 30%	1	9 out of 38 that is 23%	1
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	No and %:		No and %:	
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	2 out of 9 that 22%	1	1 out of 9	0
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Capacity is increasing but still now speak in the meeting few	1	VCG meeting woman like as a sailent members but sometime they speak	1
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	In the meeting woman sometime speak but maximum time sailent members	1	Every VCG meeting woman sometime speak if any related issue like loan or benefits	1

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	Gradually incresing voice and capacity of woman but rate of increasing speaking capacity is law	1	Gradually raise their voice and assist for decission making so I can say it trend is increasing	1
	Organisation	9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its condition	no office	0	yes but no well maintained	1
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	15 out 12	2	Every month ones 12 meeting held last year	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	8 out of 9 so 88 %:	2	7 out of 9 that is 77% present regular	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	4 out 4	2	four meeting held last year	2
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	25 out of 30 members so 83%	2	22 out of 38 that is 57% participate regular	1
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 15 June 2012	2	Date:	
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	Date: 12 july 2012	2	Date:05 july 2012	2
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	arrange meeting by VCG but need help from NGOs	1	arrange meeting by VCG but need help from NGOs	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	VCG keep minutes and records	2	VCG keep minutes and records	2
36	CMO registered/legal identity	yes Regi no:Syl. 660/11-12 by Cooperative Department	2	yes from Cooperative department Regi No: Syl-298/11-12	2
	Governance and Leadership	7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No any bill under the VCG committee NR have no controls	0	No any bill under the VCG committee NR have no controls	0
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: June 2008	0	Date:18 june 2012	2
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Show hand	1	show hand	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Maximum members participation and listen to some of members opinion	1	Leaders listen to some of people	1

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	sometime very little	1	sometime very little	1
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Office bearers followes roles and regulation but some lapses in duties due to NR have not in their hands	1	Office bearers followes roles and regulation but some lapses in duties due to NR have not in their hands	1
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	VCG committee members take decission by discussion with few members	1	VCG committee members take decission by discussion with few members	1
	Finances	8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	Yes but not followed due to have no available fund in their hand.	1	yes and planed followed	2
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Accounts or record book maintance okay due audit	2	Accounts or record book maintance okay due audit	2
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date:12 july 2012	2	Date:18 june 2012	2
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	yes but not followed	1	yes but not followed due lack of taka	1
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	not enough fund	0	not enough fund	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Do E funded 2lac project	2	Yes but not satisfactory	1
50	If CMO provides emergency/ welfare support to those in need	informally provide but no formal fund	1	informally provide but no formal fund	1
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date:12 july 2012	2	Date:10 july 12	2
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: 05 august 12	2	no	0
	Government support for co-management	8		8	
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	Some time when requested	1	Some time when requested	1

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	Some time when requested	1	Some time when requested	1
56	Outcome of UP support	No significant change	1	No significant change	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO	listen to the VCG members opinion when raise their voice	1	listen to the VCG members opinion when raise their voice	1
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	Details no: UNO Two time visit due talk with members and NR leasing	1	Details no: UNO Two time visit due talk with members and NR leasing	1
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	CNRS, FHRC, BACHTHA SEKA ETC	2	no formal linkage except CNRS	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	2 lac	2	UPC 22000taka, Local Donar 34000, and CWBNP 2 lac	2
		Abdus Salam- President, Kabir Ahmad- Secratory, Fayjer Rahman-M, Md. Ashik Miah-M, Hena begum-M, Md. Mossabir Ali-M.		Md. Mussabir Ali-president, Rushon alam Chowdhury-Secratory, Lalitha Sabdokor-M, Ismail Ali-M, Md. Ismail Ali-M, Abdur Rab-T, Urmila Biswash-M	
	Other				
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	This vCG near Kangru-goberpuri bill, Fruit Aborna group fishery, Nagua loriby, Tolarber and kalapani bill in Hakaluki hoar but VCG members are not authority so they have no interest and People are not available during assesment, Financial problem, NR area are not in their hand for conservation, So need NR area under the VCG, need assistance from development project, low interest Fund etc they need for proper conservation of natural resource and administration support.		This VCG start from 2007, awareness buildup, financial support like loan. And duck rearing etc assistance they provide to local poor. About NR: Their NR like Goyaljur biil, Aramdunga, Biyea (sancutuary), Gazua (North South), Kalapani and ranchi bill near of them but they are not formal or informal authority of this bill. and Bill are always lease out by Govt. authority so NR management they play few role like awareness build not more than that. So If those bill bring under their conservation with local Government than its situation may improved. But one problem is their same comitee members come again so need to Change leadership for batter performance because Members are not happy this comitee hiddenly.	
	Assessment made by:	Bibhu Bhusan Mzaumder		Bibhu Bhusan Mazumder	
		Score % Overall	60.96	Score % Overall	56.17
		Resource management	40.0	Resource management	40.0
		Pro-poor	81.3	Pro-poor	62.5
		Women's role	50.0	Women's role	40.0

	Site (PA name)	Hakaluki Haor: Noagoan VCG	Score	Hakaluki Haor: Ekatha VCG	Score
		Organisation	85.0	Organisation	83.3
		Governance and Leadership	35.7	Governance and Leadership	50.0
		Finances	72.2	Finances	61.1
		Government support for co-management	62.5	Government support for co-management	56.3

Sundarbans Cluster

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
1	Indicator	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score	February 2013 Assessment	Score
2	CMO name	Chandpai		Sarankhola		Satkhira		Khulna	
3	Date of assessment	10-Feb-13		13-Feb-12		05-Feb-13		04-Feb-13	
		Date Of Reporting: 20 February 2013		Date of Reporting: February 22, 2013		Reporting date: 18 February 2013		Date of reporting: 17 February 2013	
	Resource management	11		11				11	
4	Date of last revision/adoption to Resource Management/ Annual Development Plan (including landscape)	The CMC does not have any ADP/plan for this year of 2012-13. The IPAC ADP for 2012-13 of the PA is approved and started implementing from June 2012. The Sundarbans resource management plan (IRMP) adopted in early 2011. The CMC has some role in IPAC ADP implementation. The CMC members now know the major management rules (fisheries) of the IRMP.	2	The CMC does not have any plan for this year. The IPAC ADP for the PA is approved and started implementing from June 2012. The Sundarbans resource management plan (IRMP) adopted in early 2011. The CMC has some role in IPAC ADP implementation. The CMC members now know the major management rules (fisheries) of the IRMP.	2	The CMC does not have any plan for this year (2012-13). The IPAC ADP for the PA is approved and started implementing from June 2012. The Sundarbans resource management plan (IRMP) adopted in early 2011. The CMC has some role in IPAC ADP implementation. The CMC members now know the major management rules (fisheries) of the IRMP.	2	The CMC does not have any plan for this year (2012-13). The IPAC ADP for the PA is approved and started implementing from June 2012. The Sundarbans resource management plan (IRMP) adopted in early 2011. The CMC has some role in IPAC ADP implementation. The CMC members now know the major management rules (fisheries) of the IRMP.	2
5	Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented)	Under IRMP and FD rules, it covers 4 rules of (1) no cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire and (4) limit on collection of plant for use.	2	Under IRMP and FD rules, it covers 4 rules of (1) no cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire and (4) limit on collection of plant for use.	2	Under IRMP and FD rules, it covers 4 rules of (1) no cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire and (4) limit on collection of plant for use.	2	Under IRMP and FD rules, it covers 4 rules of (1) no cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire and (4) limit on collection of plant for use.	2
6	Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area)	The IRMP and FD rules cover many rules. 6 of them are (1) closed season (2) fish sanctuary (3) ban on harmful gear(4) fees on fishing (5) limit on fishing permit issue(6) limit on BLC.	2	The IRMP and FD rules cover many rules. 6 of them are (1) closed season (2) fish sanctuary (3) ban on harmful gear(4) fees on fishing (5) limit on fishing permit issue(6) limit on BLC.	2	The IRMP and FD rules cover many rules. 6 of them are (1) closed season (2) fish sanctuary (3) ban on harmful gear(4) fees on fishing (5) limit on fishing permit issue(6) limit on BLC.	2	The IRMP and FD rules cover many rules. 6 of them are (1) closed season (2) fish sanctuary (3) ban on harmful gear(4) fees on fishing (5) limit on fishing permit issue(6) limit on BLC.	2
7	Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008	25% increase in number of vegetation. This is due to natural growing of new sapling. However, the number of old trees have reduced. In recent time, there is reduction in illegal felling of trees than 2008. The CMO person feel that the old trees are dying due to climate change effect. There are cattle roaming in the periphery of the SRF which is contribution the	1	30% increase in vegetation over 50% management area. 10% new area increased in the form of char development. The density increase is through increase of new sapling regeneration and growth of the trees. It has been because of the reduction of illegal felling and regain the affect of cyclone SIDR. The forest destruction through illegal felling has decreased. The	2	35% increased in plant density in 30% area. The increase has been due to several steps. It includes natural germination of seed increasing seedlings, steps by FD for protecting the seedling through fencing some seedling areas, steps for seedling germination by returning seeds in the forest collecting from outside. The permit of goran and hental is closed. It is also felt that people are aware on	2	17% increased in plant density over 40% area . The increase is due to natural germination of seed which has increased the seedlings number. However the number of large tree has decrease due to top dying disease. Thus the wood volume has decreased to some extent compared to 2008. The permit of goran and hental is closed. It has some role improving the forest density.	1

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): Dcope-Koyra site	
		decrease of the vegetation. No increase in area		new char have been formed in the area of Kochikhal, Kotka, Supoti, Charkhali, Dhali Ghop char.		the conservation need and they have reduced cutting of tree for fuel and cut tree branches instead.			
8	Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area)	15% increase in fish (is a decrease of 5% than last assessment) and 30% increase of crab. The increase is due to reduction in use poison for fishing. The unit (per fisher) catch rate has decreased. The other factor is the number of fisher has increased and also the outsider fishers. The fish sanctuaries are not well protected. The crab catch has increased 30% but it is not an general increase. It is the effect of poison fishing. The grab get weak and get out of water due to the effect of poising and people catch them easily. In the long run, the crab production is suspected to decrease highly.	2	15% increase in fish production and crab catch has reduced 5%. Both fish and crab production has increased compared to last year and August 2012 assessment. The fish catch increase due to reduction on fishing by poisoning, FD action and awareness by CMC & IPAC. The use of poison for fishing has reduced. The awareness on crab breeding and ban period was good improving the crab production.	2	20% increase for fish (shrimp increase is more prominent) and 25% increase for crab as overall production. The causes of such increase is partial implementation of the IRMP and reduction of net jal (PL collecting net). The fishing rules have been well followed during the breeding periods (special for crab) which contributed to such better result. However individual catch rate for fisher group has gone down due to the significant increased of fisher number.	2	The overall production has increased 20%. However the individual catch rate at fisher group level has decreased. The fisher number has increased. The crab production has decreased 10% overall catch. The number of crab collectors have highly increase in last few years. The use of "Aton Jan" has increased affecting the fish reproduction as it catches all size fishes. There are some of poison for fishing.	2
9	Encroachment of natural resource area (forest or wetland) and conversion to other use, compared to 2008	None. Encroachment is not possible due to the geographical position and the forest is not connected with main land (separated by river/canal). Moreover, the Sundarbans land can not be used for any productive purpose.	2	None. Encroachment is not possible due to the geographical position. the relationship with FD and local people has improved contributing reduction in encroachment.	2	None. It should be mentioned that encroachment is not possible due to the geographical position of the PA and no effective/productive use scope if encroached. However, powerful people would control some area of the forest long time back (20 years). It is absent now.	2	None. It should be mentioned that encroachment is not possible due to the geographical position of the PA and no effective/productive use scope if encroached. However, the unseen influence of the Mohajons has increased. They are using the pirates for this and general fishers are not allowed to fish in some areas.	2
10	No of incidents/extent of breaking/breach of rules/acts in last year (rules and actions identified in 5 & 6)	Moderate. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management. They assume the incidents have a decreasing trend compared to previous year because of the FD Action.	1	Significantly lower than before but still present. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management. They assume the incidents have a decreasing trend compared to previous year because of the FD Action and relationship development of FD and CMC. The poison fishing, use of illegal gear use and illegal tree felling has reduced than before. The co-management has a good and passive role for this achievement as everyone has been more active after the co-management initiative in the area.	1	Moderate. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management. They assume the incidents have a decreasing trend and is about 10% decrease compared to previous year.	1	Moderate. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management. They assume the incidents have a decreasing trend compared to previous year because of the FD Action, action from upazila administration, CMO awareness..	1

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): Dcope-Koyra site	
11	Actions taken against rules/acts breakers	Action taken but not resolved all. The FD was observed to be more active taking action against the rule breakers. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management and action taking.	1	Quite good action taken but not resolved all. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management and action taking. They know that FD is quite active against the rule breakers and has taken good action against the rule breakers through catching the breakers and putting cases. The FD has resources problem for full action. It is assumed that about 80-90% reduction in rule breaking compared to 2008. The FD has fear that if they do not take action, the CMC may inform the higher authority.	1	Action taken but not resolved all. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management and action taking. They know that FD is reasonably proactive against the rule breakers and has taken good action against the rule breakers through catching the breakers and putting cases..	1	Action taken but not resolved all. It is difficult for CMO members to estimate the number as they are not involved with the management and action taking. They know that FD is reasonably proactive against the rule breakers and has taken good action against the rule breakers through catching the breakers and putting cases.	1
12	No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management	No.: 0. No conflict. There is no such scope. The FD is the main manager of the resource. The CMC reckon that there will be no major conflict if CMC is in Charge.	2	No.: 0. There is no such scope. The FD is the main manager of the resource. The CMC reckon that there will be no major conflict if CMC is in Charge. The CMC is also working with enough transparency.	2	No.:0. No conflict. There is no such scope of conflict as CMC is not charge in NR management.	2	No.:0. No conflict. There is no such scope of conflict as CMC is not charge in NR management.	2
13	No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO or landscapes) over NR management	No: 0. The CMO is not in charge of resource management at present. It does not seems to a problem in future also.	2	No: 0. No such scope now and in future.	2	No.: 0. No such scope of conflict as CMC is not charge in NR management.	2	No.:0. No such scope of conflict as CMC is not charge in NR management. However, the CMC members reckon that some powerful people from outside the landscape area steal wood from SRF with compromise of FD personnel. The CMC has nothing to do about it.	2
14	Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved	N/A. No conflict and no conflict resolution.		No conflict and no conflict resolution.	2	N/A. No conflict, no resolution		N/A. The wood stealing that takes place, can not be controlled by the CMC unless CMC is empowered. The FD has to take action.	2
						8		8	
	Pro-poor	8		8			-		-
15a	For Forest CMOs: % CMO council members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	46%. 19 out of 41 members. Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	41%. 17 out of 41 members. Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	43%: 20 out of 46. Assessment considered non-GOB members only.	2	57%: 25 out of 44. Assessment considered non-GOB members only.	2
15b	For Wetland CMOs: % CMO GB members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land)	N/A.		N/A.		No.: N/A		No.: N/A	
16	No. CMO committee members/EC members are poor (< 50 decimals)	43% (6 out of 14). Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	54% (7 out of 13). Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	27%. 4 # out of 15. Assessment considered non-GOB members only.	1	47%. 7 # out of 15. Assessment considered non-GOB members only. Non productive land treated as no	2

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): Dcope-Koyra site	
								land.	
17	Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year.	5 # and exclusively with poor members where a major portion was non-member of CMC.. The discussion focused on the understanding of the need of poor but not the VCF member and dependent on the SRF resources.	2	No consultation with particular focus to poor non-members. However, there has been consultation with some of them in several VCF meetings.	1	5#, The conducted such meetings with assistance from IPAC. The meetings were for awareness and knowing the issues of those category people regarding SRF resource use.	1	No direct consultation with particular focus to such group. However, there has been consultation with non-member poor in common discussion along with other members.	1
18	If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic or other minorities traditionally using the area	4-5 meetings with Hindu minority group. It was not exclusive meetings with Hindu but they were the major number in the meeting. Their opinion was consulted for their issues that need to be considered in resource management planning.	1	There is Hindu minority group as traditional user and no ethnic. The minority views have been taken through VCF meeting but no separate sharing with specific group.	1	Yes. Ethnic group Munda and traditional minorities group the Hindus have been consulted. There are two Munda representative in CMO.	2	Yes, 2 times in the year. Moreover, there are 2 members for the ethnic group and they regularly speak in the meeting.	1
19	Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) from wetland or buffer/ landscape zone	Remain same. However, there is a sign of improvement as the IRMP implementation is taking place. The local and traditional users are getting 1st priority for access.	1	moderate improvement. Because of the IRMP implementation start, the legal access has increased for the poor and illegal access is reduced.	2	Some improvement. The adopting IRMP has focused on the priority access to the people living in the 5km periphery of the SRF which is the CMO working area. year 2012-13 the FD is following the BLC issue and permit level. Thus the access right has officially improved for the poor. There is no restriction over poor access. However, some poor fishers have reduced their frequency due to torture from the pirates.	2	Improved as per IRMP. This year FD is using IRMP rules for BLC and permit issue. Thus the poor and real fishers are getting 1st priority.	1
20	Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO or wetland FRUGs.	Mostly Ok/break with profitable for some beneficiaries. The changes is from the benefit of the AIG supported by CMO and IPAC. It has been noticed that the people are more eager in fish culture than before. The pond re-excavation activities have been very useful for the beneficiaries in availing drinking water and time & money saving for its collection.	1	Profitable but not to a great deal. AIG support like potato, fish and vegetables have given good profit. From 25kg potato plantation, there incidences of producing 240kg. Observing the success of IPAC initiative, some people have been self motivated and doing on their own specially the potato. Tilapia has given good result.	1	Profitable, not a great deal. The AIG provided by CMO (through IPAC) has given a reasonable benefit to the beneficiaries.	1	Profitable by the AIG proved through IPAC. Though the produces has not been harvested fully, there is good amount of stock in the ponds.. The growth rate is quite good. Family level nutrition intake has increased.	1
21	Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors	No remarkable changes. The IRMP has provision for improvement and has started implementing. The CMO yet to have role in resource management.	1	Same. CMC is not involved with management. However, the IRMP has started implementation and the access has improved for the poor. In this context, it is improved. There is some improvement in income from resource collection.	1	The IRMP has started implementation. It has some positive role. There is some improvement in come from resource collection specially from crab.	1	The CMO is yet to be involved with NR management. However the implementation of IRMP has started. It is expected to have some positive impact for the NR collectors.	1

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
22	If any traditional users of the management area are excluded from using buffer/landscape zone/wetlands	None.	2	No. Moreover, the access for them has increased to some extent. It is due the reduction of illegal BLC issue. IRMP and CMC have role for this achievement.	2	No exclusion. The unchanged situation condition due to the general management of the FD. CMO has no role in this regard.	2	No exclusion. The unchanged situation condition due to the general management of the FD. CMO has no role in this regard.	2
	Women's role	5		5		5		5	
23a	For Forest CMCs: % of CMO councilors who are women (target no 15, 23%)	34%. 14 out of 41. Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	32%. 13 out of 41. Assessment considered Non-GOB members only.	2	24%. 15 out of 63. Considered all (GOB & non-GOB) members for calculation.	2	27%. 16 out of 60. Considered all (GOB & non-GOB) members for calculation.	2
23b	For Wetlands: % of CMO members who are women	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
24a	For Forest CMCs: No of CMO committee members who are women (target no 5, 17%)	43%. 6 out of 14. Assessment considered Non-GoB members only	2	38%. 5 out of 13. Assessment considered Non-GoB members only	2	18%. 5 out of 28. Considered all GoB, non-GOB) members for calculation.	2	28%. 7 out of 25. Considered all GoB, non-GOB) members for calculation.	2
24b	For Wetlands: No of CMO EC members who are women	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
25	Role of women in CMO decision making	Regularly speak out in the meeting. Women are invited for opinion.	2	Regularly speak out in the meeting. The president particularly invites and asks women for opinion.	2	Regularly speak.	2	The females are invited to speak and asked for opinion. They regularly speak and give opinions..	2
26	Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions	No consultation meeting targeting only women. However, women were consulted and opinion was asked in the VCF meetings. It has been done in several times.	1	1 # exclusively with targeting women at Chalitabunia VCF 3-4 months before. There were 7 other meetings where women were the major participants where their opinion and issues were shared and noted.	1	No consultation meeting with particular focus/ solely with women. However, women were consulted and opinion was asked in the VCF meetings.	1	No consultation meeting with particular focus/ solely with women. However, women were consulted and opinion was asked in the VCF meetings.	1
27	Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women	No activities with particular focus to poor women. The CMO (including IPAC) activities included poor women and thus they got some improvement. The pond renovation activity has saved time and money for women getting drinking water.	1	Improved though not significantly. The female headed HH has been given priority in AIG beneficiary selection. More than 100HH with women head received AIG support. They have got some benefit from the AIG. There is no remarkable impact on livelihood from the NR management.	1	same with little improvement. CMO does not have much role in resource management. Thus no impact from SRF resource management. The improvement is from AIG support and other activities taken by IPAC. Poor women get high priority for AIG support.	1	No significant impact in considering the NR management. However, there is some improvement on the basis of AIG provide. The poor women were got priority while selecting beneficiary for AIG.	1
	Organisation	9		9		9		9	
28	If CMO has a office and its	Yes. The FD has provided a	2	Yes. The CMC office has been	2	As of reporting date, 90% completion	2	Yes. The CMO has two offices in two	2

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
	condition	building for CMC in Chandpai Range compound. The office has been renovated and equipped with furniture through IPAC assistance. In February 2013, it has been renovated again by replacing the roof by new tin.		fully furnished with necessary renovation and furniture. The CMC office is in the Range office premises at Sarankhola. The CMC meetings are taking place in the CMC office.		of the office. The furniture and other material have been provided already. The office will be fully completed by 23 February 2013 and useable by CMC (as per SF).		working Upazilas. The FD offices have been renovated and equipped with furniture and other materials	
29	No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year	No.:10.out of targeted 12	2	No.: 7 out of targeted 12.	1	No.: 11 out of 12. Did not held only in March-2012	2	No.: 9 out of 12.	2
30	Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%)	61%. 17 out of 25.	2	64%. 15.4 (avg) out of 24.	2	60%: Avg. 15 out of 25.	1	62%: Avg. 15.5 out of 25.	2
31	No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year	No.: 01. held on 07 June 2012	1	No.: 01. held on 29 May 2012	1	No.: 2. One on 12.07.2012 and other one on 14 Feb 2013.	2	No.: 1.	1
32	Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%)	65%: 39 out of 60.	2	75%: 42 out of 56.	2	84% (avg. of 2 meetings). 1st meeting 82% (.50 out of 60. date held 12.07.2012) 2nd meeting 87% (52 out of 60, date held 14 Feb 2013)	2	55%. 33 out of 60. based on one meeting.	1
33a	Forest CMO: date half yearly council meeting last held	Date: 07 June 2012	1	Date: 29 May 2012. over 8 months	1	Date: 14 February 2013, current month	2	February 07, 2013.	2
33b	Wetland CMO: date AGM last held	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
34	Arranging meetings and other CMO functions	Almost managed by CMO. Trace amount of assistance from NGO.	2	Managed by CMO (almost). Very small assistance from NGO.	2	By CMO. However, quite good amount is support from NGO.	1	By CMO. However, quite good amount is support from NGO.	1
35	If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions	All agenda items of last meeting written up by CMO with decisions.	2	All agenda items of last meeting written up by CMO with decisions.	2	All agenda items of last meetings were written with solution/decisions. NGO played major role in minutes preparation.	1	All agenda items of last meetings were written with solution/decisions. NGO played major role in minutes preparation.	1
36	CMO registered/legal identity	Reasonable progress. Papers for approval of Name have been submitted. Well contact and coordination with Upazila Social welfare department. Hoping to get the name clearance soon.	1	Clearance of the CMO name for registration has been officially approved by social welfare department. Registration process are in progress.	1	In process of registration and quite good progress made.. The clearance for name has been got. Preparation for registration application on progress.	1	In process of registration. The clearance for name has been received. Preparation is going for necessary papers and document submission for registration.	1
	Governance and Leadership	7		7		7		7	
37	If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /water body	No. There is no such scope as well.	2	No. There is no such scope as well.	2	No. There is no such scope In the Sundarbans. However, dodondars have passive control over the benefits of the resource.	2	No. There is no such scope In the Sundarbans. However, dadondars have passive control over the benefits of the resource.	2
38	Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers	Date: 07 June 2012. 3 month later than the schedule. Schedule month was February 2012.	2	Date: 29 May 2012. The committee reformed. The Office bearer (3 positions) changed on 19 February 2013.	1	N/A. scheduled date August 2013.		N/A . The time has not arrived yet. The scheduled time is August 2013.	

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): Dcope-Koyra site	
39	How office bearers (committee) were decided last time	Open vote. Name propose and support by showing up hand.	1	Open vote. Name propose and support by showing up hand.	1	Showing up hand.	1	Open vote. Name propose and support by showing up hand.	1
40	Decision making in CMO	Leaders listen to all members including female.	2	Leaders listen to all members including female.	2	Leaders listen to all members. The office bearers invites the other to speak and opinion.	2	Leaders listen to all members. They also invite for opinion and discussion to poor, ethnic and women.	2
41	CMO advisors role in decisions	The participation of advisors in CMO meeting is low. The present UNO participates quite regularly provide useful suggestion and support. The others also provides support whenever contacted/asked. The advisors usually do not dominate when participate in meeting.	1	Low participation in the CMC meeting. However well cooperation to CMC, provide good support when asked for support. The CMC went to UNO for action on illegal fishing and took action through coast guard.	1	Low participation in the CMC meeting. However well cooperation to CMC, provide good support when asked for support.	1	Low participation in the CMC meeting. However well cooperation to CMC, provide good support when asked for support.	1
42	Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year	Almost Always. Presently playing active role for CMC registration.	2	Almost Always. For example; the CMC members reviewed the AIG beneficiary list and AIG distribution.	2	Always	2	Always	2
43	CMO committee/EC performance evaluated by general members	Yes. Done through report card system. Held on January 29, 2013. 9 CMC committee members participated in the assessment.	2	No formal evaluation. However, the general members are happy with the role of CMC .	1	Yes. Done through report card system. Held on January 12, 2013. 7 CMC committee members participated in the assessment.	2	Yes. Done through report card system. Held on January 15, 2013. 8 CMC committee members participated in the assessment.	2
	Finances	8		8		8		8	
44	If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year	No. The CMO does not have any ADP and financial plan. The CMC is not involved with NR management yet.	0	No. No plan, no fund. The CMC is not involved with NR management yet.	0	No. No plan, no fund.	0	No. No plan, no fund.	0
45	Accounts book and records maintenance	Well maintained. The CMO has the AAO. The CMO implemented a fund provided under LDF and accounts are well updated.	2	Well maintained. The CMO has the AAO. The implemented a fund provided under LDF and accounts are well updated.	2	There are books. However, there is no financial transaction and no records.	1	There are books. However, there is no financial transaction and no records.	1
46	Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members	Date: 07 June 2012	2	Date: 29 May 2012. presented to CMO council members.	1	Date: No fund. No account. This has been explained in the council meeting	1	N/A. As no financial transaction and no need of audit.	1
47	If the CMO has its own financial policy	Yes.	2	Yes.	2	Yes	2	Yes	2
48	If the CMO has funds available to implement this year's management/ financial plans.	No. The CMO has no plan this year and no fund available.	0	No. The CMO has no plan this year and no fund available.	0	No	0	No	0
49	If the CMO implemented/ managed any externally funded project/schemes last year	Yes. The CMC implemented a fund of Tk. 7,70,000 last year received as LDF grant. The implementation was reasonably successful. The partner NGO provided good assistance.	2	Yes. The CMC implemented a fund of Tk. 8,00,000 last year received as LDF grant. The implementation was successful. The partner NGO provided good assistance.	2	No.	0	No.	0

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
50	If CMO provides emergency/welfare support to those in need	No	0	No as organizationally as it has no fund. However, the CMC members provided (from member's personal contribution) assistance to a VCF member who got an accident (Tk. 1,700)	1	Not at CMO level as no fund available. However, Few CMC members have done some at individual level as a member of CMC.	1	No.	0
51	Date of last internal audit (conducted by members of CMO)	Date: No internal audit by the CMO. The audit for LDF was audited by IPAC in September 2012	1	Date: Internal audit for LDF by IPAC in August 2012.	1	Date: No audit yet. No fund or financial activities by CMO yet.	0	Date: No audit yet. No fund or financial activities by CMO yet.	0
52	Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body)	Date: No external audit.	0	Date: No external audit.	0	N/A.. No fund or financial activities yet.	0	N/A. As no financial transaction and no need of audit.	
						8		8	
	Government support for co-management	8		8					
53	No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers interacted/supported CMO (e.g. enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes)	3 times by Police and coast guard in enforcing rules against the poison users and net jal use. Uno has assisted in CMO registration process.	1	7 times in resource conservation. Though CMC is not directly involved with management but when they observe illegal activities, they request police, coast guard, DOF & FD.	1	2 times by coast guard in taking action for using illegal gear (net jal used for PL collection). FD (ACF) and UNO in CMO registration.	2	Not very specific. The UNO is very positive and supportive. The FD does like the CMC to be involve in resource management and play anti role for CMC. One example is, the FD is saying that the CMC has reduced the number of BLC.	2
54	Outcome of government support/interaction	Fishing by poisoning has reduced improving compliance to some extent. The registration process has some progress.	1	The resource is somewhat better conserved and access of poor & traditional users has increased. Thus the acceptance of CMC to its general member has increased.	1	The net jal use has decrease. There has been fear among the illegal gear user and has decreased the use. Name clearance of CMO registration has been received.	2	CMO registration process is progressing	1
55	No of times in last year UP supported CMO in solving conflicts or other support	No support in solving problems as it was not asked. However, one Up (Chila) has provided 300 VGF cards to CMO's VCF members. At the request of CMC the Chila UP provided 40 days work creation program for 400 HHs.	1	The UP's are supportive to CMO and will provide if asked. No such need felt for conflict resolution. The UP provided other kind of support like allow using their venue and consider CMO- VCF member for support of different kinds.	1	3 times though not directly to NR management. The UP regularly provided venue to CMC for conducting CMC and other meeting when the CMO office was not ready. UP provided 20 VGF card to CMC beneficiaries (VCF member) who were not targeted by the UP and 80 days work for 10 VCF members.	2	8-10 time in last year. The supports for CMO registration, transparency in AIG support, Ensuring that the poor and forest dependent get the AIG, support in action for illegal activities in the SRF	2
56	Outcome of UP support	300 VCF members received VGF cards and got the designated kind support. 400 HH have been benefited from the work creation program both in money and livelihood. The pressure has reduced a little bit.	1	the Southkhali Up has proved VGF to 9 HH and Rayenda UP VGG support to 5HH at the request of the CMC	1	at least 30 HH got increased income and food security from UP support.	1	The poor have got AIG, CMC registration process has some advancement.	1
57	Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings	Quite good. Actively invite all members including the poor to	2	Very good. Actively invite all members including the poor to	2	Actively invite all members (including poor members) to raise	2	Actively invite all members (including poor members) to raise	2

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
	with/of CMO	raise issue and give opinion.		raise issue and give opinion.		their issues		their issues	
58	No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan	No conflict and controversy decision last year. However, there was low opportunity of controversy issues.	1	None	2	0. No conflicting issue came up. No such scope yet as CMC is not managing the resource. There would be opportunity.	2	0. No conflicting issue came up. No such scope yet as CMC is not managing the resource. There would be opportunity.	1
59	Linkages of CMO with other organizations (NGOs, private sector, etc)	Some informal linkage with SEALS and FtF project. They are working in co-ordination with CMC and PF. The UNO has a strong role in this regard.	1	Some informal linkage with 1-2 NGO/project. Sometimes the NGOs/project takes up some VCF members as their beneficiary at the request of CMC (specially the president)	1	1 formal with Arannak foundation to provide tk. 2,00,000 under as specific proposal. The other projects like SUNDARI regularly coordinate with CMO in beneficiary selection and giving priority to VCF members under the CMO.	1	No formal agreement. However NGOs like JJS, Prodipon, Shulion and project of relief international are closely working the CMC. They are giving priority for AIF to VCF and poor members of the CMC.	1
60	If government provided support (funding or in-kind or credit) to CMO last year (excluding IPAC support)	None	0	None	0	None	0	None	0
		(1) Md. Abul Kalam Fokir, President-CMC (2) Mr. Mihir Kumar Bhandari, treasurer-CMC (3) Ms. Rejina Majhi, Member-CMC (4) Mr. Shariful Islam, SF-IPAC (5) Mr. Kanailal Debnath, PMARA-IPAC		1) Md. Mozammel Hossain, President-CMC (2) Mr. Abu Aslam Tuhin, Member-CMC, (3) Ms. Morzina begum, member-CMC< Ms. Fozila Begum, member-CMC (5) Kazi Golam Muroza, SF-IPAC (6) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA-IPAC.		(1) Mr. Ashit Kumar Mondal, Member-CMC (2) Md. Fazlul Hoque, Member-CMC (3) Hasan Mohammad Shahidul Islam, treasurer-CMC (4) Ms. Sazida Khatun, member-CMC (5) Mr. Subrata Mistry, SF (6) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA		(1) Mr. SM Shafiqul Islam, President-CMC (2) Mr. Kamruzzaman Tuku, Member-CMC (3) Ms. Nilima Chakraborty, member-CMC (4) Mr. Md. Shariful Alam, SF-SSWS (5) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA	
	Other								
	Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO	The CMC has no fund and can not do any visual work for the development of the resource or welfare of the resource users to get faith of the general members. The FD is not involving CMC in resource management, the 50% of the entry fee revenue has not been received; CMC has no legal authority in protecting illegal resource collection. At local level, FD personnel are not operating well. The NGO & projects working in CMO area are not involving CMO in the activities; on the other hand, one project is forming parallel and separate groups with CMO members (SEALS) out of CMO structure. It is weakening the CMO to its		The has no fund for organization running and activity implementation. Nor yet received the 50% revenue., is not involved with resource management. The CMC can not play role for the livelihood who plays role in resource conservation. There is a need for capacity development of CMC at organization and individual level.		The CMC is not involved with resource management. They have no fund yet. They have not received the 50% of entry fee. The and its members need skill and capacity bulding in governance, financial mangement et. There is no visual work of CMC for the beneficiery. the general member are loosing trust over the CMC. If the CMO does not get role in resource management. They also going to loose IPAC assistance. If other project is not coming for them to assist, the advancement made by CMC so far may reduce in the next.		The CMC is not involved with resource management. They have no fund yet. They have not received the 50% of entry fee. The and its members need skill and capacity bulding in governance, financial mangement et. There is no visual work of CMC for the beneficiery. the general member are loosing trust over the CMC. If the CMO does not get role in resource management. They also going to loose IPAC assistance. If other project is not coming for them to assist, the advancement made by CMC so far may reduce in the next.	

	Site (PA name)	Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS): Chandpai site		Sundarbans East WS (SEWS): Sarankhola site		Sundarbans West WS (SWWS): Satkhira site		Sundarbans South WS (SSWS): DCOPE-Koyra site	
		members. There are no activities for employment creation for the resource users based on Sundarbans.							
	Assessment made by:	(1) Mr. Mihir Kumar Bhandari, treasurer-CMC (2) Ms. Rezina Majhi, member-CMC (3) -----, Member CMC (4) Md. Shariful Islam, SF-Chandpai (5) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA		(1) Md. Mozammel Hossain, President CMC (2) Md. Abul Aslam (Tuhin), member-CMC (3) Ms. Morgina Begom, member-CMC (4) Mr. Kazi Golam Mrtoza, SF-Sarankhola (5) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA		(1) Mr. Ashit Kumar Mondal, Member-CMC (2) Md. Fozlul Hoque- member-CMC (3) Hasan Md. Shahidul Islam, treasurer-CMC (4) Ms. Sazida Khatun, member-CMC (5) Mr. Subrata Mistry, SF-SWWS (6) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA-SW cluster.		(1) SM Shafiqul Islam, President-CMC, (2) Ms. Nelima Chakroborty, member-CMC, Kamruzzaman Tuku- Member-CMC, Joydeb Munda, Member-CMC, Md. Babu Islam, Site Coordinator, Kanailal Debnath, PMARA	
		Score % Overall	72.7	Score % Overall	71.6	Score % Overall	72.7	Score % Overall	71.3
		Resource management	85.0	Resource management	90.9	Resource management	90.0	Resource management	86.4
		Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	75.0	Pro-poor	68.8
		Women's role	80.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	80.0	Women's role	80.0
		Organization	83.3	Organization	77.8	Organization	77.8	Organization	92.9
		Governance and Leadership	85.7	Governance and Leadership	71.4	Governance and Leadership	83.3	Governance and Leadership	83.3
		Finances	50.0	Finances	50.0	Finances	27.8	Finances	25.0
		Government support for co-management	50.0	Government support for co-management	56.3	Government support for co-management	75.0	Government support for co-management	62.5

USAID's Integrated Protected Area Co-Management (IPAC) Project
House 68 (2nd Floor) Road 1, Block I
Banani, Dhaka 1213 Bangladesh
Tel: +88-02-987-3229
Fax: +88-02-989-6164
Website: www.nishorgo.org