

ιρας

Global Climate Change and Carbon Financing Opportunities for Bangladesh

The international business of climate change: global context of carbon markets and trading

29 October 2009 Todd R. Johnson, IRG



ipac

Outline of Presentation

Overview of global carbon markets

- Brief history and current trends
- Expected future directions
- Allowance-based markets
 - European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS)
 - Other allowance-based markets
- Project-based financing mechanisms
 - Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)
 - Joint Implementation (JI)
 - Voluntary markets formal and informal

Development assistance – appropriate roles





Overview of global carbon markets (1)

What are they and what do they do?

- Market-based mechanisms to reduce greenhouse gas emissions
- Commoditise GHGs for trade like barrels of oil or tonnes of rice

How created and by whom?

- Established under Kyoto Protocol to UNFCCC in 1997 (more later)
- Three mechanisms established:
 - ✓ Joint Implementation (Article 6)
 - Clean Development Mechanism (Article 12)
 - ✓ Emissions Trading (Article 17)







Overview of global carbon markets (2)

How do you commoditise an atmospheric gas?

- Measured in units of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e), expressed in metric tonnes to be able to standardize – similar to selling oil in barrels
- Other GHGs expressed in CO₂e based on contribution to global warming measured over 100 yrs.:

What is actually being sold?

- Greenhouse GasChemical SymbolCO2eMethaneCH419Nitrous OxideN2O281Sulfur HexaflourideSF621,682
- Assigned Amount Units (AAUs) for Annex B countries measure of allowed emissions under first commitment period of Kyoto (2008-2012)
- Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) from CDM projects
- Emission Reduction Units (ERUs) from JI projects
- Removal Units (RMUs) from LULUCF activities such as reforestation
- Voluntary Emissions Reductions (VERs) voluntary markets (pre-CER)





Overview of global carbon markets (3)

Market – arranged by value of transactions in 2008 (WB)	Market type	2007 volume (MtCO ₂ e)	2007 value (M\$US)	2008 volume (MtCO ₂ e)	2008 value (M\$US)
European Union Emission Trading Scheme (ETS)	Allowance - compulsory	2,060 ^{1,2}	\$49,065 ¹ \$50,097 ²	3,093 ¹ 2,982 ²	\$91,910 ¹ \$94,972 ²
Secondary market Clean Development Mechanism	CER futures market	240 ^{1, 2}	\$5,451 ^{1, 2}	1,072 ¹ 623 ²	\$26,277 ¹ \$15,585 ²
Primary market Clean Development Mechanism	Project-based – compliance	552 ^{1,2}	\$7,433 ¹ \$7,426 ²	389 ¹ 400 ²	\$6,519 ¹ \$6,118 ²
Other Kyoto mechanisms (AAUs and JI ERUs)	Allowance or project-based	41 ^{1,2}	\$499 ^{1, 2}	38 ¹ 24 ²	\$505 ¹ \$2,517 ²
Regional markets – includes Australia, New Zealand, others	Allowance - regulated	25 ¹ 27 ²	\$224 ¹ \$238 ²	96 ¹ 61 ²	\$429 ¹ \$292 ²
Over-the-counter (OTC) [informal voluntary]	Project-based – voluntary	43 ^{1, 2}	\$263 ^{1, 2}	54 ^{1,2}	\$397 ^{1,2}
Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) [formal voluntary]	Project-based – voluntary	23 ^{1, 2}	\$72 ^{1, 2}	69 ^{1, 2}	\$309 ^{1,2}
TOTAL		2,985 ^{1,2}	\$63,007 ¹ \$64,046 ²	4,811 ¹ 4,213 ²	\$ 126,346 ¹ \$ 120,189 ²

Sources:

1. Capoor, K. and Ambrosi, P. (2009). State and trends of the carbon market 2009. Washington, DC: World Bank.

2. Hamilton, K., Sjardin, M., Shapiro, A., and Marcello, T. (2009). Fortifying the Foundation: State of the voluntary carbon markets 2009. Washington, DC: Ecosystem Marketplace and New Carbon Finance.





Allowance markets

European Union Emission Trading Scheme

- Established by European Parliament in 2003 as mechanism for trading in allowable emissions according to Kyoto commitments – allocated by industry in each member state according to historic emissions levels
- By far the most important at this stage in carbon market development
 - ✓ Accounted for 64% of total volume and 73% of total value in 2008
 - ✓ Average price of different vintages over past months: €15 (US\$22.50)
 - ✓ Experienced 87% year-on-year growth, measured in value terms, over 2007
- Recession hit hard but growth continued despite volatility
 - ✓ Price in July 2008 was nearly double that of July 2009
 - ✓ Some of late-2008, early 2009 volume was sales of credits obtained free from EU
 - \checkmark Plans to have full auction may now be postponed due to effects of recession

Other Allowance markets

- Australia and New Zealand have functioning (sort of) but small markets
- Japan and US are still thinking about it; local US markets are interesting



ipac

Project-based markets (1)

Kyoto mechanisms

- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)
 - ✓ Established under Article 12 of Kyoto Protocol; became operational in 2003
 - ✓ Most Certified Emission Reduction credits (CERs) are bought by private companies based in European Union – UK was largest buyer of credits (almost 40%) last year
 - ✓ Vast majority (84%) of projects to date have been in China; India and Brazil strong
 - ✓ Growth was steady 2003-2008, from <50 MtCO₂e to approximately 550 Mt in '08
 - ✓ Secondary market emerged in 2nd half '08 and 1st half '09 as more active (>1 billion)
 - ✓ Energy efficiency, hydropower, and wind account for 75% of project types
- Joint Implementation (JI) mechanism
 - ✓ Established under Article 6 of Kyoto Protocol; became operational in 2000
 - ✓ Emission Reduction Units (ERUs) issued only from beginning 2008
 - ✓ Only eligible activities are between two Annex B countries (industrial economies)
 - ✓ Russia has 68% market share of projects; Ukraine 18% as of March 2009
 - ✓ Only LULUCF project is for afforestation of degraded agricultural land in Romania





Project-based markets (2)



CDM projects by type - 2008; percent of volume

EE + Fuel s., 37%



ipac

Project-based markets (3)

Voluntary markets

- Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) formal, regulated
 - ✓ Voluntary (not compulsory), membership-based network of markets; since
 - ✓ World's first legally-binding system for "cap-and-trade" emissions reductions
 - ✓ Set up along lines similar to Kyoto mechanisms; rules-based, regulated by CFTC
 - ✓ Trade units: CCX Carbon Financial Instruments (CFIs) = 100 tCO₂e; also traded: RGGI allowances, CDM CERs, Climate Registry's Climate Reserve Tons (CRTs)
 - ✓ Growth strong from very small base: 2 Mt in 2004 to 69 Mt in 2008 (<1.5% of total)
 - ✓ Price on Tuesday: US\$0.10 (less than 7 Taka) per tonne; has been low for months

• Over-the-Counter (OTC) market - informal, unregulated

- ✓ Not one market; rather, a wide range of transactions not under emissions caps
- ✓ Because it is outside of allowances systems; all projects are for carbon offsets
- ✓ Account for <3% of total volume, 0.6% of total value of world carbon markets
- ✓ Aspires to be the "retirement home" of emissions; i.e., taken off resale market
- ✓ Transaction volumes and price provide indication of environment as market driver



ipac

Project-based markets (4)



Transaction Volume by Location, OTC, 2008

Land-based credits sold in OTC markets, 2008

Project Type	Vol. Land-based credits (ktCO ₂ e)	Market share of total land-based
Mixed Afforestation and Reforestation	646	1%
Afforestation <u>or</u> Reforestation	3,399	7%
REDD - avoided deforestation	730	1%
Improved forest management	431	1%
Agricultural soil management	267	0.5%
Other land-based projects	130	0.3%
TOTAL	5,603	11%

Source:

Hamilton, K., Sjardin, M., Shapiro, A., and Marcello, T. (2009). Fortifying the Foundation: State of the voluntary carbon markets 2009. Washington, DC: Ecosystem Marketplace and New Carbon Finance.





Ongoing dynamics – markets meet politics

Biggest players engaging

- United States
 - ✓ Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) asserted authority to regulate C "pollution"
 - ✓ Waxman-Markey bill (H.R. 2454) passed House of Representatives June 2009
 - ✓ Kerry-Boxer bill introduced in Senate last month; hearings to begin in November
 - ✓ Consensus around "cap-and-trade" rather than carbon tax or other mechanisms
 - \checkmark Highly affected industries pushing back hard with various lobbying campaigns
 - ✓ Bottom line is that Administration is willing; Congress less certain to pass legislation

China

- ✓ Officially became largest emitter in latest IPCC Assessment Report
- ✓ Heavily engaged using CDM funding to transform energy sector away from coal
- ✓ Continued resistance to efforts to place themselves under emissions cap
- ✓ Prefer limiting per capita emissions; untenable to others (except perhaps India)
- ✓ Reported to be working internally on reaching viable consensus by Copenhagen





Possible future trends – speculative "best guesses"

Reduced Emissions from Deforestation & Forest Degradation (REDD+)

- Perhaps furthest developed mechanism for agreement in Copenhagen
- Would allow countries to sell stored carbon on markets, IF verified eligible
- Attempting to address shortcomings of CDM for terrestrial carbon projects
- Methodological issues remain permanence, leakage, accounting rules
- Perhaps biggest impediments are related to institutional capacity at all levels

Agricultural, rangeland, and wetlands soil carbon

- Much less consensus but lots of interesting research on how it may be done
- World Bank and others optimistic; many thorny issues and challenges remain
- Again linked to tenure systems, legal frameworks, livelihood & rights issues
- Likely remain niche voluntary market for foreseeable future "guilt carbon"
- REDD processes being watched closely as possible model for formal adoption





Official development assistance – potential roles?

Project development costs

- Markets interested in products for sale, not community organizing costs
- Significant up-front transaction costs e.g., inventory, packaging, etc.

Build institutional capacity

- Carbon accounting methodology within relevant entities
- Land, tree, and especially carbon tenure systems, legal frameworks
- Carbon registry, standards organization, 3rd party auditors

Buy down risk

- Investor confidence levels low; similar to other types of FDI
- Creative use of credit guarantees, other mechanisms may alleviate

Optimize co-benefits

- Broader development objectives unlikely to be subsidized by C markets
- Co-benefits can be substantial, yet unrecognized in Convention





THANK YOU!