

Annex 1

CMO Assessment Score Card Format

CMO Assessment Format

| | Indicator | Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score) | Categories | | |
|----|--|---|---|---------------|--|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | | | | |
| 2 | CMO name | | | | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Resource management | 10 | | | |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | Date: | <12 months ago => 2 13-24 months ago => 1 >24 months ago => 0 | | |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees | ≥4 => 2 | | |
| | | No hunting | 2-3 => 1 | | |
| | | Replanting native trees | 0 or 1 => 0 | | |
| | | No fires | | | |
| | | Limits on collection of plants for use | | | |
| | | Other (details) | | | |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Fish sanctuary | ≥4 => 2 | | |
| | | Closed season | 2-3 => 1 | | |
| | | Ban on harmful gears | 0 or 1 => 0 | | |
| | | Ban on dewatering | | | |
| | | Fees for fishing | | | |
| | | Reintroduction rare indigenous fish species | | | |
| | | Excavation of silted up waterbody | | | |
| | | Other (details) | | | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in over 50% of management area | 2 | | |
| | | Increase in growth (more diverse, dense or recovering in degraded areas) in under 50% of management area | 1 | | |
| | | No change or more degraded | 0 | | |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) | increase => 2 same => 1 decrease => 0 | | |
| | | 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | None | 2 |
| | | | | Moderate/some | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | High/serious | 0 | | |
| | | Resolved problem | 2 | | |
| | | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | | |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No action | 0 | | |
| | | No.: | None => 2 1 => 1 More than 1 => 0 | | |
| | | 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: | None => 2 1 => 1 More than 1 => 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | | | All | 2 |
| | | | | Some | 1 |
| | | None | 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Pro-poor | 8 | | | |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %: | ≥60% poor => 2 40-59% poor => 1 <40% poor => 0 | | |
| | | 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: | 2 or more => 2 1 => 1 none => 0 |
| | | | | 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 | | |
| | | Partly consulted, or members but no real say | 1 | | |
| | | No and ethnic minorities present | 0 | | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | | |
| | | Same | 1 | | |
| | | Worse | 0 | | |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Good/profitable | 2 | | |
| | | OK/break even | 1 | | |
| | | Poor/loss | 0 | | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 | | |
| | | Same | 1 | | |
| | | Worse | 0 | | |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None, | 2 | | |
| | | Very few, | 1 | | |
| | | Several or many | 0 | | |

CMO Assessment Format

| | Indicator | Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score) | Categories |
|----|---|---|--|
| | Women's role | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | No and %: | >30% => 2 15-30% => 1 <15% => 0 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | No and %: | >30% => 2 15-30% => 1 <15% => 0 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings, Sometimes speak out in meetings Never speak out in meetings | 2 1 0 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | No.: | 2 or more => 2 1 => 1 none => 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved Same Worse | 2 1 0 |
| | Organisation | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained, Yes but not well maintained, No | 2 1 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: | 8 or more => 2 4-7 => 1 0-3 => 0 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: | ≥75% => 2 50-74% => 1 <50% => 0 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: | 2 or more => 2 1 => 1 None => 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: | ≥75% => 2 50-74% => 1 <50% => 0 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: | Within last 15 months =>2 15-24 months ago =>1 > 24 months ago =>0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO Substantially dependent on facilitation (NGOs) | 2 1 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solutions Record of last meeting written up but not for all agenda items Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 2 1 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Yes (with who and date registered) No | 2 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No Indirect control through eg moneylenders or robbery Yes (control of part or all of physical area) | 2 1 0 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: | Within time in constitution => 2 Up to 12 months later than in constitution => 1 More than 12 months late (including never) => 0 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Secret ballot of all members (GB/council) Show of hands among all members (GB/council) Decided internally by (Executive) Committee only Other (details): | 2 1 0 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members, Leaders listen to some of people, Few people take all decisions without listening to others | 2 1 0 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice Tend to dominate or influence behind scenes None/very little | 2 1 0 |
| 41 | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by CMO) Plan developed with some consultation and minor changes by resource users/local community Plan developed by government agencies alone (or no plan) | 2 1 0 |
| 42 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always Some lapses in duties | 2 1 |

CMO Assessment Format

| | Indicator | Status (fill in figures given by informants or write in if different answer, circle appropriate score) | Categories |
|----|--|---|---------------------------|
| | | Broke CMO rules or often inactive | 0 |
| 43 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Recognised system, e.g. a review sub-committee | 2 |
| | | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |
| | | No | 0 |
| | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 |
| 44 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, and plan followed | 2 |
| | | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 |
| | | No | 0 |
| 45 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 |
| | | Satisfactory | 1 |
| | | Not well maintained (not up to date, mistakes, none) | 0 |
| 46 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: | Within last 6 months => 2 |
| | | | 7-12 months ago => 1 |
| | | | 13+ months ago => 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Enough or more than enough | 2 |
| | | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| | | In debt | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | Yes and members have pass books | 2 |
| | | Yes but no individually held records | 1 |
| | | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | Yes, only poor can borrow (may include non-CMO people) | 2 |
| | | Yes any CMO members can borrow | 1 |
| | | No | 0 |
| 50 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | Yes, fund exists to support poor people in need | 2 |
| | | No but informally has given support to poor in need | 1 |
| | | No | 0 |
| 51 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: | <12 months ago => 2 |
| | | | > 12 months ago => 1 |
| | | | Never => 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 |
| 52 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Whenever requested/required | 2 |
| | | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| | | Never | 0 |
| 53 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| | | No significant change | 1 |
| | | Worsened situation | 0 |
| 54 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Whenever requested/required | 2 |
| | | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| | | Never | 0 |
| 55 | Outcome of UP support | Reduced conflict and improved NR management | 2 |
| | | No significant change | 1 |
| | | Worsened situation | 0 |
| 56 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| | | Listen to CMO if raise their voices | 1 |
| | | Dominate meetings and give less time for CMO especially the poor | 0 |
| 57 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions | Details, no.: | none => 2 |
| | | | 1 => 1 |
| | | | 2 or more => 0 |
| 58 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | Formalised by agreement | 2 |
| | | Exist but informal | 1 |
| | | None | 0 |
| 59 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | Details and amount: | yes ≥ Tk 10,000 => 2 |
| | | | yes < Tk 10,000 => 1 |
| | | | none => 0 |
| | Other | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | |
| | Assessment made by: | | |
| | Note: last year = last 12 months up to date of assessment | | |

Annex 2

Assessment Details for 35 CMOs in April-May 2011

(Note: Indicator measures which fell into the lowest category (scored as zero) have been highlighted with shading and represent priority issues to address)

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Barangina RMO | Jethua RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 14/05/2011 | 25/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, no hunting, no fires, ban on collection of plants for use, restrictions on grazing | No data | |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Fish sanctuary, ban on fishing gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing, reintroduction of rare and indigineous species practiced in the last year | Fish sanctuary, closed season, ban on harmful gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing, excavation of silted up waterbody practiced in the last year | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Vegetation: good growth of swamp forest trees but these cover only small % of managed area, in main wetland growth of lotus and other plants fluctuates | No data | |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008): 50% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies As the RMO does not manage any waterbodies where fishing is allowed, this needs explanation!! There could be such a change in the area influenced by RMO's management There are some of the waterbodies that are managed by the RMO and not managed as sanctuary. Fishing is allowed in those waterbodies, RMOs often sellthe fishing rights to some of the dependent individuals or groups to fish; Sanctuary management by the RMOs have impact on all over the Hail Haor, overall fish catch increased considerably. What waterbodies does Borogangina hold rights over that it then sells fishing rights to on contract? | % change (compared with 2008): more than 20% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | very few breaking rules incidence in the last year | None | 2 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | several actions particularly fine and cancellation of membership taken in the meetings, however most often problems been resolved but not always | all the issues had been resolved | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last | No.: 0 conflict in the last | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 12 (10 resolved in the meeting; 2 pending in the courts, no punishments so far from court) | No.: 1 (conflict with farmers regarding irrigation issues) | 1 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | some of the conflicts been overcame, see above | conflict on irrigation issue not resolved so far | 0 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | 40% | 50% | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 2 (Mirash, Kamaruddin) | No.: 1 (out of 3 office bearers) | 1 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 5 (with FRUG, AGM, Iftar party, several awareness programme) | Not done | 0 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few traditional users lost access - all can fish and collect plants in nearby areas | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 11 & 27% (11 out of 41 members in the GB) | 6 & 10% (6 out of 60 members in the GB) | 0 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 2 & 13.33% (02 out of 15 members EC) | 2 & 11.76% (2 out of 17 members EC) | 0 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 (all the occasions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 4 (all the occasions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Barangina RMO | | Jethua RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 14/05/2011 | | 25/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 | No.:14 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 80% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 | %: 70.59% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.: 10 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 85% in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 2 | %: 75% (45 out of 60 members) in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:31/03/2011 | 2 | Date:February 2009 | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO and then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO and then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: 09/09/2002 | 2 | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2002 | 2 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:22/07/2010 | 2 | Date:04/12/2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but given useful advice | 2 | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Office bearers always followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | 2 | Sometimes in the last year, President and Vice-President couldn't spare enough time to perform their duties efficiently | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year but that plan not followed always | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | well maintained | 2 | well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 03/04/2011 | 2 | Date: April 2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Currently in debt. Upazilla Fisheries Resource Management and Conservation Committee hadn't released Endowment fund interest in time, RMO has to take loan to accomplish the activities within favorable time. | 0 | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | Although they provided informal support to poor from their general funds earlier, however last year no such support provided | 0 | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: March 2011 | 2 | Date: December 2010 | 2 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Some of the time reduced conflict and improved compliance but not always | 1 | Always their effort couldn't reduce conflict and improved compliance | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | no significant change | 1 | Always their effort reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Listen to CMO if they raise their voice | 1 | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | formalized by agreement with GIZ for improved cooking stoves installation | 2 | no such agreement so far | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | no support so far from govt. | 0 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Baragangina RMO | Jethua RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 14/05/2011 | 25/05/2011 | |
| | Other | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | RMO provide several other support in the form of community clinic, warm clothing distribution, initiative to support poor not from their RMO fund, these activities make a positive response for them in the community and such achievements not highlighted in the assessments.RMO feel that over the time it became an institution not only involved in resource management but also done some activities for the society. That actually enhanced RMO's acceptability to wider community. However, this not related to resource management | N/A | |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | |
| | | Score % Overall | Score % Overall | 69.2 |
| | | Resource management | Resource management | 81.3 |
| | | Pro-poor | Pro-poor | 58.3 |
| | | Women's role | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | | Organisation | Organisation | 83.3 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | Governance and Leadership | 87.5 |
| | | Finances | Finances | 64.3 |
| | | Government support for co-management | Government support for co-management | 50.0 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Dumuria RMO | Balla RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No data | No data | |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Fish sanctuary, ban on fishing gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing, excavation of silted up waterbodies practiced in the last year | Fish sanctuary, ban on harmful gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing, reintroduction of indigenous fishes, excavation of silted up waterbodies practiced in the last year | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | No data | No data | |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008): more than 25% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | % change (compared with 2008): more than 50% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | moderate number of rule breaking incidences in the last year; laws and rules couldn't be maintained effectively in all cases | moderate number of rule breaking incidences in the last year; laws and rules couldn't be maintained effectively in all cases | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | some actions particularly fine and cancellation of membership taken in the meetings, however due to external pressure not all the issues been resolved | some actions particularly fine and cancellation of membership taken in the meetings, however all the issues had been resolved | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 7 (claiming waterbodies bt the lease holders / khas land) | No.: 3 | 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | conflicts been resolved but not totally, govt. not take decisions against them | almost all the conflicts had been resolved in the last year | 2 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %.: 80.35% | %.: 78.94% | 2 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 1 (Pratap Sarkar) | No.: 3 (out of 6 office bearers) | 2 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 0 (none held last year, however, these type of programmes held earlier) | 2 (warm clothing distribution issue discussion, beel land for rice cultivation by the poor, 90% share goes to poor cultivators) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 14 & 25% (14 out of 56 members in the GB) | 13 & 22.80% (13 out of 57 members in the GB) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 5 & 33.33% (5 out of 15 members EC) | 3 & 23.07% (3 out of 13 members EC) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 (all the occassions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 4 (all the occassions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Dumuria RMO | | Balla RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | | 15/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 80% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 | %: 77% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.: 4 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 75% in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 2 | %: 75% (43 out of 57 members) in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:24/06/2010 | 2 | Date:March 2011 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO and then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO and then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: 23/03/2002 | 2 | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2002 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:21/11/2009 | 2 | Date:10/12/2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Sometimes tend to dominate but give useful advices as well | 1 | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Office bearers always tried to follow rules and regulations in last year; however there are some lapses in duties, like: they couldn't arrange govt. audit in time | 1 | Sometimes in the last year, President and Vice-President couldn't spare enough time to perform their duties efficiently | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | well maintained | 2 | well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 05/04/2011 | 2 | Date: March 2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: 18/10/2008 | 1 | Date: December 2010 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Some of the time reduced conflict and improved compliance but not always | 1 | Always their effort reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | supports received in every requested occasions | 2 | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | temporarily solutions been made not sustained in the long run | 1 | temporarily solutions been made not sustained in the long run | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | formalized by agreement with GIZ for improved cooking stoves installation | 2 | no such agreement so far | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | no support so far from govt. | 0 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Dumuria RMO | Balla RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| | Other | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | beel area demacation, lease period extension are issues relating to sustainance. Coordination with govt. is not OK as compared to MACH time. Exemption of lease value to sanctuaries are required | N/A | |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | |
| | | Score % Overall | Score % Overall | 80.3 |
| | | Resource management | Resource management | 81.3 |
| | | Pro-poor | Pro-poor | 91.7 |
| | | Women's role | Women's role | 80.0 |
| | | Organisation | Organisation | 100.0 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | Governance and Leadership | 87.5 |
| | | Finances | Finances | 71.4 |
| | | Government support for co-management | Government support for co-management | 50.0 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Sananda RMO | Agari RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No data | No data | |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Fish sanctuary, ban on harmful gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing, excavation of silted up waterbody practiced in the last year | They have set of rules in their management plan, including a sanctuary. However, they were not able to practice those rules properly in the last year. Currently they have conflicts with some of the influentials in the locality and even within the CMO members. | 0 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | No data | No data | |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008): more than 25% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | % change (compared with 2008): more than 30% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | moderate number of rule breaking incidences in the last year; laws and rules couldn't be maintained effectively in all cases | Rule breaking is a serious problem for the RMO managed waterbodies in the last year; RMO couldn't handled such cases effectively mostly due to some of the pressure groups active in the nearby area and local administration is providing support to them rather than stopping them from rule breaking | 0 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | actions like written commitment taken in the meetings from the rule breakers and all the issues had been resolved in the last year | several actions RMO taken like written commitment taken in the meetings from the rule breakers and even cases been filed against the rule breakers and no issue had been resolved in the last year; some of the cases are pending in the court, released in bail and doing the same activities | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 7 conflict in the last; some of the RMO members are believed to play part in the backdrop | 0 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 7 conflict in the last; with the supports from some of the RMO members some miscreants are creating anarchy in resource management | 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | none of the conflicts had been resolved in the last year | 0 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %.: 72.13% (44 out of 61) | %.: 67.79% (40 out of 59) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 3 (out of 7 office bearers) | No.: 1 (out of 4 office bearers) | 1 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | none | 1 (with FRUG) | 1 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 14 & 22.95% (14 out of 61 members in the GB) | 14 & 23.72% (14 out of 59 members in the GB) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 3 & 20% (3 out of 15 members EC) | 4 & 23.52% (4 out of 17 members EC) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 (all the occassions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 4 (all the occassions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Sananda RMO | | Agari RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | | 15/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes but not well maintained due to shortage of fund | 1 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 80% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 | %: 82.35% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.: 4 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 75.40% (46 out of 61 members) in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 2 | %: 50.84% (30 out of 59 members) in the last year on an average in the GB meetings | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:January 2011 | 2 | Date:12/02/2011 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO anf then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO anf then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2000 | 2 | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2002 | 2 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:25/09/2010 | 2 | Date:27/02/2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 | very little role played | 0 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Office bearers always tried to follow rules and regulations in last year; however there are some lapses witnessed in duties | 1 | In the last year, office bearers were not able to perform their duties efficiently | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | well maintained | 2 | well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: January 2011 | 2 | Date: 22/03/2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 | RMO is in debt to cover financial expenses due to RMP implementation | 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: February 2011 | 2 | Date: March 2011 | 2 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DoF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | DoF had provided its support in the all the occasions whenever RMO requested | 2 | DoF sometimes provided its support however not all the occasions whenever RMO requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Always their effort reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance for a while however, no permanent solution | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 | RMO had received regular supports from UP whenever they had asked in the last year | 2 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | after UP's intervention issues resolved for a while but not fully | 1 | after UP's intervention issues resolved for a while but not fully | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | no such agreement so far | 0 | no such agreement so far | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | no support so far from govt. | 0 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Sananda RMO | Agari RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| | Other | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | Excavation of waterbodies are very much required for the sustenance of RMO and wetland biodiversity particularly in the sanctuary area where depth of water is becoming less over time as the siltation rate is heavy. RMO wants good support from IPAC and govt. to re-excavate the waterbodies further, otherwise they believe that all their efforts will go in vain. They had urged for more waterbodies to hand over to Sanada RMO as they have very limited number currently. - have they received funds for excavation out of endowment interest? | Issue of Lolita Gangina khal has to be resolved with the support from the respective authority. Lease period has to be extended for Agari beel and necessary steps has to be taken to dismiss the cases against RMO members filed by Zillu Miah and his allies. If the mentioned issues are not resolved successfully, RMO existence will be endangered. | |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | |
| | | Score % Overall | Score % Overall | 66.8 |
| | | Resource management | Resource management | 31.3 |
| | | Pro-poor | Pro-poor | 66.7 |
| | | Women's role | Women's role | 80.0 |
| | | Organisation | Organisation | 94.4 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | Governance and Leadership | 81.3 |
| | | Finances | Finances | 64.3 |
| | | Government support for co-management | Government support for co-management | 50.0 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Ramedia RMO | Kajura RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No data | No data | |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Fish sanctuary, closed season, ban on harmful gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing practiced in the last year | Fish sanctuary, closed season, ban on harmful gear, ban on dewatering, fees for fishing practiced in the last year | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | No data | No data | |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008): more than 50% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | % change (compared with 2008): more than 70% increased in fish catches as compared to 2008 in the RMO managed waterbodies | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | moderate number of rule breaking incidences in the last year; laws and rules couldn't be maintained effectively in all cases | moderate number of rule breaking incidences in the last year; laws and rules couldn't be maintained effectively in all cases | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | actions like fine, written commitment taken in the meetings from the rule breakers or cancellation of membership taken and all the issues had been resolved in the last year | actions like fine, written commitment taken in the meetings from the rule breakers or cancellation of membership taken and all the issues had been resolved in the last year | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | none to resolve | |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land) | %; 53.57% (30 out of 56) | %; 43.75% (14 out of 32) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 3 (out of 6 office bearers) | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 2; (RUG meeting, in the RMO meeting poor non-members came wanted help) | no such meeting held last year | 0 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | Improved as they has more fish and wetland resources in these days and they are receiving supports from FRUGs | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | Very few traditional users been excluded from the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 20 & 35.71% (20 out of 56 members in the GB) | 12 & 37.5% (12 out of 32 members in the GB) | 2 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 4 & 23.52% (4 out of 17 members EC) | 3 & 27.27% (3 out of 11 members EC) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 (all the occassions in the GB meeting 4 held quarterly basis) in the last year | 3 occassions in the GB meetings in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Ramedia RMO | | Kajura RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | | 15/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 | Improved as they has wetland resources in these days | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:10 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 | No.:12 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %. 76% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 2 | %. 54.54% in the last year on an average in the EC meetings | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.: 3 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %. 75% in the last year on an verage in the GB meetings | 2 | %. 62.5% in the last year on an verage in the GB meetings | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:March 2011 | 2 | Date:March 2011 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO anf then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO anf then they inform the respective SF to join the meetings | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2004 | 2 | with Dept. of Social Welfare; date: Year 2002 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:July 2010 | 2 | Date:March 2011 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | through secret ballot of all members of GB | 2 | show hands among all members in the GB meeting | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | They tend to dominate sometimes by imposing some decisions but give useful advices as well | 1 | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 | Yes, RMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | well maintained | 2 | well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: March 2011 | 2 | Date: March 2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | They have enough funds according to their needs | 2 | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO don't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 | RMO don't operate a welfare fund however, they had supported poor in need from their general fund in some occasions | 1 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: February 2008 | 1 | Date: April 2008 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | DoF couldn't provided its support in the all the occasions whenever RMO requested, however, it had supported many occasions | 1 | DoF couldn't provided its support in the all the occasions whenever RMO requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Always their effort reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance for a while however, no permanent solution | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 | Not all the time supports got, however, some of the time when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | after UP's intervention issues resolved for a while but not fully | 2 | after UP's intervention issues resolved | 2 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | formalized by agreement with GIZ for improved cooking stoves installation, however, they are not in a stage to continue this agreement and going to cancel it | 2 | formalized by agreement with GIZ for improved cooking stoves installation, however, they are not in a stage to continue this agreement and going to cancel it | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | no support so far from govt. | 0 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Hail Haor | Hail Haor | |
| 2 | CMO name | Ramedia RMO | Kajura RMO | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 15/05/2011 | 15/05/2011 | |
| | Other | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | RMO claims that they have many successes that was not taken into account in the assessment process like humanitarian assistance provided to the victims of 2004. Some other issues that are very much linked to their sustainability include lease period renewal and provision of long-term lease instead of 5-yrs. term, regular and optimal excavation and necessary funding opportunity to carry such activities | RMO believe that there are some other issues that are very much linked to their sustainability include lease period renewal and excavation of silted up waterbodies is very much urgent to maintain the success and necessary funding in this regard | |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Md.Moniruzzaman Chowdhury, SF (Hail Haor) | |
| | | Score % Overall | Score % Overall | 73.9 |
| | | Resource management | Resource management | 92.9 |
| | | Pro-poor | Pro-poor | 50.0 |
| | | Women's role | Women's role | 90.0 |
| | | Organisation | Organisation | 88.9 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | Governance and Leadership | 81.3 |
| | | Finances | Finances | 64.3 |
| | | Government support for co-management | Government support for co-management | 50.0 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Khadimnagar NP | Rema-Kalenga Wildlife Sanctuary | |
| 2 | CMO name | Khadimnagar NP CMC | Rema-Kalenga WS CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16/05/2011 | 17/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting o trees, no hunting, replanting of native trees and limits on collection of plants for use practiced last year | no hunting, no fires and limits on collection of plants for use practiced last year, (so cutting trees is allowed!) | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | forest diversity, density and degraded area recovered on approximately 20% areas of the park as compared to 2008, as the pressure is very much limited to this NP even well before CMCs activities | forest diversity, density and degraded area recovered on approximately 50% areas of the park as compared to 2008, as the pressure is very much limited to this NP even well before CMCs activities | 2 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | no rule breaking incidences in the last year | Moderate numbers of rule breaking incidences taken place in the last year | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | all the problems had been resolved in the last year | several actions had been taken earlier against rule breakers but there was not too many success to curb illicit felling. Situation changed after the newly reformed CMC taken over the charge and number of rule breakers became very limited in the last year | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 25 conflict in the last year | 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | NA, there were no major conflicts in the last year, however, all the minor conflicts had been resolved in the last year | there were many conflicts, however, some of the conflicts had been resolved in the last year | 1 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %. 28.30% (15 out of 53) | %. 50% | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 4 (mostly community meetings that held with the neighbouring communities regarding planning for alternative livelihood and distribution of different supports offered from the project) | 4 (mostly community meetings that held with the neighbouring communities regarding planning for alternative livelihood and distribution of different supports offered from the project) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | There are several ethnic minority groups around the park and they have representation the CM council and committee and those members play vital role in decision making process and take park regularly in discssions | There are several ethnic minority groups around the sanctuary and they have representation the CM council and committee and those members play vital role in decision making process and take part regularly in discssions | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | access to forest products remained same as they are not permitted to collect resources from the park legally, however, they can collect some non-timber forest products for their household use and consumption unofficially | access of forest dependent people to forest resources worsened as CMC was trying to curb illicit felling and to a extent they became successful, collection of forest resources is not permitted from the sanctuary, however, however, they can collect some non-timber forest products for their household use and consumption unofficially | 0 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (CMC promoted LDF supported enterprises just been started in the Khadimnagar NP, it is yet to assess) | Not Applicable (CMC promoted Aranyak Foundation supported enterprises just been started in the RKWS, it is yet to assess) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved as some of NR collector already received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal | Improved as some of NR collector already received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal. Seems unlikely given that access worsened, rated as same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | all the traditional users were been included in the process | very few traditional users were been included in the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 5 & 9.43% (5 out of 53 members in the GB) | 12 & 18.75% (12 out of 64 members in the GB) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 3 & 14.28% (3 out of 21 members EC) | 5 & 17.24% (5 out of 29 members EC) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 5 occassions in the 7 CM committee meetings in the last year | 4 occassions in the 9 CM committee meetings in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Khadimnagar NP | | Rema-Kalenga Wildlife Sanctuary | |
| 2 | CMO name | Khadimnagar NP CMC | | Rema-Kalenga WS CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16/05/2011 | | 17/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as some of female members of the resource users family received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal | 2 | Improved as some of female members of the resource users family received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | no | 0 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:7 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 1 | No.:9 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %. 45% in the last year on an average in the CM committee meetings | 0 | %. 70% in the last year on an average in the CM Committee meetings | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 1 | 1 | No.: 0 (due to the reason that old committee had completed it's tenure, however, new committee taken responsibility very recently and it hasn't completed six months to arrange CM council meeting) | 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %. 79.24% (42 out of 53) in the last year on an average in the CM council meeting | 2 | %. Not applicable as meeting taken place | |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | CMC is substantially dependent on facilitation particularly by SF & FO o the project to arrange regular meetings | 0 | CMC is substantially dependent on facilitation particularly by SF & FO o the project to arrange regular meetings | 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions and that was done SF of the project | 0 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions and that was done SF of the project | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | registration is under process | 0 | registered with Dept. of Social Welfare in year 2006 | 2 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:first CM council and council had been selected in 2009 and they are now in operation so no change due yet = NA | | Date:12 February 2011 | 1 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | show hands among all the present; upazilla chairman proposed the names and present participants raised their hand to support. Treated as other (this was formation) | 0 | show hands among all members in the CM council meeting; present participants raised their hand to support | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, CMC has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan couldn't be followed due to unavailability of funds and limited project support | 1 | Yes, CMC has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan couldn't be followed due to unavailability of funds and limited project support | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | well maintained | 2 | Not outstanding, however satisfactory to a extent | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not Applicable (maintaining the accounts started just couple days back and the committee is yet to have a CM council meeting to present the account) | | Date: 12 February 2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | CM Committee don't operate a revolving fund | 0 | CMC initiated a revolving fund for income generation of poor resource dependents particularly community patrol group members with the support from Aranyak Foundation recently | 2 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | CMO don't operate a welfare fund however | 0 | CMO operate a welfare fund to support community patrol group members when they are attacked by the wildlife or illicit fellers during duty | 2 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not applicable (maintaining the accounts started just couple days back and that yet to have the audit time line) | | Date: no record found, however, got information that audit taken place more than 12 months ago | 1 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | CM committee claimed that FD provided its support in the all the occasions whenever they requested | 2 | CM committee claimed that FD provided its support in many occasions, however, not always | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | None | 0 | all the time supports got when requested | 2 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | Not Applicable (CMC hadn't approached to UP never so far) | | after UP's intervention issues resolved | 2 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None with outside organisations (formalized by agreement with USAID for LDF fund to establish mushroom cultivation and promotion project for the forest dependent beneficiaries) | 0 | formalized by agreement with Aranyak Foundation and GIZ | 2 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | no support so far from govt. | 0 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Khadimnagar NP | | Rema-Kalenga Wildlife Sanctuary | |
| 2 | CMO name | Khadimnagar NP CMC | | Rema-Kalenga WS CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16/05/2011 | | 17/05/2011 | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | N/A | | N/A | |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Samir Kumar Samaddar, SC, Sylhet; Arjun Chandra Das, SF, KNP | | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Palash Kumar Sarker, SF, RKWS | |
| | | Score % Overall | 56.3 | Score % Overall | 64.1 |
| | | Resource management | 92.9 | Resource management | 62.5 |
| | | Pro-poor | 64.3 | Pro-poor | 50.0 |
| | | Women's role | 60.0 | Women's role | 80.0 |
| | | Organisation | 22.2 | Organisation | 43.8 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 71.4 | Governance and Leadership | 75.0 |
| | | Finances | 33.3 | Finances | 62.5 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 50.0 | Government support for co-management | 75.0 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Satchari National Park | Lawachara National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Satchari NP CMC | Lawachara NP CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 17/05/2011 | 18/05/2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 25/07/2010 | 25/07/2010 | 10 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | no hunting, no fires and limits on collection of plants for use practiced last year, (so cutting trees is allowed!) | no hunting, replanting of native tree species, no fires and limits on collection of plants for use practiced last year | 2 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | forest diversity, density and degraded area recovered on approximately 30% areas of the park as compared to 2008, as the pressure is very much limited to this NP even well before CMCs activities | forest diversity, density and degraded area recovered on approximately 30% areas of the park as compared to 2008, as the pressure is very much limited to this NP even well before CMCs activities | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate numbers of rule breaking incidences taken place in the last year | Moderate numbers of rule breaking incidences taken place in the last year | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | several actions had been taken earlier against rule breakers but there was not too many success | several actions had been taken earlier against rule breakers but there was not too many success | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 conflict in the last year | No.: 25 conflict in the last year seems odd to be same high no as another site | 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | no conflicts to resolve | there were no major conflicts last year, however, all the minor ones resolved | 1 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %. 47.16% (25 out of 53) | %. 36.92% (24 out of 65) | 8 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | No.: 0 | 1 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 1 (community meeting that held with the neighbouring communities regarding distribution of different supports offered from the project) | 3 (mostly community meetings that for the purpose of Aranyak Foundation funded program and beneficiary selection, AIGA beneficiaries selection, goat distribution to the poor forest dependants) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | There are tipra ethnic minority groups around the park and they have representation the CM council and committee and those members play vital role in decision making process and take park regularly in discssions | There are tipra, khasia and manipuri ethnic minority groups around the park and they have representation the CM council and committee and those members play vital role in decision making process and take park regularly in discssions | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | access of forest dependent people to forest resources worsened as CMC was trying to curb illicit felling and to a extent they became successful, collection of forest resources is not permitted from the park, however, however, they can collect some non-timber forest products for their household use and consumption unofficially | access of forest dependent people to forest resources worsened as CMC was trying to curb illicit felling and to a extent they became successful, collection of forest resources is not permitted from the sanctuary, however, however, they can collect some non-timber forest products for their household use and consumption unofficially | 0 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (CMC promoted Aranyak Foundation supported enterprises just been started in the Satchari NP, it is yet to assess) | Not Applicable (CMC promoted Aranyak Foundation supported enterprises just been started in the Lawachara NP, it is yet to assess) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same as some of NR collector received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood but those were not sufficient enough to improve their overall livelihood | Improved as some of NR collector already received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal. Seems unlikely given that access worsened, rated as same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | very few traditional users were been included in the process | very few traditional users were been included in the process | 1 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 9 & 16.98% (9 out of 53 members in the GB) | 15 & 23.07% (15 out of 65 members in the GB) | 5 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 4 & 18.18% (4 out of 22 members EC) | 5 & 17.24% (5 out of 29 members EC) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | women mebers regularly speak out in the meetings and take part in the decision making process as like as men members of the committee | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 9 occassions in the 9 CM committee meetings in the last year | 6 occassions in the 9 CM committee meetings in the last year | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Satchari National Park | | Lawachara National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Satchari NP CMC | | Lawachara NP CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 17/05/2011 | | 18/05/2011 | |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved as some of female members of the resource users family received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal | 2 | Improved as some of female members of the resource users family received some project supports in the form of trainings and kinds for alternative livelihood and doing their business efficiently, but definitely that is not a great deal | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes but not well maintained | 1 | Yes but not well maintained | 1 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:9 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 | No.:9 (out of 12 on a monthly basis) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %. 85% in the last year on an average in the CM Committee meetings | 2 | %. 70% in the last year on an average in the CM Committee meetings | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 2 (16 June 2010, 25 Dec 2010) | 2 | No.: 1 (18 April 2011) | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %. 85% | 2 | %. 90% | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | CMC is substantially dependent on facilitation particularly by SF & FO o the project to arrange regular meetings | 0 | CMC is substantially dependent on facilitation particularly by SF & FO o the project to arrange regular meetings | 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions and that was done SF of the project | 0 | All agenda items in last meeting found written up with solutions and that was done SF of the project | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | registered with Dept. of Social Welfare in year 2006 | 2 | registration with Dept. of Social Welfare is under process | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 8 | | 8 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:25 December 2010 | 2 | Date:18 April 2011 (more than 12 months late than gazetted/ expected timeline) - but happened within 12 months of the assessment | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | show hands among all members in the CM council meeting; present participants raised their hand to support | 1 | show hands among all members in the CM council meeting; present participants raised their hand to support | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 | Leader listen to all members and nobody try to dominate in decision making | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 | They don't dominate but give useful advices as necessary | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 | Plan developed with substantial involvement and/or changes by resource users/local community (including entirely by RMO) | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 | In the last year, Office bearers tried to perform their duties efficiently however, not succeed all the time | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 | Office bearers performance evaluated informally through discussions in the GB meetings by general members | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, CMC has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan couldn't be followed due to unavailability of funds and limited project support | 1 | Yes, CMC has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year and that plan couldn't be followed due to unavailability of funds and limited project support | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | Well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 15 February 2011 | 2 | Date: 12 April 2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 | They don't have enough funds however, no debts as well | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No savings scheme | 0 | No savings scheme | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | CMC initiated a revolving fund for income generation of poor resource dependents particularly community patrol group members with the support from Aranyak Foundation recently | 2 | CMC initiated a revolving fund for income generation of poor resource dependents particularly community patrol group members with the support from Aranyak Foundation recently | 2 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | CMO operate a welfare fund to support community patrol group members when they are attacked by the wildlife or illicit fellers during duty | 2 | CMO operate a welfare fund to support community patrol group members when they are attacked by the wildlife or illicit fellers during duty | 2 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: 20 August 2010 | 2 | Date: 09/04/2011 by AB Saha & Co. (a third party audit) | 2 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | CM committee claimed that FD provided its support in many occasions, however, not always | 1 | CM committee claimed that FD provided its support in many occasions, however, not always | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance but not in all cases | 1 | Their effort helped to reduce conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | all the time supports got when requested | 2 | CMC hadn't received supports from UP on regular basis whenever they had asked in the last year | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | after UP's intervention issues resolved | 2 | after UP's intervention issues resolved | 2 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | CMO members has to raise their need to receive their support, however, they are not proactively raise the issue | 1 | actively invited CMC representatives to raise their issues along with possible solutions and taken or suggested necessary measures. | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | formalized by agreement with Aranyak Foundation and GIZ | 2 | formalized by agreement with Aranyak Foundation | 2 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | Renu Begum w/o: Bingraj Miah, Sarekona village receiving rice of 30kg/month for 1 year worth Tk.10800/- from the upazilla through CMC as her husband expired and he was forest dependent | 2 | no support so far from govt. | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Satchari National Park | Lawachara National Park |
| 2 | CMO name | Satchari NP CMC | Lawachara NP CMC |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 17/05/2011 | 18/05/2011 |
| Other | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | Comments - acceptance of the CMC, it's activities and it's leaders to the wider community is positive; role of member secretary is vital and he has to play much better role in the upcoming days to make the CMC's effort a success and sustainable in the long run | CMC is acting as a bridge between FD and community. Therefore, wider community has trust on it and positive relation with the institution. Necessary supports has to be provided at optimum level to create AIG and improvement of livelihoods of forest dependent poor. Bigger role has been expected from local administration's particularly district and police administration (e.g. DC & SP). FD should provide much more positive support towards co-management |
| | Assessment made by: | Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Abdullah Al Mamun, SF, SNP | Malay Kumar Sarker, CD, Sylhet; Mostofa Omar Sharif, PMARA; Kazi Nazrul Islam, SF, LNP |
| | | Score % Overall | Score % Overall |
| | | 70.4 | 65.3 |
| | | Resource management | Resource management |
| | | 71.4 | 57.1 |
| | | Pro-poor | Pro-poor |
| | | 42.9 | 50.0 |
| | | Women's role | Women's role |
| | | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| | | Organisation | Organisation |
| | | 61.1 | 38.9 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | Governance and Leadership |
| | | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| | | Finances | Finances |
| | | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| | | Government support for co-management | Government support for co-management |
| | | 81.3 | 75.0 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----|--|--|-------|--|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Kongsha- Malijhee | |
| 2 | CMO name | Dholi Baila Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Bailsa Beel Jolabhumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 23-05-2011 | | 23/05/2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | July, 2010 | 2 | 15/07/2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | 2. No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | 5. Fish Sanctuary,closed season, Ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears, exavation of silted up waterbody(partially) | 2 | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) Increased by 25% | 2 | % change (compared with 2008) Same | 1 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate | 1 | Moderate | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | 2 | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: none | 2 | No.: none | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: none | 2 | No.: None | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | 0 | none to resolve | 0 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | %; 82 | 2 | %; 92 | 2 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 86 | 2 | No.: 5 | 2 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | not applicable | | Not applicable. Ethnic minority in the Upazila but not in the RMO area and use the resource very seldom. | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None | 2 | None | 2 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 24 & 30% | 1 | 21 & 28% | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 4 & 21% | 1 | 4 & 24% | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out | 2 | Regularly speak out | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 2 Special sharing meeting with women regarding NRM issue | 2 | 2 but only normal meeting | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 8 | 2 | No.: 7 | 1 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %; 75 | 2 | %; 71 | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.: 3 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %; 70 | 1 | %; 60 | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:July, 2010 | 2 | Date: 15-07-2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Registered on 20-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 | Registered on 20-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: 19-02-2010 | 2 | Date: 07-04-2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands | 1 | Secret ballot | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Kongsha- Malijhee | |
| 2 | CMO name | Dholi Baila Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Bailsa Beel Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 23-05-2011 | | 23/05/2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | plan developed by RMO | 2 | plan developed by RMO | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Always | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informal | 1 | Informal | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes and plan followed | 2 | Yes and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | Well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 14-04-2011 | 2 | Date: 20-12-2010 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: 2 years ago | 1 | Date: Dec 09 by my info; IPAC staff report "12 months ago" | 1 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to prevent fishing in spawning season,, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO & DC | 2 | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to prevent fishing in spawning season,, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO & DC | 2 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | UP helped once to prevent illegal fishing | 1 | No specific example | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | not applicable | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | Exit but informal - BRAC,ASHA, BELA. BRAC helps to develop linkage for marketing sewing products of women members | 1 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | Fish Seed amounting BDT 40000.00 | 2 | none | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | Tk. 10000.00 received from BELA for procurement of duck | | | |
| | Discussion with | President, Vice- president, Secretary, Treasurer, 11 RMO members | | President, Vice- president, Secretary, Treasurer, 2 RMO members | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA,Md Nuruzzaman SF | | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA Md. Nuruzzaman,SF | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Score % Overall | 83.1 | Score % Overall | 74.5 |
| | | Resource management | 75.0 | Resource management | 70.0 |
| | | Pro-poor | 100.0 | Pro-poor | 100.0 |
| | | Women's role | 80.0 | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | | Organisation | 94.4 | Organisation | 83.3 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 87.5 | Governance and Leadership | 93.8 |
| | | Finances | 57.1 | Finances | 57.1 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 87.5 | Government support for co-management | 57.1 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----|--|--|-------|---|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Kongsha- Malijhee | |
| 2 | CMO name | Takimari Darabasia Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Keuta Beel Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 23/05/2011 | | 24/05/2011 | |
| | | | | | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 08/09/2010 | 2 | 14-07-2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) 50 | 2 | % change (compared with 2008) Fish catch is increased at least by 50%. .. | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate | 1 | none | 2 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | 2 | presume not applicable as no incidents! | |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: none | 2 | No.: none | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: None | 2 | No.: None | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | 0 | none to resolve | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | 89 | 2 | 60 | 2 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 5 | 2 | No.:5 | 2 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 2 | 2 | none | 0 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not applicable. Ethnic minority in the Upazila but not in the RMO area and use the resource very seldom. | | Not applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None | 2 | None | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 27 & 31% | 2 | 13 & 18% | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 5 & 24% | 1 | 3 & 20% | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out | 2 | Regularly speak out | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 2 but only normal meeting | 0 | 2 but only normal meeting | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 7 | 1 | No.: 5 | 1 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | 87 | 2 | 73 | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.:4 | 2 | No.:3 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | 54 | 1 | 55 | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 09-08-2010 | 2 | Date: 14-07-2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Registered on 11-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 | Registered on 08-01-2001 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: 26-04-2010 | 2 | Date: 24-02-2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Secret ballot | 2 | Secret ballot | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Kongsha- Malijhee | |
| 2 | CMO name | Takimari Darabasia Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Keuta Beel Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 23/05/2011 | | 24/05/2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | plan developed by RMO | 2 | plan developed by RMO | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Always | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informal | 1 | Informal | 1 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes and plan followed | 2 | Yes and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | Well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 03-03-2011 | 2 | Date: 05-03-2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: "12 months ago" | 1 | Date: 24 month ago | 1 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to prevent fishing in spawning season,, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO & DC | 2 | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to prevent fishing in spawning season,, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO & DC | 2 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | No specific example | 0 | No specific example | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | not applicable | | not applicable | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | Fish Seed amounting BDT 35,000.00 | 2 | none | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | | |
| | Discussion with | President, Assitt. Secretary, Women secretary, 7 RMO members | | President, Vice- president, Secretary, Woman Secretary, 5 RMO members | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA, Md. Nuruzzaman, SF | | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA, Md Nuruzzaman SF | |
| | | Score % Overall | 79.5 | Score % Overall | 74.0 |
| | | Resource management | 75.0 | Resource management | 77.8 |
| | | Pro-poor | 100.0 | Pro-poor | 83.3 |
| | | Women's role | 70.0 | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | | Organisation | 88.9 | Organisation | 88.9 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 93.8 | Governance and Leadership | 93.8 |
| | | Finances | 57.1 | Finances | 57.1 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 71.4 | Government support for co-management | 57.1 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----|--|---|-------|---|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Turag- Bongshi | |
| 2 | CMO name | Aura Baura Beel Jolabumi Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Turag Nadi Sampad Byebastapona Kalyan Sanghaton | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 24/05/2011 | | 24-05 -2011 | |
| | | | | It is not possible to visit 2 sites in Sherpur and 2 in Kaliakoir in the same day to do quality assessments | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 14-08-2010 | 2 | 25- 09-2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | 2. Fish Sanctuary, ban on dewatering, | 1 | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Being a new RMO managed area not much significant changes in habitat | 0 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) The community performed some activities aiming conservation of fish before official establishment of RMO. It has a positive impact and as a consequence catch increased at least 25%. | 2 | This case is a bit mix- up situation as respondents assumed that fish catch is increased.I'm informed that there is a unique breeding territory of typical and endangered fish sp. Chitala (Notopterous chitala).However,considering pollution problems,now it is marked as no change in fish catch | 1 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate. Problems like illegal fishing, use of harmful gears | 1 | none | 2 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | 2 | Not applicable | |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 2. Conflict within communities; with a particular group and lodged 2 cases in the court. One is resolved and other one is running | 0 | No.:None | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: None | 2 | No.:None | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | 0 | none to resolve | 0 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | %; 85 | 2 | %; 60 (IPAC staff; considerably lower by my information) | 2 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 2 | 2 | No.: 3 out of 8, as Organising Secretary, Publication Secretary and Office Secretary | 2 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not applicable | | Not applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | increased | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None | 2 | None | 2 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 24 & 25% | 1 | 29 and 29% | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 8 & 33% | 2 | 3 and 16% | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out | 2 | Regularly speak out in meetings | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 2 but only normal meeting | 0 | 1 but only normal meeting | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | same - new RMO (although FRUG has helped in past) | 1 | improved | 2 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 6 | 1 | No.:10 | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %; 67 | 1 | %; 83 | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 4 | 2 | No.:1 | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %; 64 | 1 | %; 75 | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 14-08-2010 | 2 | Date: 27-10-2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | Registered on 04-06-2002 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | no | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | RMO was launched in Dec 09 and committee is newly formed on 08.02.2010,so N/A | | Date:28-02-2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Secret ballot | 2 | Show of hands | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kongsha- Malijhee | | Turag- Bongshi | |
| 2 | CMO name | Aura Baura Beel Jolabhumii Sampad Bebestapona Songhotan | | Turag Nadi Sampad Byebastapona Kalyan Sanghaton | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 24/05/2011 | | 24-05 -2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | not known | | plan developed by RMO | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Always | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | As new RMO no experiences of this | 0 | Informal | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes but not followed | 1 | Yes and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | Well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 27-02-2011 | 2 | Date:27- 10 -2010 | 1 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | no | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Never | 0 | Date:28-10-2008 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped to prevent use of harmful gears, helped to prevent fishing in spawning season,, arranged miking for awareness to protect brood fish and fingerlings in cooperation with UNO & DC | 2 | Whenever requested / required. DoF helped time to time in imposition fishing rules and regulatios | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | No specific example | 0 | No specific example | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | not applicable | | not applicable | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | Formalised by agreement signed with GIZ regarding ICS establishment | 2 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | Fish Seed amounting BDT 25,000.00 | 2 | No such support | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | Pollution is a huge problem here. RMO members demonstrate rally, mass getharing, human- chain to make awareness and protect pollution | |
| | Discussion with | Vice-president,Publication Secretary, 3 RMO members including 1 woman members | | President ,Secretary, 1 RMO member | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA,Md. Nuruzzaman SF | | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA,Md. Khairul,SF | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Score % Overall | 69.3 | Score % Overall | 75.3 |
| | | Resource management | 55.0 | Resource management | 72.2 |
| | | Pro-poor | 100.0 | Pro-poor | 100.0 |
| | | Women's role | 60.0 | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | | Organisation | 72.2 | Organisation | 94.4 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 83.3 | Governance and Leadership | 87.5 |
| | | Finances | 42.9 | Finances | 50.0 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 71.4 | Government support for co-management | 64.3 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Turag- Bongshi | Turag- Bongshi | |
| 2 | CMO name | Alua Beel Sampad Byebostapona Kalyan Sanghaton | MokoshKaliadaha Beel SampadBebostapona Kalyan Sangtha | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 24-05- 2011 | 25-05 -2011 | |
| Resource management | | | | |
| | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 31-07-2010 | 23-10- 2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | 5 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears, fees for fishing | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) There is no pollution problem in this waterbody. RMO members are positive regarding increase of fish catch in their managed waterbody at least 50% | % change (compared with 2008) Experiences of concern RMO members population of some fish species increased and some are decreased. However, considering the pollution situation overall no change in fish catch in this period | 1 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate | Moderate | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.:None | No.:none | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.:None | No.:none | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | none to resolve | 0 |
| Pro-poor | | | | |
| | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | 60 | 60 | 2 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.:4 | No.:2 | 2 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not applicable | Not applicable | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | Improved | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None | None | 2 |
| Women's role | | | | |
| | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 30 and 22% | 42 and 25% | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 5 and 26% | 5 and 26% | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings | Regularly speak out in meetings | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 but only normal meeting | none | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | improved | improved | 2 |
| Organisation | | | | |
| | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | Yes and well maintained | 2 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 10 | No.: 8 | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | 78 | 72 | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.:2 | No.:1 | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | 73 | 51 | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 26-02- 2011 | Date:23-10-2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Registered on 04-06-2004 with Social welfare Dept. | Registered on 05-03-2002 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 |
| Governance and Leadership | | | | |
| | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | no | no | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date:22-03- 2010 | Date:22-03-2009 | 1 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands | Secret ballot of al members | 2 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Turag- Bongshi | | Turag- Bongshi | |
| 2 | CMO name | Alua Beel Sampad Byebostapona Kalyan Sanghaton | | MokoshKaliadaha Beel SampadBebostapona Kalyan Sangtha | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 24-05- 2011 | | 25-05 -2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | plan developed by RMO | 2 | plan developed by RMO | 2 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Always | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Recognised system - Report Card System | 2 | Informal system | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes and plan followed | 2 | Yes and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | Well maintained | 2 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date:26-02-2011 | 2 | Date:14-03-2011 | 2 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | no | 0 | no | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | no | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date:May, 2010 | 2 | Date:28-10-2008 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested. Fish poaching was a problem here. DoF helped to prevent fish poaching in cooperation with Police Department. | 1 | Some of times when requested DoF helped time to time in imposition fishing rules and regulatios | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | No specific example | 0 | No specific example | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | not applicable | | not applicable | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | Formalised by agreement signed with GIZ regarding ICS establishment | 2 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None (received support Tk. 5000 for fish sanctuary maintenance - from RIU project through BELA | 0 | none | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | Provided training to local people on IPM, introduction of Faroman Tape especially in vegetable field | | | |
| | Discussion with | President ,Secretary, Cashier,1 RMO member | | Secretary, 2 RMO members | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA, Md Khairul SF | | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA, Md. Khairul SF | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Score % Overall | 76.8 | Score % Overall | 74.6 |
| | | Resource management | 75.0 | Resource management | 70.0 |
| | | Pro-poor | 100.0 | Pro-poor | 100.0 |
| | | Women's role | 60.0 | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | | Organisation | 94.4 | Organisation | 83.3 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 93.8 | Governance and Leadership | 87.5 |
| | | Finances | 64.3 | Finances | 57.1 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 50.0 | Government support for co-management | 64.3 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----|--|---|-------|--|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Turag- Bongshi | | Madhupur National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Gualia Nadi Sampad Bebestapona Kalyan Sangtha | | Dokhola Range CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 25-05-2011 | | 30- 05- 2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 11/08/2010 | 2 | Development under process | 0 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, restriction on bird hunting | 1 | None | 0 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | 4 Fish Sanctuary,closed season, ban on dewatering,ban on harmful gears | 2 | not applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Habitat/Vegetation is improved but it is not significant compare to total management area | 1 | Since CMC is new, there is no significant change as yet , | 0 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) 50 | 2 | % change (compared with 2008) not applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate (3) | 1 | some | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | 2 | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.:none | 2 | No.: None | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.:none | 2 | No.: 1 - Confusion arose on the issue of establishment of Eco-Park. Some local people including a few local NGOs confused about IPAC activities. Now the situation is changing | 1 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | none to resolve | 0 | some | 1 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | 36% | 0 | 50% | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.:none | 0 | No.: 1 | 1 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 1 | 1 | None | 0 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Yes, play active role. There are a few families of ethnic minorities using the waterbody | 2 | Yes, play active role | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Not Applicable (covered by FRUGs) | | break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 | same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | None | 2 | none | 2 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 24 and 29% | 1 | 24% (15 out of 63) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 6 and 32% | 2 | 22% (6 out of 27) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings | 2 | Regularly speak out | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 2 but only normal meeting | 0 | 1 but only normal meeting | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | improved | 2 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes and well maintained | 2 | Yes, not well maintained FD has provided a house for CMC Office which has been documented in a meeting minutes.Further hand- over documentation is yet to be accomplished.The house is needed a some repairing | 1 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.:7 | 1 | No.: none | 0 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | 67% | 1 | no meetings | |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.:1 | 1 | No.: none | 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | 52% | 1 | no meetings | |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date:24-02-2010 | 2 | Date: | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Managed entirely by CMO | 2 | Never met (above) but staff report "Meeting was organised by CMO and even serving notice to members by setting agenda though IPAC officials facilitate whole process" | 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | All agenda items in last meeting written up with solution | 2 | No meetings reported (above), but staff report "Agenda written-up and discussed accordingly through facilitation of IPAC officials" | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Registered on 15-01-2008 with Social welfare Dept. | 2 | New CMC | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | no | 2 | No, no encroachment event in last 1 year | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: Aug, 2008 | 0 | Date: New CMC committee; CMC 1st formed on 27.12.10, Not applicable | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Secret ballot of al members | 2 | Show of hands | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Turag- Bongshi | | Madhupur National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Gualia Nadi Sampad Bebestapona Kalyan Sangtha | | Dokhola Range CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 25-05-2011 | | 30- 05- 2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | do not dominate but give useful suggestions | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | plan developed by RMO | 2 | no plan yet | |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Some lapses | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informal system | 1 | NO | 0 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes and plan followed | 2 | none | 0 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Well maintained | 2 | none | 0 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: 28-10-2010 | 1 | Date: none | 0 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | none | 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | no | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | RMO doesn't operate a revolving fund - NA as covered by FRUG in RMO areas | | no | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | no | 0 | no | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: 28-10-2008 | 1 | Date: none | 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | None | 0 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | not applicable | | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Whenever requested / required UP helped to prevent fish poaching | 2 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO Representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Listen to CMO if raise their voices | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No | 2 | None | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | Exist but informal - BELA, BRAC | 1 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | none | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | | |
| | Discussion with | Secretary, Cashier, 1 RMO members | | Vice- president, 2 CMO members | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ranjit Kumar Sarker PMARA, Md Khairul SF | | Ranjit Kumar Sarker, Subodh Biswas SC | |
| | | Score % Overall | 68.9 | Score % Overall | 39.1 |
| | | Resource management | 75.0 | Resource management | 43.8 |
| | | Pro-poor | 64.3 | Pro-poor | 62.5 |
| | | Women's role | 70.0 | Women's role | 50.0 |
| | | Organisation | 77.8 | Organisation | 7.1 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 81.3 | Governance and Leadership | 66.7 |
| | | Finances | 50.0 | Finances | 0.0 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 64.3 | Government support for co-management | 43.8 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score |
|----|--|--|-------|
| | Background data | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Madhupur National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Rasulpur National Park CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 27-04-2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | Development under process | 0 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | None | 0 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | not applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Since CMC is new, there is no significant change as yet , | 0 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008) not applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | some | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: DFO's commitment to the community is not kept. FD lodged case against some CMC members charging timber poaching from the forest. DFO gave commitment to withdraw this case. The issue is still hanging | 1 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 1 - Confusion arose on the issue of establishment of Eco-Park. Some local people including a few local NGOs confused about IPAC activities. Now the situation is changing | 1 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | some | 1 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own < 50 decimals cultivable land) | %; 52 | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: None | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Partly Consulted | 1 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Improved | 2 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Same/some | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 22% (14 out of 65) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 21% (6 out of 28) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Some times speak out | 1 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 1 but only normal meeting | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Yes, not well maintained FD has provided a house for CMC Office which has been documented in a meeting minutes.Further hand- over documentation is yet to be accomplished.The house is needed a some repairing | 1 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: none | 0 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | no meetings | |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: none | 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | no meetings | |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | New CMC not much experiences | 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | New CMC not much experiences | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | New CMC | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No, no encroachment event in last 1 year | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: New CMC committee; CMC 1st formed on 14.03.11. Nott applicable | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show hands but in absence of candidates in the election spot | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to some people | 1 |

| | | | |
|-----|--|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Madhupur National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Rasulpur National Park CMC | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 27-04-2011 | |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | do not dominate but give useful suggestions | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | no plan yet | |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | new CMC not much experiences | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | No | 0 |
| | | | |
| | Finances | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | none | 0 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | none | 0 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: none | 0 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | none | 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | no | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | no | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | no | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: none | 0 |
| | | | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | No examples | 0 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Never | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | not applicable | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Listen to CMO if raise their voices | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | FD lodged case against some CMC members charging timber poaching from the forest. DFO gave commitment to withdraw this case. The issue is still hanging | 1 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 |
| | | | |
| | Other | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | |
| | Discussion with | President, Vice- president, Cashier | |
| | Assessment made by: | Ruhul Mohaiman, Ranjit K. Sarker | |
| | | | |
| | | Score % Overall | 31.5 |
| | | Resource management | 37.5 |
| | | Pro-poor | 56.3 |
| | | Women's role | 40.0 |
| | | Organisation | 7.1 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 58.3 |
| | | Finances | 0.0 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 21.4 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|-----|--|--|-------|--|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay (Jaldi) | | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay | |
| 2 | CMO name | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay Co-management Committee (Jaldi) | | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay Co-management Committee | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 8th May 2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 5th December 2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 | 2nd December 2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, no hunting, limits on collection of plants for use | 1 | Replanting native trees, limits on collection of plants for use | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate / some | 1 | Moderate / same | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 02 (Forest thief steal trees from east part of forest to Borohatia area) | 0 | No.: 01 (Forest thief steal trees from east part of forest to Borohatia area) | 1 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | None resolved | 0 | All | 2 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %: 50% (30 out of 60) | 1 | %: 41% (26 out of 64) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 3 (AIGA selection, Capacity building, etc.) | 2 | 4 (AIGA selection, Capacity building, etc.) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Not Applicable (no ethnic minorities is in here) | | Not Applicable (no ethnic minorities is in here) | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Ok/break even | 1 | Ok/break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few | 1 | Very few | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 22% (13 out of 60) | 1 | No. and %: 23% (15 out of 64) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 15% (4 out of 27) | 1 | No. and %: 21% (6 out of 28) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 2 (Not seperately but asking in the meeting) | 0 | 3 times (Not seperately but asking in the meeting) | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Rental Office | 0 | Rental Office | 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 8 out of 10 | 2 | No.: 9 out of 10 | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | 59% (127 out 216) | 1 | %: 63% (159 out of 252) | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 2 | 2 | No.: 1 time on 31.07.2010 | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 80% (48 out of 60) | 2 | %: 89% (57 out of 64) | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 11th July 2010 | 2 | Date: 31th July 2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 8; CPG orientation 5, Upazilla Orientation 1, Annual council 1 and Co-management Day 1) | 1 | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 8; CPG orientation 5, Upazilla Orientation 1, Annual council 1 and Co-management Day 1) | 1 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: 1st August 2010 | 2 | Date: 31st July 2010 | 2 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | explanation missing | 1 | explanation missing | 1 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Some laps in duties | 1 | Some laps in duties | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay (Jaldi) | | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay | |
| 2 | CMO name | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay Co-management Committee (Jaldi) | | Chunati Wildlife Sancturay Co-management Committee | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 8th May 2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Satisfactory | 1 | Satisfactory | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not placed in last Council Meeting | 0 | Date: 31st July 2010 | 1 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt. | 1 | In debt | 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested | 1 | Whenever requested/ required (e.g. (Came at Nature Interpretation Center to discuss about security issues) | 2 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | Details no.: 01 (Captured illegal timber by CMO and solved by UNO as per government procedures) Not clear if this was a conflict with CMO decision or support of it | 1 | none | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | | |
| | Assessment made by: | 1. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, 2. Mr. Ahmed Kabir - IPAC's Field Organizer, 3. Mr. Nurul Alam - CMC's Member, 4. Md. Ataur Rahman - IPAC's Site Facilitator, 5. Mr. Salimullah CMC's AAA and 6.Mr. Abu Taher -CMC's Member. | | 1. Dr. Ibrahim, Member, CMC; 2. Md. Abul Basher, Member, CMC; 3. Mrs. Rehana Akter, Member, CMC; 4. Md. Nasir Uddin, AAO, CMC; 5. Mr. Nikhilesh Chakma, Site Coordinator, IPAC-CODEC; and 6. Mr. Ataur Rahman, Site Facilitator, IPAC-CODEC. | |
| | Score % Overall | | 50.0 | Score % Overall | 54.6 |
| | Resource management | | 50.0 | Resource management | 75.0 |
| | Pro-poor | | 57.1 | Pro-poor | 57.1 |
| | Women's role | | 50.0 | Women's role | 50.0 |
| | Organisation | | 55.6 | Organisation | 50.0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 75.0 | Governance and Leadership | 75.0 |
| | Finances | | 18.8 | Finances | 18.8 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 43.8 | Government support for co-management | 56.3 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary | | Medakachappia National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Fashiakhali Co-Management Committee, Fashiakhali, Chokoria | | Medakachappia Co-Management Committee, Khutakhali, Chokoria, Cox's Bazar | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 12th May 2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 28th November 2010 (Approval date) | 2 | 28.11.2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No hunting, No fires, Limits on collection of plants for use | 1 | No cutting of trees, No hunting, Limits on collection of plants for use | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | Not Applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | % change (compared with 2008); Not Applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate / same | 1 | Moderate / same | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | Action taken but not resolved | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | Not Applicable | | Not Applicable | |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %: 46% (30 out of 65) | 1 | %: 57 % (34 out of 60) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 1 | 1 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 6 (AIGA selection & implementation, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 | 10 (AIGA selection & distribution, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Partly consulted, or members but no real say (There is a "Marma" tribal community lives in a corner of the forest.) | 1 | Ethnic minorities not present | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Same | 1 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Ok/break even | 1 | Ok/break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few | 1 | Very few | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | No. and %: 22% (14 out of 65) | 1 | No. and %: 23% (14 out of 60) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | No. and %: 22% (6 out of 27) | 1 | No. and %: 19 % (5 out of 27) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 | Regularly speak out in the meetings | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | No.: 4 times (Not separately but asking in the meeting) | 0 | 4 (Consulted not separately but asking in the meeting; specially AIG distribution purposes) on AIGAs was this specific discussion with potential women in and outside CMC? | 1 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | No, but under construction in FD area beside Range Office | 0 | Yes, but not well maintained | 1 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 12 out of 12 | 2 | No.: 12 out of 12 | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 64% (208 out of 324) | 1 | %: 75 % (242 out 324) | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: Not Applicable (First time formed on 23rd December 2009) - existed for over 1 year so is applicable | 0 | %: Not Applicable | |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Not Applicable (First time formed on 23rd December 2009) | 0 | Not Applicable if 1st formed 17 Nov 09 this is applicable | 0 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 5; CPG orientation 2, Day observe1, Upazilla Orientation 1, and Art Competition 1) | 1 | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 4; CPG Orientation 1, Upazilla Orientation 1, Day Observation 2) | 1 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 | Minutes and records not up to date or filled by NGO staff | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Not Applicable (First time formed on 23rd December 2009) OK - assuming a 2 year term | | Date: Not applicable (Hence the CMC formed first time on 17th November 2009) assuming a 2 year term | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | explanation missing | 1 | explanation missing | 1 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Always | 2 | Some lapses in duties | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Fashiakhali Wildlife Sanctuary | | Medakachappia National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Fashiakhali Co-Management Committee, Fashiakhali, Chokoria | | Medakachappia Co-Management Committee, Khutakhali, Chokoria, Cox's Bazar | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 12th May 2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 | Yes, and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Satisfactory (started from 2 months ago) | 1 | Satisfactory | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not presented in last Council Meeting | 0 | Date: Not applicable (Hence the CMC formed first time on 17th November 2009) - applicable - had 16 months to do this | 0 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt. | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not happened till date. | 0 | Date: None | 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested. Details no.: > 5 times came not for contravention resolutions but played an active role on capacity building training on several AIG. | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | none | 2 | Details no.: Some times comes to recover Government Land | 1 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | | |
| | Assessment made by: | 1. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 2. Mr. Sujit Kumar Das, Site Facilitator, IPAC-CODEC, 3. Mr. Abu Bakkar Siddique, Vice President, CMC; 4. Mr. Sahanaz Talukder, Treasurer, CMC; 5. Md. Jafar Alam, Member, CMC; 6. Md. Sahabuddin, Field Organizer, IPAC-CODEC; and 7. Mrs. Hamida Begum, Member, CMC. | | 1. Mr. Joynal Abedin, Treasurer, CMC; 2. Mr. Muktul Hossain, Member, CMC; 3. Mr. Abul Kashem, Member, CMC; 4. Mrs. Rahima Begum, Member, CMC; 5. Mr. Sujit Das, Site Facilitator, IPAC-CODEC; and 6. Mr. Shah Aziz, FO, IPAC-CODEC. | |
| | Score % Overall | | 49.6 | Score % Overall | 52.3 |
| | Resource management | | 71.4 | Resource management | 71.4 |
| | Pro-poor | | 56.3 | Pro-poor | 57.1 |
| | Women's role | | 50.0 | Women's role | 60.0 |
| | Organisation | | 22.2 | Organisation | 37.5 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 78.6 | Governance and Leadership | 71.4 |
| | Finances | | 18.8 | Finances | 25.0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 50.0 | Government support for co-management | 43.8 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | |
| 2 | CMO name | Shikhali Nishorgo Bikash Kendro | | Whykong Nishorgo Development Organization | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16th May 2011 | | 15th May 2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 09.09.2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 | 09.09.2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No hunting, Replanting native trees, No fires, Limits on collection of plants for use | 2 | No hunting, Replanting native trees, No fires, Limits on collection of plants for use | 2 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth in over 50% of management area | 2 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area Is this fair given the comment? | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | High/serious in case of encroachment | 0 | Moderate / same | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | Resolved problem | 2 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 08 (Encroachment) | 0 | No.: 01 (A forest thief steal 3 big trees from Whykong Beat & Rainkong Beat area) | 1 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | 46% (30 out of 63) | 1 | 41% (26 out of 64) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 6 (AIGA selection, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 | 5 (AIGA selection, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Improved | 2 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Ok/break even | 1 | Ok/break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few | 1 | Very few | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | No. and %: 19% (12 out of 63) | 1 | No. and %: 13% (8 out of 64) | 0 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | No. and %: 22% (6 out of 27) | 1 | No. and %: 18% (5 out of 28) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | No.: 3 times (Not separately but asking in the meeting) | 0 | No.: 2 times (Not separately but asking in the meeting) | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | No (FD's building but repaired by IPAC) | 0 | No, Rental Office | 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 6 out of 10 | 1 | No.: 4 out of 10 | 1 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | 43% (117 out of 270) | 0 | 32% (89 out of 280) | 0 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: times | 2 | No.: 1 time | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | 61% (38 out of 61) | 1 | 78% (51 out of 64) | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 18.08.2010 | 2 | Date: 2nd December 2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 6; CPG orientation 3, Day observe2, and Art Competition 1) | 1 | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 6; CPG orientation 3, Day observe2, and Art Competition 1) | 1 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: 18.08.2010 | 2 | Date: 2nd December 2010 | 1 |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | explanation missing | 1 | explanation missing | 1 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Some laps in duties | 1 | Some laps in duties | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | |
| 2 | CMO name | Shikhali Nishorgo Bikash Kendro | | Whykong Nishorgo Development Organization | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16th May 2011 | | 15th May 2011 | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Satisfactory | 1 | Satisfactory | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not presented in last Council Meeting | 0 | Date: Not presented in last Council Meeting | 0 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | Details no.: 0 | 2 | Details no.: 0 | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | During last few years, Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary area is engulfing by refugees i.e. Ruhinga (came from Myanmar). They are using the forest for their livelihood purposes in several ways. Some local people are supporting them for their own interests. That's why, forest resources are in high risk. | |
| | Assessment made by: | 1. Mr. Mamtaz Ahmed Chowdhury, Vice Chairman, CMC; 2. Md. Tariqul Islam, Range Office, Member Secretary, CMC; 3. Mr. Prantosh Chandra Roy, Site Coordinator, IPAC-CODEC; 4. Nazrul Islam Chowdhury, FO, IPAC-CODEC, and 5. Mamtaz Begum, FO, IPAC-CODEC. | | 1. Md. Alamgir, Member, CMC; 2. Md. Anwar Hossain, Beat Officer; 3. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath, PMAR Associate; 4. Md. Golam Mostafa, ESF-IPAC; 5. Mr. Prantosh Chandra Roy, Site Coordinator, IPAC-CODEC; and 6. Nazrul Islam, FO, IPAC-CODEC. | |
| | Score % Overall | 50.2 | Score % Overall | 49.7 | |
| | Resource management | 56.3 | Resource management | 68.8 | |
| | Pro-poor | 62.5 | Pro-poor | 62.5 | |
| | Women's role | 50.0 | Women's role | 40.0 | |
| | Organisation | 38.9 | Organisation | 38.9 | |
| | Governance and Leadership | 75.0 | Governance and Leadership | 68.8 | |
| | Finances | 18.8 | Finances | 18.8 | |
| | Government support for co-management | 50.0 | Government support for co-management | 50.0 | |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|-----|--|---|-------|--|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | | Kaptai National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Teknaf Nishorgo Unnayan Sangtha (Development Organization), Teknaf, Cox's Bazar | | Karnafully Co-management Committee, Kaptai, Rangamati | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 19th May 2011 | | 14.05.2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 13.04.2011 (Approval date) | 2 | 08.03.2011 (Approval date) | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No hunting, Replanting native trees, No fires, Limits on collection of plants for use (tree felling is allowed?) | 2 | No hunting, no fires, limits on collection of plants for use | 1 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | Closed season, Fees for fishing | 1 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area Is this fair given the comment at end? | 1 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008); NA (Not applicable for this CMC's commanding area) | | % change (compared with 2008); Same | 1 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate / same | 1 | Moderate / same | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | Action taken but not resolved | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 02 times, atleast (Forest thief came from Baharchar, Shikhal to steal the big trees) | 0 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | None | 0 | Same | 1 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own ≤ 50 decimals cultivable land) | %. 42 % (27 out of 64) | 1 | %. 30% (18 out of 63) | 0 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | No.: 7 (AIGA selection, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 | 5 (AIGA selection, Women capacity building, etc.) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Improved | 2 | Same | 1 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Ok/break even | 1 | Ok/break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few | 1 | Very few | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | No. and %: 22 % (14 out of 64) | 1 | No. and %: 19% (12 out of 60) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | No. and %: 18% (5 out of 28) | 1 | No. and %: 18.5% (5 out of 27) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | No.: 3 times (Not separately but asking in the meeting) | 0 | 5 (Consulted not separately but asking in the meeting) | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | No (but present office in FD building) | 0 | No | 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 6 out of 10 | 1 | No.: 12 out of 12 | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %. 38 % (93 out of 244) | 0 | %. 46% (152 out 342) | 1 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 1 time | 1 | No.: Formation only | 0 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %. 78% (51 out of 64) | 2 | %. 87% (55 out of 63) | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 25.10. 2010 | 2 | Date: 23 August 2010 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 10; CPG orientation 5, Day observe 3, and Art Competition 2) | 1 | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 6; CPG orientation 2, Upazilla Orientation 1, Annual council 1, National Independent Day 1 and Co-management Day 1) | 1 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | Minutes and records not up to date or filled in by NGO staff | 0 | Minutes and records not up to date or filled by NGO staff | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: 25.10. 2010 (Committee reformed about 2 years late) | 2 | Date: Not applicable assume formed <2 yrs ago | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | explanation missing | 1 | explanation missing | 1 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Some laps in duties | 1 | Some laps in duties | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary | | Kaptai National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Teknaf Nishorgo Unnayan Sangtha (Development Organization), Teknaf, Cox's Bazar | | Karnafully Co-management Committee, Kaptai, Rangamati | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 19th May 2011 | | 14.05.2011 | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Satisfactory | 1 | Satisfactory | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not presented in last Council Meeting | 0 | Date: Not done | 0 |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt | 1 | Not enough but no debt. | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | No significant change | 1 | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | Details no.: 0 | 2 | Details no.: None | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | During last few years, Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary area is engulfing by refugees i.e. Ruhinga (came from Myanmar). They are using the forest for their livelihood purposes in several ways. Some local people are supporting them for their own interests. That's why, forest resources are in high risk. | | | |
| | Assessment made by: | 1. Mrs. Khursida, Member, CMC; 2. Prantosh Chandra Roy, Site Coordinator, IPAC-CODEC; 3. Mr. Shafique Ahmed, Treasures, CMC; and 4. Mr. Biton Mutsuddi, Accounce Officer, IPAC-CODEC. | | 1. Mrs. Noor Begum, Vice Precident, 2. Mr. Chimbhu Sai Marma - Treasurer, CMC, 3. Mr. U Sing Mong Marma - CMC's Member, 4. Mrs. Julia Chowdhury - Coordinator IPAC-CODEC, 5. Mr. Mozammel Haque, Site Facilitator, IPAC-Kaptai | |
| | Score % Overall | 50.2 | Score % Overall | 50.8 | 50.8 |
| | Resource management | 56.3 | Resource management | 65.0 | 65.0 |
| | Pro-poor | 62.5 | Pro-poor | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| | Women's role | 50.0 | Women's role | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| | Organisation | 38.9 | Organisation | 44.4 | 44.4 |
| | Governance and Leadership | 75.0 | Governance and Leadership | 71.4 | 71.4 |
| | Finances | 18.8 | Finances | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| | Government support for co-management | 50.0 | Government support for co-management | 56.3 | 56.3 |

| | Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|-------|
| | Background data | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kaptai National Park | | Himchari National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Kaptai Co-Management Committee, Kaptai, Rangamati | | Himchari Nishorgo Songrakkon Shanghata | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 10.05.2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Resource management | | 10 | | 10 |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | 08.03.2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 1 | 28.10.2011 (Approval date) presume 2010 | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | No cutting of trees, No hunting, Limits on collection of plants for use | 1 | Limits on collection of plants for use | 0 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | Closed season, Fees for fishing gears | 1 | Not Applicable | |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 | Increase in growth in under 50% of management area | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008); Same | 1 | % change (compared with 2008); Not Applicable | |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | Moderate / same | 1 | Moderate / same | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken but not resolved | 1 | Action taken but not resolved | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: 0 | 2 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | No.: 0 | 2 | No.: Several land encroachment happened, Soil grapping by hill cutting which happening continuously, etc. | 0 |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | Same | 1 | Some - no details given | 1 |
| | Pro-poor | | 8 | | 8 |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land) | %: 30% (18 out of 63) | 0 | %: 45% (30 out of 66) | 1 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0 | 0 | No.: 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | 8 (AIGA selection, Women capacity building, etc.) | 2 | 9 (AIGA selection & distribution, CPG Orientation, etc.) | 2 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 | Yes, play active role in management decisions | 2 |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | Ok/break even | 1 | Ok/break even | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | Same | 1 | Same | 1 |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | Very few | 1 | Very few | 1 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | No. and %: 5% (8 out of 63) | 0 | No. and %: 23% (15 out of 66) | 1 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | No. and %: 1% (2 out of 27) | 0 | No. and %: 21% (6 out of 29) | 1 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | Regularly speak out in meetings. | 2 | Sometimes speak out in the meeting | 1 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | 4 (Consulted not seperately but asking in the meeting) | 0 | 10 (Consulted not seperately but asking in the meeting; specially AIG distribution purposes) | 1 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | Improved seems a hopeful assessment | 2 | Same | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 12 out of 12 | 2 | No.: 8 out of 8 (fromation from till date) | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 61% (199 out 324) | 1 | %: 83% (193 out 232) | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: Formation only | 0 | No.: 2 | 2 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 79% (50 out of 63) | 2 | %: 79% (104 out of 132) | 2 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: 23 August 2010 | 2 | Date: 30th March 2011 | 2 |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 10; CPG orientation 4, Upazilla Orientation 1, Annual council 1, National Independent Day 1 and Co-management Day 1) | 1 | Mostly by CMO but with support from NGO (total program 6; CPG Orientation 2, Upazilla Orientation 2, Day Observation 2) | 1 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | Minutes and records not up to date or filled by NGO staff | 0 | Minutes and records not up to date or filled by NGO staff | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outsider controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | No | 2 | No | 2 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: Not applicable assume formed <2 yrs ago | | Date: Not applicable (Hence the CMC formed on 7th July 2010) | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 | Show of hands among all members (GB/Council) | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Leaders listen to all members | 2 | Leaders listen to all members | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | Do not dominate but give useful advice | 2 | Tend to dominate or influence behind scenes | 1 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | explanation missing | 1 | explanation missing | 1 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Some laps in duties | 1 | Some laps in duties | 1 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 | Informally or only through vote/discussion in general meeting | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Kaptai National Park | | Himchari National Park | |
| 2 | CMO name | Kaptai Co-Management Committee, Kaptai, Rangamati | | Himchari Nishorgo Songrakkon Shanghata | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 10.05.2011 | | 16th May 2011 | |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | Yes, but plan not followed | 1 | Yes, and plan followed | 2 |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | Satisfactory | 1 | Satisfactory | 1 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not done | 0 | Date: Not applicable (Hence the CMC formed 7th July 2011) | |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | Not enough but no debt. | 1 | Not enough but no debt. | 1 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not happen till date. | 0 | Date: Not applicable (Hence the CMC formed 7th July 2011) | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Reduced conflict and improved compliance | 2 | No significant change | 1 |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | Some of times when requested | 1 | Some of times when requested | 1 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | No significant change | 1 | No significant change | 1 |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Actively invite poor CMO representatives to raise their issues and suggest solutions | 2 | Listen to CMO if raise their voices | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | Details no.: None | 2 | Details no.: Several times to recover Government Land | 1 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | | | This area has huge potentiality for tourism / ecotourism. So tendency of land encroachment are very prominent in here considering any other PA area of Bangladesh. Recent years including running year, several land encroachments happened here with soil grapping by hill cutting. | |
| | Assessment made by: | 1. Md. Abul Kalam, Precident, CMC; 2. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 3. Md. Mozammel Haque, Site Facilitator, IPAC, Kaptai Site; 4. Mr. Kazi Maksudur Rahman (Babul), Member, CMC; 5. Md. Shafiul Alam (Khokon), Member, CMC. | | 1. Mohammad-ur-Rahman Masud, Member, CMC; 2. Md. Salim Reza, Member, CMC; 3. Md. Hanif Khan, Site Coordinator, IPAC-CODEC; 4. Mr. Shital Kumar Nath - PMAR Associate, IPAC-WFC; 5. Md. Shawkat Osman, Site Facilitator, IPAC-CODEC;and 6. Mr. Shah Alam, FO, IPAC-CODEC. | |
| | Score % Overall | | 48.7 | Score % Overall | 50.4 |
| | Resource management | | 60.0 | Resource management | 50.0 |
| | Pro-poor | | 50.0 | Pro-poor | 56.3 |
| | Women's role | | 40.0 | Women's role | 50.0 |
| | Organisation | | 44.4 | Organisation | 61.1 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 71.4 | Governance and Leadership | 64.3 |
| | Finances | | 18.8 | Finances | 33.3 |
| | Government support for co-management | | 56.3 | Government support for co-management | 37.5 |

| Indicator | April 2011 assessment | score | April 2011 assessment | score | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Background data | | | | | |
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | | |
| 2 | CMO name | Chandpai | Sarankhola | | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16-May-11 | 18 May 2011, revised on 24 May 2011 | | |
| Resource management | | | | | |
| 4 | Date of last revision to Resource Management/Development Plan | CMC-ADP prepared in May 2010, FRMP in 1998 (by FD for 12 years), IRMP in January 2011 (by FD). Notable is that the CMC ADP that they were involved in implementation was not with their sole responsibility. The implementation role was shared with IPAC and the CMC did not have any financial management role. The ADP had no specific management plan and rule for the resource (Sundarbans) area and not any management rule. The FRMP was not the consideration for the CMC. The recently prepared IRMP, the CMC has been shared and consulted in the preparation. The IRMP is for 10 years and suppose to prepare an annual plan each year. So far, the annual plan for 2010-11 or 2011-12 has not been prepared. As understood from the last work planning workshop in Khulna (early May 2011), the CMC will not have any separate Management plan. They will be implementing IRMP with FD in one part and IPAC ADP in other part. | 2 | In May 2010. It was the first ADP of the CMC for the implementing period of June 2010-May 2011. In last year the CMC did not have any individual and separate Resource management Plan (RMP) for the Sundarbans. The CMC was involved in implementing IPAC-CMC ADP. Notable is the IPAC-CMC ADP, that the CMC was involved in implementation was not implemented with CMC's sole responsibility. The implementation role was shared with IPAC and the CMC. The CMC did not have any financial management role. The ADP had no specific management plan for the resource (Sundarbans) area and any management rules. As understood from the last work planning workshop in Khulna (early May 2011), the CMC will not have any separate Management plan. It will be implementing the IRMP prepared by the FD. The assessment has been made on the basis of IPAC-CMC implemented ADP and management aspects in the IRMP. | 2 |
| 5 | Natural resource conservation rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating last year (tick those being implemented) | There was no conservation rules in the CMC-IPAC ADP. The assessment has been made on the basis of IRMP. (1)No cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire (4) Limit on collection of plants for use.) | 2 | 4 #. Includes (1)No cutting of trees (2) No hunting (3) No fire (4) Limit on collection of plants for use. There was no conservation rules in the CMC-IPAC ADP. The assessment has been made on the basis of IRMP. | 2 |
| 6 | Fishing rules and actions in Management Plan and taken/operating in last year (tick those being implemented) (not applicable if no wetland within management area) | There was no conservation rules in the CMC-IPAC ADP. The assessment has been made on the basis of IRMP. (1). Fish sanctuary (2) Closed seasons (3) Ban on harmful gear (4) Fees for fishing (5) Limit on BLC -boat licence registration,(6) Limit on number of fishing permits and some others | 2 | 6#. The rules include (1). Fish sanctuary (2) Closed seasons (3) Ban on harmful gear (4) Fees for fishing (5) Limit on BLC -boat licence registration (6) Limit on number of fishing permits and some others. There was no conservation rules in the CMC-IPAC ADP. The assessment has been made on the basis of IRMP. | 2 |
| 7 | Change in habitat/vegetation: this year compared with 2008 | There has been no change in forest vegetation in the existing forest area. 12% area vegetation increase in new area (char in river side) but this is not clearly part of the existing Sundarbans management area. | 0 | Increase growth in 20% management area. The reported change is based on the observation/assumption of the CMC members. The awareness program of CMC & IPAC, FD's no harvesting plan and people's consciousness over the need of Sundarbans conservation raised from the contribution of Sundarbans of protecting people from cyclone SIDR of 2007. | 1 |
| 8 | Change in fish catches: this year compared with 2008 (not applicable if no wetland or fishing in management area) | % change (compared with 2008). 30% towards increase. Again the achievement has been due to the awareness campaign of CMC, IPAC and FD and not because of the implication of the management plan. However, the the FD played management role in reducing poison fishing where CMC was not involved. | 2 | % change (compared with 2008). 20% increase. The achievement has been due to the awareness campaign of CMC, IPAC and reduction in fishing by poisoning. The FD has taken steps in reducing poison fishing. | 2 |
| 9 | No of incidents/extent of breaking rules in last year | It was medium. The answer is based on CMC observation over FD action and report. | 1 | Moderate. The answer is based on CMC observation. The management action was from FD. | 1 |
| 10 | Actions taken against rule breakers | Action taken by FD not the CMC | 1 | Action taken, some resolved not all. Action taken by FD. Question is Not Applicable (N/A) for CMC as they were not in charge of management. | 1 |
| 11 | No of conflicts in last year within communities represented in CMO over NR management | No. :There is conflicts / unhappiness among the resource users of the surrounding communities over the FD management. The main issues is the extra money charging over the GOB rate fees during BCL and permit issue(unrecorded and it can not be proved). The fishers are helpless in this regard. As the CMO is not involved with BLC & permit issue, the community has no conflict with the present CMC. It is likely that when the CMC will be in charge / involve with management some conflict may arise. | 2 | No. :There is conflicts / unhappiness among the resource users of the surrounding communities over the FD management. The main issues is the extra money charging over the GOB rate fees during BCL and permit issue(unrecorded and it can not be proved). The fishers are helpless in this regard. As the CMO is not involved with BLC & permit issue, the community has no conflict with the present CMC. It is likely that when the CMC will be in charge / involve with management some conflict may arise. | 1 |
| 12 | No of conflicts in last year with outsiders (from places not represented in CMO) over NR management | Not known. No information on conflicts or their sources from FD. FD controls NR management and access. Not clear if people from outside the villages represented in CMO came into conflict with either FD or with people from villages within CMO over use of NR in the management area. CMC had no role in NR management in last year. However, CMO represents 5km around forest, but many fishers outside this (10 km good number, next 10 km some) could come into conflict if CMO limits access to people in its area. | | Not known. No information on conflicts or their sources from FD. FD controls NR management and access. Not clear if people from outside the villages represented in CMO came into conflict with either FD or with people from villages within CMO over use of NR in the management area. CMC had no role in NR management in last year. However, CMO represents 5km around forest, but many fishers outside this (10 km good number, next 10 km some) could come into conflict if CMO limits access to people in its area. | |
| 13 | Extent that conflicts have been overcome or resolved | No conflicts in or with CMC, but conflict between users and FD not resolved | 0 | No conflicts in or with CMC, but conflict between users and FD not resolved | 0 |
| Pro-poor | | | | | |
| 14 | % CMO members poor (own \leq 50 decimals cultivable land) | %: 50. Considered only non-FD CMC members for calculation. | 8 | %: 54 (7 out of 13). Assessment considered non-GOB CMC members only | 8 |
| 15 | No. CMO office bearers are poor (< 50 decimals) | No.: 0. Non-FD members were considered. | 0 | No.: 0. Non-GOB members were considered for assessment. | 0 |
| 16 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with poor non-members in last year | No particular focus to poor. The CMC shared with communities (VCF & PF) in limited scale during ADP preparation. | 0 | N/A. No such need was felt last year. CMC did not give particular focus to poor. The CMC shared with communities (VCF & PF) in limited scale during ADP preparation. | 1 |
| 17 | If CMO integrates views and knowledge of ethnic minorities traditionally using the area | N/A. There is no ethnic group under the CMC working area. | | N/A. There is no ethnic group under the CMC working area. | |
| 18 | Access of poor to natural resources (fish, plants, etc) under CMO/ Management Plan rules | The FD IRMP is cosmopolitan and has given preference to local and poor people as priority access to resource. However, it has not been practiced yet. | | Question is N/A as CMC was not in charge of resource management. The IRMP has given preference to local and poor people as priority access to resource. However, it has not been practiced yet. | |
| 19 | Returns to people adopting new enterprises promoted by CMO | The AIG promotion was done through IPAC ADP and CMC had role in implementation. People has been economically benefitted but not to a level to contribute family maintenance. | 1 | Ok/break even. The AIG promotion was done through IPAC ADP and CMC had role in implementation. People has been economically benefitted but not to a level to contribute family maintenance. No completely new AIG was promoted. | 1 |
| 20 | Impact of CMO management on livelihoods of fishers/NR collectors | CMO had no direct role in resource management. However landscape development activities through IPAC-CMC have some contribution | 1 | Same (based on activities in the landscape). Question N/A when considered Sundarbans management as the CMO had no direct role. However landscape development activities through IPAC-CMC have some contribution increasing income but not much on livelihood improvement. | 1 |

Sundarbans 2011

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | |
| 2 | CMO name | Chandpai | | Sarankhola | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16-May-11 | | 18 May 2011, revised on 24 May 2011 | |
| 21 | If any traditional users of the management area are excluded | no, provided users pay FD access fees and any extra required but CMC has no role in this | 2 | None. CMO was not in NR management. IRMP has ensured access of traditional fishers. | 2 |
| | Women's role | | 5 | | 5 |
| 22 | % of CMO members who are women | 37%. In CMC council. Data considered Non-FD personnel only. 15 out of 41 | 2 | 34% (14 out of 41). It is in CMC council. Data considered Non-GOB personnel only. | 2 |
| 23 | No of CMO committee members who are women | 6 #, 38%. Data considered Non-FD personnel only. 06 out of 16 | 2 | 5 (out of 13), 38%. Data considered Non-GOB personnel only. | 2 |
| 24 | Role of women in CMO decision making | | 2 | Regularly speak out in the meeting | 2 |
| 25 | Number of times CMO committee consulted with women in last year before taking decisions | The response considered consultation in CMC monthly meeting. Out of CMC meeting, the CMC did not consulted any women group separately. Test if no separate meeting is whether it is minuted in the meeting that women members were invited to give their views. The meeting minutes has reflection that the female participated in discussion and gave opinion and names are available in the minutes. The female are invited for opinion but it is not reflected in minutes. It is because the way the minutes are written. | 0 | No.: 0. CMC consulted with women within CMC and in CMC meeting, not outside. The different sub-committees that the CMC for different purposes, there were women representation. The CMC meeting minutes has not the reflection of inviting female were invited and contribute in decision making. It is because the way the minutes are written. Only the decisions are written in the minutes. The CMC had no discussion with women group other than the CMC members. No such need were felt also. | 0 |
| 26 | Impact of CMO management and actions on livelihoods of poor women | CMC did not put particularly focus to women in livelihood promotion (AIG) activities but female headed households were included in AIG support. | 0 | Same. The assessment is based on development activities taken in the landscape area. CMC did not give particular focus to women in livelihood promotion (AIG) activities but female headed households were included in AIG support. | 1 |
| | Organisation | | 9 | | 9 |
| 27 | If CMO has a building and its condition | Forest department has proved a building for CMC to use. No agreement between CMC and FD. | 2 | No. The CMC is using IPAC site office as office. | 0 |
| 28 | No of CMO Committee (EC) meetings in last year | No.: 10 | 2 | No.: 9 (out of targeted 11). The CMC was formed in May 2010. | 2 |
| 29 | Average CMO Committee attendance in last year (%) | %: 79. Maximum and minimum attendance were 24 and 16. The number of CMC member is 24. | 2 | %: 67. Avg. attendance was 16 out of 24 members. | 2 |
| 30 | No of meetings of whole CMO (GB, council) in last year | No.: 01 | 1 | No.: 01. The first meeting was held 2 months later than the schedule. There has been no important issue for the next meeting | 1 |
| 31 | Attendance in general meetings of whole CMO in last year (%) | %: 84. attendanced 49 out of 58. | 2 | %: 65. attended 37 out of 57. | 1 |
| 32 | Date AGM last held (if applicable) | Date: Not applicable. AGM is not an assigned task as per the CMC Gazzate by GOB entry corrected - NA = blank in score column not 2 | | Date: N/A. AGM is not an assigned task as per the CMC Gazette of the GOB. It is felt it should have for the transparency of the CMC. | |
| 33 | Arranging meetings and other CMO functions | Major task are played by the NGO staff but CMC plays role like issueing invitation letter, conduct the meeting session on their own. | 0 | Substantially dependent on NGO. Major tasks were played by the NGO staff but CMC played role like issuing invitation letter, conduction of the meeting session on their own. | 0 |
| 34 | If the CMO keeps minutes and records of its decisions | The minutes are well written and records are kept but the minutes are written by NGO. The NGO staff prepare the draft minutes and then the Member secretary check, correct and finalise. Thus the CMC has role in minutes preparation, but will not score 1 unless CMO at least maks draft. | 0 | Filled in by NGO. The minutes are well written and records are kept but are managed by NGO staff. There is no AAO. No training has been given to CMC members in this regard. There is people with capacity in CMC who can do the job if some skills are developed. CMC is having | 0 |
| 35 | CMO registered/legal identity | Registration process in progress | 0 | No. Registration process in progress | 0 |
| | Governance and Leadership | | 7 | | 7 |
| 36 | If any non-CMO member/outside controls or has captured much of their natural resource /waterbody | There is no such scope of controlling/capturing the water body in the sundarbans. But there are dadondars who control the fishers and take a major benefit of the fisheries resources (harvested). The fishers can not get out of the grip of the dadondars. The other passive resource grabbers are the pirates who take money from fisher and sometimes ransom by kidnapping the fishers. 1 category for indirect control such as the pirates etc has been developed | 1 | No direct but indirectly yes. Because of geographical position of the sundarbans, such outsider control is not possible. There is no such scope of controlling/capturing the water body in the sundarbans as it is managed by the FD. But there are dadondars and mohajons who control the fishers and take a major benefit of the fisheries & forest resources (that are harvested). The fishers can not get out of the grip of the dadondars. The other passive resource grabbers are the pirates who take money from fisher and sometimes ransom by kidnapping the fishers. | 1 |
| 37 | Date of last changing CMO (committee) office bearers | Date: Not applicable. The time for CMC changing has not arrived yet. The date is 12 May 2012 | | Date: Not applicable. The time for CMC changing has not arrived yet. The date is in 2012 | |
| 38 | How office bearers (committee) were decided last time | For Non-GOB members show of hands. | 1 | Showing hands (For Non-GOB members). | 1 |
| 39 | Decision making in CMO | Decisions are made with consensus of majority members. | 2 | Leaders listen to all members. | 2 |
| 40 | CMO advisors role in decisions | The presence of advisors in CMO monthly meeting was low. The UNO attended once and gave positive opinions for CMO functioning. The usual trend is not to dominate but give usefull advice. Though advisors have not been participated much in CMO meetings, but when they have been asked, given usefull suggestions. | 2 | Do not dominate but give usefull advice. Advisors have not been participated in any CMC monthly meeting yet. But when asked suggestion to them, give usefull suggestions. | 2 |
| 40b | Stakeholder role in developing resource management/development plan | Plans developed by FD but consulted with CMC. The IRMP was developed by FD through IPAC assistance. The FD consulted with CMC over the IRMP and the suggestions of the CMC has been taken into account for finalizing the IRMP. In the sharing meeting, he CMC expressed their Happiness as the rulshe have friendly for the local community and VCF members. | 1 | Plans developed by without CMC involvement. The IRMP was developed by FD through IPAC assistance. The FD consulted with CMC over the IRMP with the CMO of Chandpai but not with Sarankhola. The fisheries aspects of the two CMOs are quite similar. Thus sharing with one CMO ang taking account their opinion will have similar and positive impact to the other. Still the final answer is, there was no role of CMO in developing resource management plan. | 0 |
| 41 | Office bearers followed rules and regulations and performed their duties in last year | Yes, they all played their assigned role. | 2 | Allways. They all played their assigned role. However, they did not have much work as there was no RMP to implement. | 2 |
| 42 | Office bearers performance evaluated by general members | No. We/project/FD need to give a guideline to CMC mainly how frequent, process/ tool of evaluation. I hope the question is for the evaluation of the CMC committee office bearers.. | 0 | No. | 0 |
| | Finances | | 8 | | 8 |
| 43 | If the CMO has a financial plan for its activities including NR management for this year | The CMC did not directly handle any finance in the last year. The IPAC-CMC ADP provided waste bin in touris spots and ararness message. The CMC is implementing LDF fund which will have passive role in NR conservation. Understanding CMO has a plan of its own, even limited to LDF then scored | 1 | No. Not for the Resource management of sundarbans. It has a financial plan for the LDF with technical proposal and financial plan. The plan is from CMC and the technical part was written by project Staff. | 1 |

Sundarbans 2011

| | | | | | |
|----|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Site (PA name) | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | | Sundarbans East Wildlife Sanctuary (SEWS) | |
| 2 | CMO name | Chandpai | | Sarankhola | |
| 3 | Date of assessment | 16-May-11 | | 18 May 2011, revised on 24 May 2011 | |
| 44 | Accounts book and records maintenance | As the CMC had no direct involvement in financial management, it has no record or record keeping system yet. It will start when using the LDF fund. Not satisfactory - none or NA, I prefer none in this case as they will need a system very soon | 0 | No account books and records. As the CMC had no direct involvement in financial management, such necessity has arisen yet. It will start when using the LDF fund and other funds will be placed. | 0 |
| 45 | Date CMO accounts were last presented to general members | Date: Not Applicable for the assessment year as CMC did not have any financial management | | N/A. As the CMC had no direct involvement in financial management, it has no record or record keeping system yet. It will start when using the LDF fund and other funds will be placed. | |
| 46 | If the CMO has financial reserves to cover its current financial and management plan | None | 0 | None | 0 |
| 47 | If the CMO operates a savings scheme for members | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 48 | If the CMO operates a revolving fund for lending | No | 0 | No | 0 |
| 49 | If the CMO operates an emergency/welfare fund | No. | 0 | No. | 0 |
| 50 | Date of last external audit (conducted e.g. by a govt. body) | Date: Not Applicable for the assessment year | | Not Applicable | |
| | Government support for co-management | | 8 | | 8 |
| 51 | No of times in last year FD, DOF &/or DOE officers supported CMO (e.g.enforcing rules or solving conflicts and disputes) | The CMC did not asked for any support to GOB officer out of CMC member or advisor. The CMC expects that they will get reasonable support from if they would asked. | 0 | No support. There was no such need raised in last year. The CMC did not asked for any support to GOB officer out of CMC member or advisor. The CMC expects that they will get reasonable support from if they would asked. | 0 |
| 52 | Outcome of government support | Not applicable. Support was not sought in the assessment year. | | Not applicable. Support was not sought in the assessment year and there was no need of such support. | |
| 53 | No of times in last year UP supported CMO in enforcing rules or solving conflicts or disputes or other support | The CMC did not asked for any support to UPs out of UP members of the CMC. The CMC expects that they will get reasonable support from if they would asked. | 0 | The CMC did not asked for any support to UPs out of UP members of the CMC. | 0 |
| 54 | Outcome of UP support | Not applicable. Support was not sought in the assessment year. | | Not applicable. Support was not sought in the assessment year. | |
| 55 | Attitude of government officials and UP chairmen in meetings with/of CMO | Very good by the GOB officer and UP chairmen within CMC. | 2 | Listen to CMO if raised. The attitude is ok from the GOB officer and UP chairmen within and outside CMC. | 1 |
| 56 | No of times in last year government officers came into conflict with or took action in contravention to CMO decisions/resolutions and/or CMO management plan | No separate CMO plan, FD plan and interventions planned by CMO are consistent. There was no contravention decisions by GOB officers over CMO decision. The decisions that were taken in CMO meetings, none were with resource management in the Sundarbans. The decisions were on other aspects relating to activities in the LA. | 2 | There was no contravention decisions by GOB officers over CMO decision. The decisions that were taken in CMO meetings, none were with resource management in the Sundarbans. The decisions were on other aspects relating to activities in the LA. | 2 |
| 57 | Linkages of CMO with other organisations (NGOs, private sector, etc) | No effective linkage yet | 0 | None. | 0 |
| 58 | If government provided support (funding or in-kind) to CMO last year that it was not required to provide | NO | 0 | None. No funding support from GOB last year | 0 |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Comments - any key issues affecting the status or performance of the CMO that are not properly reflected in the assessment format. Impressions about the acceptance of the CMO in wider community, acceptance of its leaders, its sustainability. Any other problems or achievements/advantages of the CMO | The Sundarbans PA are particularly different than other PA under IPAC. The PAs are attached to Reserve forest and situated at the extreme end of the Sundarbans with extreme access problem and also the management. The assessed CMC (Chandpai) does not have a PA area under its management jurisdiction. There are 3 PA and 4 CMO (not formed all the four but designed). The following issues need to be resolved for the present CMC for effective function and resource management. (1) Finalise the CMC resource management working area. It has to be the Range Area though it does not have any PA. (2) Clarification of CMC Annual Resource Management Plan (ARMP) preparation. As there is an IRMP for Sundarbans, the CMC should be allowed to prepare the ARMP based on the IRMP. (3) Involvement of CMC in the implementation of ARMP (4) Involvement of CMC in entry fee collection (5) Ensuring transfer of entry fee share (50%) to CMC (6) for the sake of Sundarbans conservation the CMC should consider to provide 50% of all income of the SRF (7) The CMC has to cover relatively a large area both in NR and | | The Sundarbans PAs are particularly different than other PAs under IPAC. The PAs are attached to the Reserve forest and comprises one unit of Sundarbans and can not be isolated. Only PA management is difficult and will not conserve the Sundarbans. The PAs are situated at the extreme end of the Sundarbans with extreme access problem and also the management. The assessed CMC (Sarankhola) has both PA and RF within the range. There are 3 PAs and 4 CMOs (not formed all the four but designed). The following issues need to be resolved for the present CMCs for effective function and resource management. (1) Finalise the CMC resource management working area. It has to be the whole Range Area irrespective of PA or RF. (2) Clarification of CMC Annual Resource Management Plan (ARMP) preparation. As there is an IRMP for Sundarbans, the CMC should be allowed to prepare the ARMP based on the IRMP and that would be implemented. FD staff working in the Sundarbans, all will work for CMC. (3) Ensure the involvement of CMC in the implementation of ARMP and describe their role and responsibility | |
| | Assessment made by: | (1) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA (2) K. M. Nadim Haider, SF, Chandpai site (3) Mr. Mihir Kumar Vandari, Treasurer-CMC (4) Mr. Oliar Rahman, member-CMC and (5) Ms. Rezina Majhi-member CMC | | (1) Kanailal Debnath, PMARA (2) Mr. Moazzammel Hoq, President-CMC (3) Md. Farid Khan Mintu, Treasurer-CMC (4) Md. Mozid Howlader, member-CMC (5) Ms. Morjina Begum-member CMC (6) Mr. Sarwar Hossain, site Coordinator. | |
| | | Score % Overall | 47.2 | Score % Overall | 44.9 |
| | | Resource management | 66.7 | Resource management | 66.7 |
| | | Pro-poor | 41.7 | Pro-poor | 50.0 |
| | | Women's role | 60.0 | Women's role | 70.0 |
| | | Organisation | 56.3 | Organisation | 37.5 |
| | | Governance and Leadership | 64.3 | Governance and Leadership | 57.1 |
| | | Finances | 8.3 | Finances | 8.3 |
| | | Government support for co-management | 33.3 | Government support for co-management | 25.0 |